Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie
Tome 55(103) No. 3, 2012, 335–338

Stanley Conjecture on intersection of three monomial primary ideals

by
Andrei Zarojanu

Abstract

We show that the Stanley's Conjecture holds for an intersection of three monomial primary ideals of a polynomial algebra S over a field.

Key Words: Monomial Ideals, Stanley decompositions, Stanley depth.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13C15; Secondary 13F20, 13F55, 13P10.

Introduction

Let K be a field and $S = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over K in n variables. Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal of $S, u \in I$ a monomial and $uK[Z], Z \subset \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ the linear K-subspace of I of all elements $uf,\ f \in K[Z]$. A presentation of I as a finite direct sum of spaces $\mathcal{D} : I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} u_i K[Z_i]$ is called a Stanley decomposition of I. Set $\operatorname{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \min\{|Z_i| : i = 1, ..., r\}$ and

$$\operatorname{sdepth}\ I := \max\{\operatorname{sdepth}\ (\mathcal{D}) :\ \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } I\}.$$

The Stanley's Conjecture [11] says that sdepth $I \geq$ depth I. This is proved if either I is an intersection of four monomial prime ideals by [6, Theorem 2.6] and [8, Theorem 4.2], or I is the intersection of two monomial irreducible ideals by [10, Theorem 5.6], or a square free monomial ideal of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_5]$ by [7] (a short exposition on this subject is given in [9]). It is the purpose of our paper to show that the Stanley's Conjecture holds for intersections of three monomial primary ideals (see Theorem 2.2).

1 Computing depth

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} Q_i$ an irredundant primary decompostion of I, where the Q_i are monomial primary ideals. Set $P_i = \sqrt{Q_i}$. According to Lyubeznik [5] $\operatorname{size} I$ is the number $v + (n - h) - 1$, where $h = \operatorname{height} \sum_{j=1}^{s} Q_j$ and v is the minimum number t such that there exist $1 \leq j_1 < ... < j_t \leq s$ with

$$\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{t} Q_{j_k}} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{s} Q_j}.$$

In [5] it shows that $\operatorname{depth}_S I \geq 1 + \operatorname{size} I$.

In the study of the Stanley's Conjecture, we may always assume that $h = n$, that is $\sum_{i=1}^{s} P_i = m =: (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, because each free variable on I increases depth and sdepth with 1.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{3} Q_i$ an irredundant primary decomposition of I, where each Q_i is P_i - primary. Suppose that $P_i \neq m$ for all $i \in [3]$. Then*

(a) *If $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$ and $P_1 \not\subset P_i$ for $i = 2, 3$, then* $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = 1 + \min\{\dim S/(P_1 + P_2), \dim S/(P_1 + P_3)\}$.

(b) *If $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$ and $P_1 \subset P_2, P_1 \not\subset P_3$, then* $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = \min\{\dim S/P_2, 1 + \dim S/(P_1 + P_3)\}$.

(c) *If $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$ and $P_1 \subset P_i$ for $i = 2, 3$ then* $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = \min\{\dim S/P_2, \dim S/P_3\}$.

(d) *If $Q_i \not\subset \sum_{j=1,\ j\neq i}^{3} Q_j$, for all i then $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = 1$ if and only if $\operatorname{size} I = 1$.*

(e) *If $Q_i \not\subset \sum_{j=1,\ j\neq i}^{3} Q_j$, for all i then $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = 2$ if and only if $\operatorname{size} I = 2$.*

Proof: As $\operatorname{Ass}_S S/I = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ we get $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I > 0$ by assumptions. We have the following exact sequences

1.
$$0 \to \frac{S}{I} \to \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3} \to \frac{S}{Q_1} \to 0,$$

2.
$$0 \to \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \to \frac{S}{Q_1} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_2} \to \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_2} \to 0,$$

3.
$$0 \to \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3} \to \frac{S}{Q_1} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_3} \to \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_3} \to 0.$$

Apply Depth Lemma in (2) and (3). If P_1 is not properly contained in P_2 or P_3 then $\operatorname{depth} \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3} = 1 + \operatorname{depth} \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_3}$ and $\operatorname{depth} \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} = 1 + \operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_2}$. If $P_1 \subset P_2$ then $\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \geq \operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_2} = \dim \frac{S}{P_2}$. But $\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \leq \dim \frac{S}{Q_2}$, that is $\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} = \dim \frac{S}{P_2}$. Similarly, $\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3} = \dim \frac{S}{P_3}$ if $P_1 \subset P_3$.

