

## Filippov lemma for a certain differential inclusion of fourth-order

by  
AURELIAN CERNEA

### Abstract

We consider a boundary value problem for a fourth-order nonconvex differential inclusion and we establish some Filippov type existence results for this problem.

**Key Words:** Boundary value problem, differential inclusion, contractive set-valued map.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 34A60, Secondary 45B05.

### 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the following boundary value problem for fourth-order differential inclusions

$$L_4x(t) + a(t)x(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \quad a.e. \text{ } ([0, T]), \quad (1.1)$$

$$L_i x(0) = L_i x(T), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $L_0x(t) = a_0(t)x(t)$ ,  $L_i x(t) = a_i(t)(L_{i-1}x(t))'$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $L_4x(t) = (a_3(t)(a_2(t)(a_1(t)(a_0(t)x(t))'')''))'$ ,  $a(\cdot), a_i(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous mappings,  $a_0(t) \equiv 1$ ,  $a(t) \geq 0$ ,  $a_i(t) > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $a_1(t) \equiv a_3(t)$  and  $F(\cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  is a set-valued map.

The present paper is motivated by relatively recent papers of Švec ([15]) and Arara, Benchohra, Ntouyas and Ouahab ([3]), where several existence results for problem (1.1)-(1.2) are provided. In [15], by means of the Ky Fan fixed point theorem it is obtained an existence result for problem (1.1)-(1.2), when  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous and has convex compact values. In [3] the situation when  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  has nonconvex values is investigated and two existence results are obtained using the Covitz and Nadler multivalued contraction principle and the Bressan and Colombo selection theorem for lower semicontinuous set-valued maps with decomposable values.

The aim of our paper is to present two additional existence results for problem (1.1)-(1.2) which are Filippov type existence results for this problem. The first one is obtained by the application of the set-valued contraction principle in the space of derivatives of solutions instead of the space of solutions as in [3]. In addition, as usual at a Filippov existence type theorem,

our result provides an estimate between the starting "quasi" solution and the solution of the differential inclusion. The idea of applying the set-valued contraction principle in the space of derivatives of the solutions belongs to Tallos ([11,16]) and it was already used for other classes of differential inclusions.

In our second approach we show that Filippov's ideas ([10]) can be suitably adapted in order to obtain the existence of solutions for problem (1.1)-(1.2). Recall that for a differential inclusion defined by a Lipschitzian set-valued map with nonconvex values, Filippov's theorem ([10]) consists in proving the existence of a solution starting from a given "quasi" solution.

For the motivation of study of problem (1.1)-(1.2) we refer to [3,15] and references therein. Several qualitative properties of the solutions of fourth-order differential equations and inclusions may be found in [1,2,5,8,9,12,14] etc..

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some preliminary results that we need in the sequel and in Section 3 we prove our main results.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this short section we sum up some basic facts that we are going to use later.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and consider a set valued map  $T$  on  $X$  with nonempty values in  $X$ .  $T$  is said to be a  $\lambda$ -contraction if there exists  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that:

$$d_H(T(x), T(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

where  $d_H(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance. Recall that the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance of the closed subsets  $A, B \subset X$  is defined by

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{d^*(A, B), d^*(B, A)\}, \quad d^*(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, B); a \in A\},$$

where  $d(x, B) = \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y)$ .

The set-valued contraction principle ([7]) states that if  $X$  is complete, and  $T : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a set valued contraction with nonempty closed values, then  $T(\cdot)$  has a fixed point, i.e. a point  $z \in X$  such that  $z \in T(z)$ .

We denote by  $Fix(T)$  the set of all fixed points of the set-valued map  $T$ . Obviously,  $Fix(T)$  is closed.

**Proposition 2.1** ([13]) *Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and suppose that  $T_1, T_2$  are  $\lambda$ -contractions with closed values in  $X$ . Then*

$$d_H(Fix(T_1), Fix(T_2)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \sup_{z \in X} d(T_1(z), T_2(z)).$$

Let  $I = [0, T]$ , we denote by  $C(I, \mathbb{R})$  the Banach space of all continuous functions from  $I$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  with the norm  $\|x(\cdot)\|_C = \sup_{t \in I} |x(t)|$  and  $L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  is the Banach space of integrable functions  $u(\cdot) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  endowed with the norm  $\|u(\cdot)\|_1 = \int_0^T |u(t)| dt$ . If  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval, by  $AC^i(J, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$  we denote the space of  $i$ -times differentiable functions  $x(\cdot) : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  whose  $i^{th}$  derivative  $x^{(i)}(\cdot)$  is absolutely continuous.

