Rings related to S-projective modules by ZHANMIN ZHU

Abstract

Let R be a ring and S be a class of some finitely generated left R-modules. Then a left R-module M is called S-projective if for every homomorphism $f : S \to M$, where $S \in S$, there exist homomorphisms $g : S \to F$ and $h : F \to M$ such that f = hg, where F is a free module. In this paper, we give some characterizations of the following four classes of rings: (1). every injective left R-module is S-projective; (2). every left R-module has a monic S-projective preenvelope; (3). every submodule of a projective left R-module is S-projective; (4). every left R-module has an epic S-projective envelope. Some applications are given.

Key Words: S-projective modules, S- Π -coherent rings, S-F rings, S-semihereditary rings.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16D40; Secondary 16P70.

1 Introduction

Recall that a ring R is called right coherent if every finitely generated right ideal of R is finitely presented, or equivalently, every finitely generated submodule of a free right R-module is finitely presented. By [4, Theorem 2.1], a ring R is right coherent if and only if any direct product of $_{R}R$ is flat; a left R-module M is called torsionless if M can be embedded into some direct product of $_{R}R$, or equivalently, if the natural map $i: M \to M^{**}$ is monic, where M^* denotes $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$; a ring R is called *right* Π -coherent [2] if every finitely generated torsionless right R-module is finitely presented. Clearly, a right Π -coherent ring is right coherent, so, in [13], Π - coherent rings are also called strongly coherent rings. Π -coherent rings and their generalizations have been studied by a series of authors (see, for example, [2, 5, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21]). It is well known that a ring R is right Π -coherent if and only if every finitely generated left R-module has a projective preenvelope.

We recall also that a left *R*-module *M* is called *finitely projective (resp., singly projective, simple projective)* [1, 15] if for every epimorphism $f: N \to M$ and any homomorphism $g: C \to M$ with *C* finitely generated (resp., cyclic, simple) right *R*-module, there exists $h: C \to N$ such that g = fh. Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion theory for *R*-Mod. Then according to [8], a left *R*-module *M* is called τ -finitely generated (or τ -FG for short) if there exists a finitely generated submodule *N* such that $M/N \in \mathcal{T}$; a left *R*-module *A* is called τ -finitely presented (or τ -FP for short) if there exists an exact sequence of left *R*-modules $0 \to K \to F \to A \to 0$ with *F* finitely generated free and $K \tau$ -finitely generated;

a left *R*-module *M* is called τ -flat if every homomorphism from a τ -finitely presented left *R*-module *A* to *M* factors through a finitely generated free left *R*-module *F*, that is, there exist $g: A \to F$ and $h: F \to M$ such that f = hg. It is easy to see that a left *R*-module *M* is τ -flat if and only if for every epimorphism $f: N \to M$ and any homomorphism $g: C \to M$ with $C \tau$ -finitely presented left *R*-module, there exists $h: C \to N$ such that g = fh.

In 2011, Parra and Rada extended the concept of finitely projective modules and introduced the concept of *S*-projective modules. Let *S* be a class of some finitely generated left *R*-modules. Then according to [19], a left *R*-module *M* is called *S*-projective if for every homomorphism $f: S \to M$, there exist homomorphisms $g: S \to F$ and $h: F \to M$ such that f = hg, where *F* is a free module. Moreover, in [19, Theorem 3.1], they proved that any direct product of copies of $_RR$ is *S*-projective if and only if every left *R*-module has an *S*-projective preenvelope. For convenience, we call this class of rings *S*- Π -coherent. Let *S* be the class of all finitely generated (resp., finitely presented) left *R*-modules, then it is easy to see that *R* is *S*- Π -coherent if and only if *R* is right Π -coherent (resp., right coherent).

In section 2, we give a series of examples, characterizations and properties of S-projective modules.