The statements (a),(b), (c) follow if we show that

$$\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = \min\{\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2}, \operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3}\}.$$

If $\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1} > \min\{\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2}, \operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3}\}$ then by Depth Lemma applied in (1) we get the above equality. If $\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1} = \min\{\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2}, \operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_3}\}$ then we get similarly $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I \geq \operatorname{depth}_S S/Q_1 = \operatorname{depth}_S S/P_1$. As $P_1 \in \operatorname{Ass} S/I$ then $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I \leq \dim S/P_1 = \operatorname{depth}_S S/Q_1$. Thus $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = \operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1}$, which is enough.

(d) If $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = 1$ then $2 = \operatorname{depth}_S I \geq 1 + \operatorname{size} I$, that is $1 \geq \operatorname{size} I \geq 0$. But $\operatorname{size} I \neq 0$ because the primary decomposition is irredundant. Conversely, if $\operatorname{size} I = 1$ then $v = 2$ and we may assume that $P_2 + P_3 = P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = m$. We consider the exact sequences

(4)
$$0 \to \frac{S}{I} \to \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_3} \to \frac{S}{Q_3 + (Q_1 \cap Q_2)} \to 0,$$

(5)
$$0 \to \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \to \frac{S}{Q_1} \oplus \frac{S}{Q_2} \to \frac{S}{Q_1 + Q_2} \to 0.$$

From (5) we have $\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1 \cap Q_2} = 1 + \operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_1+Q_2} \geq 1$ by Depth Lemma. Note that $\operatorname{depth}_S S/Q_3 \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{Q_3+(Q_1 \cap Q_2)} = \operatorname{depth}_S \frac{S}{(Q_1+Q_3)\cap(Q_2+Q_3)} = 0$ because $\sqrt{Q_2+Q_3} = m$, and $Q_1 \not\subset Q_2 + Q_3$. Thus Depth Lemma applied in (4) gives $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = 1$.

(e) If $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = 2$, then $\operatorname{depth}_S I = 3 \geq 1 + \operatorname{size} I$. But $\operatorname{size} I \leq 1$ was the subject of (d), so $\operatorname{size} I = 2$. Conversely, suppose that $\operatorname{size} I = 2$, that is $v = 3$. Then $P_i \not\subset \sum_{j=1,\ j\neq i}^{3} P_j$, for all i and by [4, Proposition 2.1] we get $\operatorname{depth}_S I \leq 3$. As $\operatorname{depth}_S I \geq 1 + \operatorname{size} I$ we get $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = 2$. □

2 Stanley's depth

In this section we introduce a new way of splitting, inspired from [4], that helps us to prove the Stanley Conjecture when $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{3} Q_i$ is an irredundant primary decomposition of I.

Theorem 2.1. *Let I be a monomial ideal and $I = Q_1 \cap Q_2$ an irredundant primary decomposition of I , where Q_i is P_i primary. Then the Stanley conjecture holds for I.*

Proof: As usual we my suppose that $P_1 + P_2 = m$. Also we may suppose that $P_i \neq m$ for all i, because otherwise $\operatorname{depth}_S I = 1$ and there exists nothing to show. Applying Depth Lemma in the above exact sequence (2) we get $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = 1$, so $\operatorname{depth}_S I = 2 = 1 + \operatorname{size} I$. By [3, Theorem 3.1] we have $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I \geq \operatorname{depth}_S I$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let I be a monomial ideal and $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{3} Q_i$ an irredundant primary decomposition of I , where Q_i is P_i primary. Then the Stanley conjecture holds for I.*

Proof: We may suppose as above $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = m$ and $P_i \neq m$ for all i. If $Q_i \not\subset \sum_{j=1,\ j\neq i}^{3} Q_j$, for all $i \in [3]$ we have according to Lemma 1.1 minimal depth that is $\operatorname{depth} I = 1 + \operatorname{size} I$. Then by [3, Theorem 3.1] we get $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I \geq \operatorname{depth}_S I$. Now suppose that $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$. It follows that $\operatorname{size} I = 1$. If $P_1 + P_2 = m$ or $P_1 + P_3 = m$ then $\dim \frac{S}{Q_1+Q_2} = 0$ or $\dim \frac{S}{Q_1+Q_3} = 0$ therefore $\operatorname{depth}_S S/I = 1$ that is $\operatorname{depth}_S I = 2$. Then again we get $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I \geq 1 + \operatorname{size} I = 2 = \operatorname{depth}_S I$ by by [3, Theorem 3.1].