A function  $x(\cdot) \in AC^3(I, \mathbb{R})$  is called a solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2) if there exists a function  $f(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  with  $f(t) \in F(t, x(t))$  a.e. (I) such that  $L_i x(0) = L_i x(T)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([15]) *The boundary value problem*

$$L_4 x(t) + a(t)x(t) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$L_i x(0) = L_i x(T), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{2.2}$$

has only the trivial solution  $x(t) \equiv 0$  on I.

Therefore, if  $f(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an integrable function, there exists the Green function  $G(\cdot, \cdot)$  for problem

$$L_4 x(t) + a(t)x(t) = f(t), \tag{2.3}$$

$$L_i x(0) = L_i x(T), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{2.4}$$

and the solution of problem (2.3)-(2.4) is given by

$$x(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s)f(s)ds. \tag{2.5}$$

According to [15] the Green function  $G(\cdot, \cdot)$  is bounded. Let  $G_0 := \sup_{t,s \in I} |G(t, s)|$ .

### 3 The main results

We study first problem (1.1)-(1.2) with fixed point techniques. In order to do this we introduce the following hypothesis.

**Hypothesis 3.1** (i)  $F(\cdot, \cdot) : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  has nonempty closed values and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(\cdot, x)$  is measurable.

(ii) There exists  $L(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that for almost all  $t \in I$ ,  $F(t, \cdot)$  is  $L(t)$ -Lipschitz in the sense that

$$d_H(F(t, x), F(t, y)) \leq L(t)|x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(iii)  $d(0, F(t, 0)) \leq L(t)$  a.e. (I)

Denote  $L_0 := \int_0^1 L(s)ds$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Assume that Hypothesis 3.1 is satisfied and  $G_0 L_0 < 1$ . Let  $y(\cdot) \in AC^3(I, \mathbb{R})$  be such that  $L_i y(0) = L_i y(T)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and there exists  $q(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$  with  $d(L_4 y(t) + a(t)y(t), F(t, y(t))) \leq q(t)$ , a.e. (I).

Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x(\cdot)$  a solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2) satisfying for all  $t \in I$

$$|x(t) - y(t)| \leq \frac{G_0}{1 - G_0 L_0} \int_0^T q(t)dt + \varepsilon.$$

**Proof.** For  $u(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  define the following set-valued maps

$$M_u(t) = F(t, \int_0^T G(t, s)u(s)ds), \quad t \in I,$$

$$T(u) = \{\phi(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}); \quad \phi(t) \in M_u(t) \quad a.e. (I)\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $x(\cdot)$  is a solution of problem (1.1) if and only if  $L_4x(\cdot) + a(\cdot)x(\cdot)$  is a fixed point of  $T(\cdot)$ .

We shall prove first that  $T(u)$  is nonempty and closed for every  $u \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ . The fact that the set valued map  $M_u(\cdot)$  is measurable is well known. For example the map  $t \rightarrow \int_0^T G(t, s)u(s)ds$  can be approximated by step functions and we can apply Theorem III. 40 in [6]. Since the values of  $F$  are closed with the measurable selection theorem (Theorem III.6 in [6]) we infer that  $M_u(\cdot)$  admits a measurable selection  $\phi$ . One has

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(t)| &\leq d(0, F(t, 0)) + d_H(F(t, 0), F(t, \int_0^T G(t, s)u(s)ds)) \leq \\ &\leq L(t)(1 + G_0 \int_0^T |u(s)|ds), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\phi \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  and  $T(u)$  is nonempty.

On the other hand, the set  $T(u)$  is also closed. Indeed, if  $\phi_n \in T(u)$  and  $\|\phi_n - \phi\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  then we can pass to a subsequence  $\phi_{n_k}$  such that  $\phi_{n_k}(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ , and we find that  $\phi \in T(u)$ .