In Section 3, we call a ring $R \ S$ - $F \ ring$ if every $S \in S$ embeds in a free module. S-F rings are characterized by S-projective modules. As corollaries, characterizations of left FGF rings and left CF rings as well as left IF rings are given. Furthermore, S- Π -coherent S-F rings are investigated. It is shown that R is an S- Π -coherent and S-F ring if and only if every left R-module has a monic S-projective preenvelope.

In Section 4, we call a ring R S-semihereditary if, for any $S \in S$ and any projective module P, every homomorphic image of S to P is S-projective. It is shown that a ring R is S-semihereditary if and only if every submodule of a projective left R-module is Sprojective. S-II-coherent S-semihereditary rings are characterized, it is shown that a ring R is S-II-coherent and S-semihereditary if and only if every left R-module has an epic S-projective envelope.

Throughout this paper, R is an associative ring with identity , all modules considered are unitary, S is a class of some finitely generated left R-modules, R-Mod denotes the class of all left R-modules.

2 S-projective modules

Let P and M be left R-modules. There is a natural homomorphism

$$\sigma_{P,M}$$
: Hom_R(P, R) \otimes M \rightarrow Hom_R(P, M)

defined via $\sigma_{P,M}(f \otimes m)(p) = f(p)m$ for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(P,R), m \in M, p \in P$.

Theorem 1. Let S be a class of some finitely generated left R-modules. Then the following statements are equivalent for a left R-module M:

(1) M is S-projective.

(2) For any $S \in S$ and any homomorphism $f : S \to M$, f factors through a finitely generated free left R-module F, that is, there exist $g : S \to F$ and $h : F \to M$ such that f = hg.

(3) For any $S \in S$ and any homomorphism $f : S \to M$, f factors through a projective module P.

(4) For every epimorphism $f : N \to M$ and any homomorphism $g : S \to M$ with $S \in S$, there exists $h : S \to N$ such that g = fh.

(5) For any $S \in S$, $\sigma_{S,M}$ is an epimorphism.

(6) For any $S \in S$ and any homomorphism $f : S \to M$, f factors through an S-projective module P.

Proof. $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (6)$. It is obvious.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $f: S \to M$ be any homomorphism, where $S \in S$. Then by (3), there exist a projective left *R*-module *P*, a homomorphism $\alpha: S \to P$ and a homomorphism $\beta: P \to M$ such that $f = \beta \alpha$. Let $\pi: F \to P$ be an epimorphism , where *F* is free. Since *P* is projective, there exists a homomorphism $g: S \to F$ such that $\alpha = \pi g$. Now write $h = \beta \pi$. Then $h \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(F, M)$ and f = hg.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$. Let $f: N \to M$ be an epimorphism and $g: S \to M$ be any homomorphism, where $S \in S$. By (2), g factors through a finitely generated free left R-module F, i.e., there exist $\varphi: S \to F$ and $\psi: F \to M$ such that $g = \psi \varphi$. Since F is projective, there exists a homomorphism $\theta: F \to N$ such that $\psi = f\theta$. Now write $h = \theta \varphi$, then h is a homomorphism from S to N, and $g = \psi \varphi = f(\theta \varphi) = fh$. And so (4) follows.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$. Let F_1 be a free module and $\pi : F_1 \to M$ be an epimorphism. By (4), there exists a homomorphism $g : S \to F_1$ such that $f = \pi g$. Note that $\operatorname{Im}(g)$ is finitely generated , so there is a finitely generated free module F such that $\operatorname{Im}(g) \subseteq F \subseteq F_1$. Let $\iota : F \to F_1$ be the inclusion map and $h = \pi \iota$. Then h is a homomorphism from F to M and f = hg.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (5).$ Let $S \in S$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(S, M)$. Then by (2), there exists a positive integer n, two homomorphisms $g: S \to R^n$ and $h: R^n \to M$ such that f = hg. Let $\pi_i: R^n \to R$ be the *i*th projection and $\iota_i: R \to R^n$ be the *i*th injection, $g_i = \pi_i g, m_i = h(\iota_i(1))$. Then $g_i \in S^*$ and $m_i \in M, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Write $e_i = \iota_i(1), i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Then for any $s \in S$, let $g(s) = (r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n)$. We have $\sigma_{S,M}(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i \otimes m_i)(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(s)m_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\pi_i g(s))m_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i g(s)h(\iota_i(1)) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i h(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n h(r_i e_i) = h(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i e_i) = hg(s) = f(s)$. Thus, $f = \sigma_{S,M}(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i \otimes m_i)$, and so $\sigma_{S,M}$ is an epimorphism.

(5) \Rightarrow (2). Let $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(S, M)$. By (5), $f = \sigma_{S,M}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \otimes m_i), f_i \in S^*, m_i \in M$, so $f(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(s)m_i$ for each $s \in S$. Define $g: S \to R^n$ by $g(s) = (f_1(s), \cdots, f_n(s)), h:$

 $R^n \to M$ by $h(r_1, \cdots, r_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i m_i$. Then f = hg and (2) follows.

(6) \Rightarrow (1). Let $f: S \to M$ be any homomorphism, where $S \in S$. Then by (6), there exist an S-projective left R-module P, a homomorphism $\alpha: S \to P$ and a homomorphism $\beta: P \to M$ such that $f = \beta \alpha$. Let $\pi: F \to P$ be an epimorphism , where F is free. Since P is S-projective, by the equivalence of (1) and (4), there exists a homomorphism $g: S \to F$ such that $\alpha = \pi g$. Now write $h = \beta \pi$. Then $h \in \text{Hom}_R(F, M)$ and f = hg.

Example 1. Let S be the class of all finitely generated (resp., cyclic, simple, finitely presented, τ -finitely presented) left R-modules. Then by Theorem 1, a left R-module M is S-projective if and only if M is finitely projective (resp., singly projective, simple projective, flat, τ -flat).

Let *m* and *n* be two fixed positive integers. Then according to [22], a right *R*-module *A* is called (m, n)-presented in case there exists an exact sequence of left *R*-modules $0 \to K \to R^m \to M \to 0$, where *K* is *n*-generated; a left *R*-module *M* is called (m, n)-flat [22] in case $i_I \otimes 1_M : I \otimes M \to R^m \otimes M$ is a monomorphism for all *n*-generated submodule *I* of the right *R*-module R^m . (1, 1)-flat modules are also call 1-flat [20] or *P*-flat [6]. A left *R*-module *M* is called min-flat [14] if $\operatorname{Tor}_R^1(R/I, M) = 0$ for every minimal left ideal *I*.

Example 2. (1). Let S be the class of all (n,m)-presented left R-modules. Then by [22, Theorem 4.3(6)] and Theorem 1, a left R-module M is S-projective if and only if M is (m,n)-flat. In particular, let S be the class of all (1,1)-presented left R-modules. Then a left R-module M is S-projective if and only if M is P-flat.

(2). Let $S = \{R/Ra : aR \text{ be a minimal right ideal}\}$. Then by [14, Lemma 3.2] and Theorem 1, a left R-module M is S-projective if and only if M is min-flat.

Remark 1. As corollaries of Theorem 1, we can obtain a series of characterizations of finitely (resp., singly, simple) projective modules and flat (resp., τ -flat, (m, n)-flat, P-flat, min-flat) modules.

Let \mathcal{A} be a class of left R-modules and M a left R-module. Following [10], we say that a homomorphism $\varphi : M \to A$ where $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is an \mathcal{A} -preenvelope of M if for any morphism $f : M \to A'$ with $A' \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a $g : A \to A'$ such that $g\varphi = f$. An \mathcal{A} -preenvelope $\varphi : M \to A$ is said to be an \mathcal{A} -envelope if every endomorphism $g : A \to A$ such that $g\varphi = \varphi$ is an isomorphism. It is easy to see that an epic \mathcal{A} -preenvelope is an \mathcal{A} -envelope.