We show next that  $T(\cdot)$  is a contraction on  $L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $u, v \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  be given and  $\phi \in T(u)$ . Consider the following set-valued map:

$$H(t) = M_v(t) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}; \quad |\phi(t) - x| \leq L(t) \left| \int_0^T G(t, s)(u(s) - v(s))ds \right|\}.$$

From Proposition III.4 in [6],  $H(\cdot)$  is measurable and from Hypothesis 3.1 ii)  $H(\cdot)$  has nonempty closed values. Therefore, there exists  $\psi(\cdot)$  a measurable selection of  $H(\cdot)$ . It follows that  $\psi \in T(v)$  and according with the definition of the norm we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi - \psi\|_1 &= \int_0^T |\phi(t) - \psi(t)|dt \leq \int_0^T L(t) \left( \int_0^T |G(t, s)| \cdot |u(s) - v(s)|ds \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left( \int_0^T L(t)|G(t, s)|dt \right) |u(s) - v(s)|ds \leq G_0 L_0 \|u - v\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$d(\phi, T(v)) \leq G_0 L_0 \|u - v\|_1.$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $v$  we obtain

$$d_H(T(u), T(v)) \leq G_0 L_0 \|u - v\|_1,$$

thus  $T(\cdot)$  is a contraction on  $L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ .

We consider next the following set-valued maps

$$F_1(t, x) = F(t, x) + q(t)[-1, 1], \quad (t, x) \in I \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$M_u^1(t) = F_1(t, \int_0^T G(t, s)u(s)ds),$$

$$T_1(u) = \{\psi(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}); \quad \psi(t) \in M_u^1(t) \quad a.e. (I)\}, \quad u(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}).$$

Obviously,  $F_1(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies Hypothesis 3.1.

Repeating the previous step of the proof we obtain that  $T_1$  is also a  $G_0L_0$ -contraction on  $L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  with closed nonempty values.

We prove next the following estimate

$$d_H(T(u), T_1(u)) \leq \int_0^T q(t)dt. \tag{3.1}$$

Let  $\phi \in T(u)$  and define

$$H_1(t) = M_u^1(t) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{R}; \quad |\phi(t) - z| \leq q(t)\}.$$

With the same arguments used for the set valued map  $H(\cdot)$ , we deduce that  $H_1(\cdot)$  is measurable with nonempty closed values. Hence let  $\psi(\cdot)$  be a measurable selection of  $H_1(\cdot)$ . It follows that  $\psi \in T_1(u)$  and one has

$$\|\phi - \psi\|_1 = \int_0^T |\phi(t) - \psi(t)|dt \leq \int_0^T q(t)dt.$$

As above we obtain (3.1).

We apply Proposition 2.1 and we infer that

$$d_H(Fix(T), Fix(T_1)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - G_0L_0} \int_0^T q(t)dt.$$

Since  $v(\cdot) = L_4y(\cdot) + a(\cdot)y(\cdot) \in Fix(T_1)$  it follows that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $u(\cdot) \in Fix(T)$  such that

$$\|v - u\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{1 - G_0L_0} \int_0^T q(t)dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{G_0}.$$

We define  $x(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s)u(s)ds$ ,  $t \in I$  and we have

$$|x(t) - y(t)| \leq \int_0^T |G(t, s)| \cdot |u(s) - v(s)|ds \leq \frac{G_0}{1 - G_0L_0} \int_0^T q(t)dt + \varepsilon$$

which completes the proof.

The assumption in Theorem 3.2 is satisfied, in particular, for  $y(\cdot) = 0$  and therefore, via Hypothesis 3.1 (iii), with  $q(\cdot) = L(\cdot)$ . We obtain the following consequence of Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.3** *Assume that Hypothesis 3.1 is satisfied and  $G_0L_0 < 1$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x(\cdot)$  a solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2) satisfying for all  $t \in I$*

$$|x(t)| \leq \frac{G_0L_0}{1 - G_0L_0} + \varepsilon. \tag{3.2}$$

**Remark 3.4** Corollary 3.3 is an improvement of Theorem 3.3 in [3]. According to Theorem 3.3 in [3] if Hypothesis 3.1 is satisfied,  $G_0L_0 < 1$  and  $F(.,.)$  has compact values then problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least a solution. Obviously, in Corollary 3.3 we do not assume that the values of  $F(.,.)$  are compact. Moreover, in (3.2) we obtained a priori bounds for the solution.