Proposition 1. Let $S \in S$. Then

- (1) Every finitely projective preenvelope of S is an S-projective preenvelope of S.
- (2) Every projective preenvelope of S is a finitely projective preenvelope of S.

Proof. (1). Let $f: S \to P$ be a finitely projective preenvelope of S. Then P is clearly S-projective. And for any S-projective left R-module P' and any homomorphism $g: S \to P'$, by Theorem 1, g factors through a finitely generated free left R-module F, that is, there exist $\alpha: S \to F$ and $\beta: F \to P'$ such that $g = \beta \alpha$. Since $f: S \to P$ is a finitely projective preenvelope of S, there exists a homomorphism $\gamma: P \to F$ such that $\alpha = \gamma f$. Now let $h = \beta \gamma$. Then g = hf. So f is an S-projective preenvelope of S.

(2). It is similar to the proof of (1).

Corollary 1. (1). If M is a finitely generated left R-module, then every projective preenvelope of M is a finitely projective preenvelope of M.

(2). If M is a cyclic (resp., simple, finitely presented, (n,m)-presented, (1,1)-presented, τ -finitely presented) left R-module, then every finitely projective preenvelope of M is a singly projective (resp., simple projective, flat, (m,n)-flat, P-flat, τ -flat) preenvelope of M.

(3). If M = R/Ra, where aR is a minimal right ideal, then every finitely projective preenvelope of M is a min-flat preenvelope of M.

3 S-F-rings

Recall that a ring R is called *left CF* [18] if every cyclic left R-module embeds in a free module; a ring R is called *left FGF* [9] if every finitely generated left R-module embeds in a free module; a ring R is called a *left IF ring* [11] if every injective left R-module is flat, by [7, Theorem 1], a ring R is a left IF ring if and only if every finitely presented left R-module embeds in a free module; a ring R is called *left Kasch* [18] if every simple left R-module embeds in R, or equivalently, if every simple left R-module embeds in a free module; A ring R is called a *left IF-(m,n) ring* [23] if every injective left R-module is (m, n)-flat, by [23, Theorem 2.5], a ring R is a left IF-(m, n) ring if and only if every (n, m)-presented left R-module embeds in a free module. Now we extend these concepts as follows.

Definition 1. Let S be a class of some finitely generated left R-modules. Then R is called an S-F ring if every $S \in S$ embeds in a free module.

Example 3. Let S be the class of all finitely generated (resp., cyclic, finitely presented, (n,m)-presented) left R-modules. Then R is an S-F-ring if and only if R is left FGF (resp., CF, IF, IF-(m,n)).

Theorem 2. The following statements are equivalent for a ring R:

- (1) R is an S-F ring.
- (2) Every injective left R-module is S-projective.
- (3) The injective envelope of any $S \in S$ is S-projective.
- (4) For every free right R-module F, $F^+ = \operatorname{Hom}_R(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is S-projective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let *E* be an injective left *R*-module. Then for every epimorphism $f: N \to E$ and any homomorphism $g: S \to E$ with $S \in S$. By (1), there exists a free module *F* and a monomorphism $\iota: S \to F$. So there exists a $h: F \to E$ such that $g = h\iota$, and hence there exists a $\varphi: F \to N$ such that $h = f\varphi$. Thus, $\varphi\iota$ is a homomorphism from *S* to *N* and $g = f(\varphi\iota)$. Therefore, *E* is *S*-projective.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. It is clear.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $S \in S$, $\iota : S \to E(S)$ be the inclusion map and $\pi : F \to E(S)$ be an epimorphism, where F is a free module. Then since E(S) is S-projective, there exists a homomorphism $f : S \to F$ such that $\iota = \pi f$. It is easy to see that f is monic, and so (1) follows.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$. Since F is a free right $R\text{-module}, \, F^+$ is injective and hence $\mathcal S\text{-projective}$ by (2).

 $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$. Let E be an injective left R-module. There is a free right R-module F and an epimorphism $F \rightarrow E^+$, which gives a monomorphism $E^{++} \rightarrow F^+$. Since F^+ is S-projective and $E \subseteq E^{++}$, E is a direct summand of F^+ and hence E is S-projective by [19, Proposition 2.5].

Corollary 2. The following statements are equivalent for a ring R:

(1) R is a left CF (resp., FGF, Kasch) ring.

(2) Every injective left R-module is singly (resp., finitely, simple) projective.

(3) The injective envelope of any cyclic (resp., finitely generated, simple) left R-module is singly (resp., finitely, simple) projective.

(4) For every free right R-module F, F^+ is singly (resp., finitely, simple) projective.

Corollary 3. The following statements are equivalent for a ring R:

- (1) R is a left IF (resp., IF-(m,n)) ring.
- (2) Every injective left R-module is flat (resp., (m,n)-flat).

(3) The injective envelope of any finitely presented (resp., (n,m)-presented) left R-module is flat (resp., (m,n)-flat).

(4) For every free right R-module F, F^+ is flat(resp., (m,n)-flat).

We note that part of the results in Corollary 2 and Corollary 3 appeared in [13, Theorem 2.10], [16, Lemma 3.6], [7, Theorem 1] and [23, Theorem 2.5], respectively.

Recall that a ring R is called *right AFG* [16] if any direct product of copies of $_{R}R$ is singly projective.

Example 4. Let S be the class of all finitely generated (resp., finitely presented, (n,m)-presented, (1,1)-presented, τ -finitely presented, cyclic) left R-modules. Then R is S- Π -coherent if and only if R is right Π -coherent (resp., coherent, (m,n)-coherent, P-coherent, τ -coherent, AFG).

Theorem 3. The following statements are equivalent for ring R:

- (1) R is an S- Π -coherent S-F ring.
- (2) Every left R-module has a monic S-projective preenvelope.
- (3) Every $S \in S$ has a monic S-projective preenvelope.
- (4) Every $S \in S$ has a monic projective preenvelope.
- (5) Every $S \in S$ has a monic finitely projective preenvelope.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let M be any left R-module. Since R is S- Π -coherent, M has an S-projective preenvelope $f : M \to P$. Since R is an S-F ring, by Theorem 2, E(M) is S-projective. Let $\iota : M \to E(M)$ be the inclusion map. Then there exists a homomorphism $g : P \to E(M)$ such that $\iota = gf$, and hence f is monic.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is trivial.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$. Let $S \in S$. Then S has a monic S-projective preenvelope $f : S \to P$ by (3). Thus, by Theorem 1(2), there exist a finitely generated free left R-module F, a monomorphism $g: S \to F$ and a homomorphism $h: F \to P$ such that f = hg. Now let P' be a projective left R-module and φ be a homomorphism from S to P'. Then there exists a homomorphism $\theta: P \to P'$ such that $\varphi = \theta f$. Thus, θh is a homomorphism from F to P' and $\varphi = (\theta h)g$. Therefore, $g: S \to F$ is a monic projective preenvelope of S.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$. Assume (4) holds. Then it is easy to see that R is an S-F ring. Moreover, by [19, Theorem 3.1], R is S-II-coherent.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (3)$. It follows from Proposition 1.

Corollary 4. [16, Theorem 3.7] The following are equivalent for ring R:

(1) R is a right AFG left CF ring.

(2) Every left R-module has a monic singly projective preenvelope.

(3) Every cyclic left R-module has a monic singly projective preenvelope.

(4) Every cyclic left R-module has a monic projective preenvelope.

(5) Every cyclic left R-module has a monic finitely projective preenvelope.

Corollary 5. [8, Theorem 4.1] Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion theory for R-Mod. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is right τ -coherent and every injective left R-module is τ -flat.
- (2) Every left R-module has a monic τ -flat preenvelope.
- (3) Every τ -finitely presented left R-module has a monic τ -flat preenvelope.
- (4) Every τ -finitely presented left R-module has a monic projective preenvelope.
- (5) Every τ -finitely presented left R-module has a monic finitely projective preenvelope.