We present next the main result of this paper. Its proof uses Filippov's construction of successive approximations ([10]).

**Theorem 3.5** *Assume that Hypothesis 3.1 (i), (ii) is satisfied and  $G_0L_0 < 1$ . Let  $y(.) \in AC^3(I, \mathbb{R})$  be such that  $L_i y(0) = L_i y(T)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and there exists  $q(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$  with  $d(L_4 y(t) + a(t)y(t), F(t, y(t))) \leq q(t)$ , a.e. (I).*

*Then there exists  $x(.)$  a solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2) satisfying for all  $t \in I$*

$$|x(t) - y(t)| \leq \frac{G_0}{1 - G_0L_0} \int_0^T q(t) dt. \quad (3.3)$$

**Proof.** The set-valued map  $t \rightarrow F(t, y(t))$  is measurable with closed values and

$$F(t, y(t)) \cap \{L_4 y(t) + a(t)y(t) + q(t)[-1, 1]\} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{a.e. (I)}.$$

It follows (e.g., Theorem 1.14.1 in [4]) that there exists a measurable selection  $f_1(t) \in F(t, y(t))$  a.e. (I) such that

$$|f_1(t) - L_4 y(t) - a(t)y(t)| \leq q(t) \quad \text{a.e. (I)} \quad (3.4)$$

Define  $x_1(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s) f_1(s) ds$  and one has

$$|x_1(t) - y(t)| \leq G_0 \int_0^T q(t) dt.$$

We claim that it is enough to construct the sequences  $x_n(.) \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $f_n(.) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $n \geq 1$  with the following properties

$$x_n(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s) f_n(s) ds, \quad t \in I, \quad (3.5)$$

$$f_n(t) \in F(t, x_{n-1}(t)) \quad \text{a.e. (I)}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.6)$$

$$|f_{n+1}(t) - f_n(t)| \leq L(t)|x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)| \quad \text{a.e. (I)}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.7)$$

If this construction is realized then from (3.4)-(3.7) we have for almost all  $t \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)| &\leq \int_0^T |G(t, t_1)| \cdot |f_{n+1}(t_1) - f_n(t_1)| dt_1 \leq \\ &G_0 \int_0^T L(t_1) |x_n(t_1) - x_{n-1}(t_1)| dt_1 \leq G_0 \int_0^T L(t_1) \int_0^T |G(t_1, t_2)| \cdot \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f_n(t_2) - f_{n-1}(t_2)|dt_2 &\leq G_0^2 \int_0^T L(t_1) \int_0^T L(t_2)|x_{n-1}(t_2) - x_{n-2}(t_2)|dt_2dt_1 \\
 &\leq (G_0)^n \int_0^T L(t_1) \int_0^T L(t_2)\dots \int_0^T L(t_n)|x_1(t_n) - y(t_n)|dt_n\dots dt_1 \leq \\
 &\leq (G_0L_0)^n G_0 \int_0^T q(t)dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{x_n(\cdot)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space  $C(I, \mathbb{R})$ , hence converging uniformly to some  $x(\cdot) \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, by (3.7), for almost all  $t \in I$ , the sequence  $\{f_n(t)\}$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $f(\cdot)$  be the pointwise limit of  $f_n(\cdot)$ .

Moreover, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_n(t) - y(t)| &\leq |x_1(t) - y(t)| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x_{i+1}(t) - x_i(t)| \leq \\
 G_0 \int_0^T q(t)dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (G_0 \int_0^T q(t)dt)(G_0L_0)^i &= \frac{G_0 \int_0^T q(t)dt}{1-G_0L_0}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, from (3.4), (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain for almost all  $t \in I$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f_n(t) - L_4y(t) - a(t)y(t)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |f_{i+1}(t) - f_i(t)| + |f_1(t) - L_4y(t) \\
 -a(t)y(t)| &\leq L(t) \frac{G_0 \int_0^T q(t)dt}{1-G_0L_0} + q(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence  $f_n(\cdot)$  is integrably bounded and therefore  $f(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ .

Using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and taking the limit in (3.5), (3.6) we deduce that  $x(\cdot)$  is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2). Finally, passing to the limit in (3.8) we obtained the desired estimate on  $x(\cdot)$ .

It remains to construct the sequences  $x_n(\cdot), f_n(\cdot)$  with the properties in (3.5)-(3.7). The construction will be done by induction.