4 S-semihereditary rings

Recall that a ring R is called *left semihereditary* ([3], p.14) if every finitely generated left ideal of R is projective; a ring R is called *left PP* [12] if every principal left ideal of R is projective. In this section, we generalize the concepts of left semihereditary rings and left PP rings, and we introduce the concept of S-semihereditary rings.

Definition 2. Let S be a class of some finitely generated left R-modules. Then R is called S-semihereditary if, for any $S \in S$ and any projective module P, every homomorphic image of S to P is S-projective.

Recall that a ring R is called *left PS* [17] if every minimal left ideal of R is projective. It is easy to see that a ring R is left PS if and only if every simple submodule of a projective left R-module is projective. Observing that every S-projective module in S is projective, we have

Example 5. Let S be the class of all finitely generated (resp., all cyclic, all simple) left R-modules. Then R is S-semihereditary if and only if R is left semihereditary(resp., left PP, left PS).

Theorem 4. Let S be a class of some finitely generated left R-modules. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is S-semihereditary.
- (2) Every submodule of an S-projective left R-module is S-projective.
- (3) Every submodule of a projective left R-module is S-projective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let K be a submodule of an S-projective module M and $\lambda : K \to M$ be the inclusion map. For any $S \in S$ and any $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(S, K)$. Since M is S-projective, by Theorem 1(2), λf factors through a finitely generated free left R-module F, i.e., there are homomorphisms $g : S \to F$ and $h : F \to M$ such that $\lambda f = hg$, which shows that $\operatorname{Ker} g \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} f$. Write $P = \operatorname{Im} g$. Then P is S-projective by (1). Now we define $\varphi : P \to K$ by $\varphi(g(s)) = f(s)$ for $s \in S$. Then φ is a well-defined homomorphism and $f = \varphi g$. This shows that f factors through the S-projective module P. Therefore, K is S-projective by Theorem 1(6).

$$(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)$$
 is clear.

Corollary 6. (1). A ring R is left semihereditary if and only if every submodule of a finitely projective left R-module is finitely projective if and only if every submodule of a projective left R-module is finitely projective.

(2). A ring R is left PP if and only if every submodule of a singly projective left R-module is singly projective if and only if every submodule of a projective left R-module is singly projective.

(3). A ring R is left PS if and only if every submodule of a simple projective left R-module is simple projective if and only if every submodule of a projective left R-module is simple projective.

Recall that a ring R is called a *left FGTF ring* [9] if every finitely generated torsionless left R-module embeds in a free module. We call R an S-TF ring if every torsionless module in S embeds in a free module, and we call R a *left CTF ring* if every cyclic torsionless left R-module embeds in a free module.

Theorem 5. Let S be a class of some finitely generated left R-modules. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) R is S- Π -coherent and S-semihereditary.

(2) Every left R-module has an epic S-projective envelope.

(3) Every $S \in S$ has an epic S-projective envelope.

(4) Every $S \in S$ has an epic projective envelope.

(5) Every $S \in S$ has an epic finitely projective envelope.

(6) Every torsionless left R-module is S-projective.

Moreover, if S is closed under homomorphic images, then these conditions are equivalent to:

(7) Every torsionless module in S is projective.

(8) R is S-semihereditary and S-TF.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let M be any left R-module. Since R is S-II-coherent, M has an S-projective preenvelope $f : M \to P$. Since R is S-semihereditary, by Theorem 4, Imf is S-projective, so $M \to \text{Im}f$ is an epic S-projective preenvelope. Note that for any class of left R-modules A, each epic A-preenvelope is an A-envelope, we have (2).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$, and $(6) \Rightarrow (7)$ are trivial.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$. Let $S \in S$. Then by (3), S has an epic S-projective envelope $f: S \to P$. By Theorem 1(2), f factors through a finitely generated free left R-module F, that is, there exist $g: S \to F$ and $h: F \to P$ such that f = hg. Since F is S-projective, there exists $\varphi: P \to F$ such that $g = \varphi f$. So $f = (h\varphi)f$, and hence $h\varphi = 1_P$ since f is epic. Hence, P is isomorphic to a direct summand of F, and thus P is projective.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$ by Proposition 1(2).

 $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$. Let $S \in S$. Then by (5), S has an epic finitely projective envelope $f : S \to P$. Note that every finitely generated finitely projective module is projective, so P is projective, and hence $f : S \to P$ is an epic projective envelope.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$. Assume (4). Then it is easy to see that R is S-II-coherent by [19, Theorem 3.1]. Let K be a submodule of an S-projective module M and $\lambda : K \to M$ be the inclusion map. For any $S \in S$ and any $f \in \text{Hom}(S, K)$. Since M is S-projective, by Theorem 1(2), λf factors through a finitely generated free left R-module F, i.e., there are $g : S \to F$ and $h : F \to M$ such that $\lambda f = hg$. By (4), S has an epic projective envelope $\alpha : S \to P$, then there exists $\beta : P \to F$ such that $g = \beta \alpha$. Thus $\lambda f = hg = (h\beta)\alpha$, and hence $\text{Ker}(\alpha) \subseteq \text{Ker}f$. Now we define $\varphi : P \to K$ by $\varphi(\alpha(s)) = f(s)$ for $s \in S$. Then φ is a well-defined homomorphism and $f = \varphi \alpha$. This shows that f factors through the projective module P. Therefore, K is S-projective by Theorem 1(3).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (6)$. Let M be a torsionless left R-module. Then there is a monomorphism $i : M \to {}_{R}R^{I}$ for some index set I. Since every left R-module has an S-projective preenvelope, R is S-II-coherent, and so ${}_{R}R^{I}$ is S-projective. Let $f : M \to P$ be an epic S-projective envelope. Then there exists a homomorphism $g : P \to {}_{R}R^{I}$ such that i = gf. Thus f is an isomorphism, and so M is S-projective.

Now, suppose that \mathcal{S} is closed under homomorphic images, then:

 $(7) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $S \in S$ and $f : S \to {}_{R}R^{I}$ be any left *R*-homomorphism. Since *S* is closed under homomorphic images, by (7), Im *f* is projective. So, by Theorem 1(3), ${}_{R}R^{I}$ is *S*-projective, it shows that *R* is *S*-II-coherent. Moreover, it is easy to see that *R* is *S*-semihereditary.

 $(7) \Leftrightarrow (8)$. It is easy.

Corollary 7. [8, Theorem 5.1] Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion theory for R-Mod. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is right τ -coherent and submodules of τ -flat left R-modules are τ -flat.
- (2) Every left R-module has an epic τ -flat envelope.
- (3) Every τ -finitely presented left R-module has an epic τ -flat envelope.
- (4) Every τ -finitely presented left R-module has an epic projective envelope.
- (5) Every τ -finitely presented left R-module has an epic finitely projective envelope.

Our following Corollary 8 and Corollary 9 partially improve the results of [8, Corollary 5.3] and [16, Theorem 3.9] respectively.

Corollary 8. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is right Π -coherent and left semihereditary.
- (2) Every left R-module has an epic finitely projective envelope.
- (3) Every finitely generated left R-module has an epic projective envelope.
- (4) Every finitely generated left R-module has an epic finitely projective envelope.
- (5) Every torsionless left R-module is finitely projective.
- (6) Every finitely generated torsionless left R-module is projective.
- (7) R is left FGTF and left semihereditary.

Corollary 9. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is right AFG and left PP.
- (2) Every left R-module has an epic singly projective envelope.
- (3) Every cyclic left R-module has an epic singly projective envelope.
- (4) Every cyclic left R-module has an epic projective envelope.
- (5) Every cyclic left R-module has an epic finitely projective envelope.
- (6) Every torsionless left R-module is singly projective.
- (7) Every cyclic torsionless left R-module is projective.
- (8) R is left CTF and left PP.