Since the first step is already realized, assume that for some  $N \geq 1$  we already constructed  $x_n(\cdot) \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$  and  $f_n(\cdot) \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$  satisfying (3.5), (3.7) for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and (3.6) for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ . The set-valued map  $t \rightarrow F(t, x_N(t))$  is measurable. Moreover, the map  $t \rightarrow L(t)|x_N(t) - x_{N-1}(t)|$  is measurable. By the lipschitzianity of  $F(t, \cdot)$  we have that for almost all  $t \in I$

$$F(t, x_N(t)) \cap \{f_N(t) + L(t)|x_N(t) - x_{N-1}(t)|[-1, 1]\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 1.14.1 in [4] yields that there exist a measurable selection  $f_{N+1}(\cdot)$  of  $F(\cdot, x_N(\cdot))$  such that

$$|f_{N+1}(t) - f_N(t)| \leq L(t)|x_N(t) - x_{N-1}(t)| \quad a.e. (I).$$

We define  $x_{N+1}(\cdot)$  as in (3.5) with  $n = N + 1$ . Thus  $f_{N+1}(\cdot)$  satisfies (3.6) and (3.7) and the proof is complete.

**Remark 3.6** Obviously, Theorem 3.5 extends Theorem 3.2. We do not suppose that  $d(0, F(t, 0)) \leq L(t) \quad a.e. (I)$  and the estimate in (3.3) is better than the one in Theorem 3.2.

Even if Theorem 3.5 improves Theorem 3.2, we chosen to present both results; on one hand because the methods used in their proofs are different and on the other hand to show that there exists situations when the fixed point approaches are less powerful.

**Acknowledgements** Work supported by the CNCS grant PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0198.

## References

- [1] A. R. AFTABIZADEH, Existence and uniqueness for fourth-order boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **116** (1986), 415-426.
- [2] R. AGARWAL, On fourth-order boundary value problems arising in beam analysis, *Differ. Integral Equ.*, **2** (1989), 91-110.
- [3] A. ARARA, M. BENCHOHRA, S. K. NTOUYAS, A. OUAHAB, Existence results for boundary value problems for fourth-order differential inclusions with nonconvex valued right hand side, *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, **40** (2004), 219-227.
- [4] J. P. AUBIN, A. CELLINA, *Differential Inclusions*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [5] A. CABADA, The method of lower and upper solutions for second, third, fourth and higher order boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **248** (2000), 1955-2002.
- [6] C. CASTAING, M. VALADIER, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [7] H. COVITZ, S. B. NADLER jr., Multivalued contraction mapping in generalized metric spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **8** (1970), 5-11.
- [8] C. DE COSTER, C. FABRY, F. MUNYAMARERE, Nonresonance conditions for fourth-order nonlinear boundary value problems, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **112** (1994), 725-740.
- [9] M. A. DEL PINO, R. F. MANASEVICH, Existence for a fourth-order boundary value problem under a two-parameter nonresonance condition, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **112** (1991), 81-86.
- [10] A. F. FILIPPOV, Classical solutions of differential equations with multivalued right hand side, *SIAM J. Control*, **5** (1967), 609-621.
- [11] Z. KANNAI, P. TALLOS, Stability of solution sets of differential inclusions, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **61**(1995), 197-207.
- [12] P. KORMAN, A maximum principle for fourth-order ordinary differential equations, *Appl. Anal.*, **33** (1989), 267-273.
- [13] T.C. LIM, On fixed point stability for set valued contractive mappings with applications to generalized differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **110** (1985), 436-441.



- [14] R. Y. MA, J. H. ZHANG, M. FU, The method of lower and upper solutions for fourth-order two-point boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **215** (1997), 415-422.
- [15] M. ŠVEC, Periodic boundary problem for fourth order differential inclusions, *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, **33** (1997), 167-171.
- [16] P. TALLLOS, A Filippov-Gronwall type inequality in infinite dimensional space, *Pure Math. Appl.*, **5** (1994), 355-362.

Received: 15.09.2011,  
Accepted: 29.12.2011.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Bucharest, Academiei 14, 010014 Bucharest,  
Romania.  
E-mail: [acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro](mailto:acernea@fmi.unibuc.ro)