Recall that a ring R is called *left SPP* [15] if any direct product of copies of $_RR$ is simple-projective. By [15, Remark 3.3(4)], every left PS ring is left SPP. Let S be the class of all simple and zero left R-modules. Then by Theorem 5 and Corollary 6(3), we have

Corollary 10. [15, Theorem 3.7] The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is a left PS ring.
- (2) Every left R-module has an epic simple-projective envelope.
- (3) Every simple left R-module has an epic simple-projective envelope.
- (4) Every simple left R-module has an epic projective envelope.
- (5) Every simple left R-module has an epic finitely projective envelope.
- (6) Every torsionless left R-module is simple-projective.
- (7) Every submodule of a simple-projective left R-module is simple-projective .
- (8) Every submodule of a projective left R-module is simple-projective .

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to the referee for the helpful comments. This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China (LY18A010018).

References

- [1] G. AZUMAYA, Finite splitness and finite projectivity, J. Algebra, 106, 114-134 (1987).
- [2] V. CAMILLO, Coherence for polynomial rings, J. Algebra, 132, 72-76 (1990).
- [3] H. CARTAN, S. EILENBERG, Homological algebra, Princeton University Press (1956).
- [4] S. U. CHASE, Direct products of modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 97, 457-473 (1960).
- [5] J. L. CHEN, N. Q. DING, A note on existence of envelopes and covers, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 54), 383-390 (1996).
- [6] F. COUCHOT, Flat modules over valuation rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 211, 235-247 (2007).
- [7] R. R. COLBY, Rings which have flat injective modules, J. Algebra, 35, 239-252 (1975).
- [8] N. Q. DING, J. L. CHEN, Relative coherence and preenvelopes, *Manuscripta Math.*, 81, 243-262 (1993).
- [9] C. FAITH, Embedding torsionless modules in projectives, J. Publ. Mat., 34, 379-387 (1990).
- [10] E. E. ENOCHS, O. M. G. JENDA, *Relative Homological Algebra*, Walter de Gruyter. Berlin-New York (2000).
- [11] S. JAIN, Flat and FP-injectivity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 41, 437-442 (1973).
- [12] S. JØNDRUP, p.p.rings and finitely generated flat ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 28, 431-435 (1971).
- [13] M. F. JONES, Flatness and f-projectivity of torsion free modules and injective modules, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 951, 94-116 (1982).

- [14] L. X. MAO, Min-flat modules and min-coherent rings, Comm. Algebra, 35, 635-650 (2007).
- [15] L. X. MAO, When does every simple module have a projective envelope? Comm. Algebra, 35, 1505-1516 (2007).
- [16] L. X. MAO, A generalization of noetherian rings, Taiwanese J. Math., 12, 501-512 (2008).
- [17] W. K. NICHOLSON, J. F. WATTERS, Rings with projective socle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 102, 443-450 (1988).
- [18] W. K. NICHOLSON, M. F. YOUSIF, Quasi-Frobenius Rings, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).
- [19] R. PARRA, J. RADA, Projective envelopes of finitely generated modules, Algebra Colloq., 18, 801-806 (2011).
- [20] A. SHAMSUDDIN, n-injective and n-flat modules, Comm. Algebra, 29, 2039-2050 (2001).
- [21] M. Y. WANG, Some studies on Π-coherent rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 119, 71-76 (1993).
- [22] X. X. ZHANG, J. L. CHEN, J. ZHANG, On (m,n)-injective modules and (m,n)coherent rings, Algebra Colloq., 12, 149-160 (2005).
- [23] Z. M. ZHU, Z. S. XIA, Rings which have (m, n)-flat injective modules, Algebra Discrete Math., 2005, 93-100 (2005).

Received: 25.03.2018 Revised: 27.02.2019 Accepted: 03.03.2019

> Department of Mathematics Jiaxing University, P.R.China E-mail: zhuzhanminzjxu@hotmail.com