Otherwise $P_1 + P_2 \neq m \neq P_1 + P_3$. Let $P_1 = (x_1, ..., x_r)$ and $P_3 = (x_{e+1}, ..., x_t)$, $2 \leq r \leq n-1, e+1 \leq r$. If $r = 1$ then $Q_1 \subset Q_2$ or $Q_1 \subset Q_3$ because $Q_1 \subset Q_2 + Q_3$. This is false since the primary decomposition is irredundant. If $r = n$ then $P_1 = m$, which is not possible. If $e + 1 > r$ then $Q_1 \subset Q_2$, also a contradiction. We will prove this case by induction on n. If $n = 3$, then $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I \geq 1 + \operatorname{size} I = 2 \geq \operatorname{depth}_S I$, because I is not principal. Assume now $n > 3$. We set $S' = K[x_1, ..., x_r]$, $\bar{S} := K[x_1, ..., x_e, x_{r+1}, ..., x_n]$ and $J_3 = \bigoplus_w w((I : w) \cap \bar{S})$, where w runs in the finite set of monomials of $K[x_{e+1}, ..., x_r] \setminus Q_3$.

We claim that $I = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (Q_3 \cap S')S \oplus J_3$. It is enough to see the inclusion " $\subset$ ". Let $a \in I$ be a monomial, then $a = uv$, where $u \in \bar{S}$ and $v \in K[x_{e+1}, ..., x_r]$ are monomials. If $v \notin Q_3$ then $u \in (I : v) \cap \bar{S}$, so $a \in J_3$. If $v \in Q_3$ then $a \in (Q_3 \cap S')S$. As $a \in I$ we get $a \in Q_1 \cap Q_2$ therefore a $\in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (Q_3 \cap S')S$. The above sum is direct. Indeed, let $a = uv \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (Q_3 \cap S')S \cap J_3$ be as above. Then $v \notin Q_3$ because $a \in J_3$. But v must be in $(Q_3 \cap S')S$. Contradiction!

The ideal $I' := Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (Q_3 \cap S')S \subset P_1 + P_2 \neq m$ and so is an extension of an ideal from less than n-variables and we may apply the induction hypothesis for I', that is $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I' \geq \operatorname{depth}_S I'$. Since $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I \geq \min\{\operatorname{sdepth}_S I', \{\operatorname{sdepth}_{\bar{S}}((I : w) \cap \bar{S})\}_w\}$ it remains to show that $\operatorname{depth}_S I' \geq \operatorname{depth}_S I$ and $\operatorname{depth}_{\bar{S}}((I : w) \cap \bar{S}) \geq \operatorname{depth}_S I$, applying again the induction hypothesis since $\bar{S}$ has less than n-variables. The first inequality follows because $\dim S/(P_3 \cap S')S \geq \dim S/P_3$, $\dim S/(P_1 + (P_3 \cap S')S) \geq \dim S/P_1 + P_3$ using Lemma 1.1 (a), (b), (c).

For the second inequality note that for $w \notin Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$ we have $(Q_i : w)$ primary and so $L_i := (Q_i : w) \cap \bar{S}$ is $\bar{P}_i := P_i \cap \bar{S}$-primary too. We have $\dim \bar{S}/\bar{P}_i = \dim S/P_i$ for $i = 1, 3$ because $(x_{e+1}, \ldots, x_r) \subset P_1 \cap P_3$. Thus $\dim \bar{S}/(\bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_i) = \dim S/(P_1 + P_i)$ for all $i = 2, 3$. Using Lemma 1.1 we are done because $\dim S/P_2$ appears in the formulas only when $P_1 \subset P_2$, that is when $\dim \bar{S}/\bar{P}_2 = \dim S/P_2$.

If $w \in Q_2 \setminus (Q_1 \cup Q_3)$ then

$$\operatorname{depth}_{\bar{S}} \bar{S}/(L_1 \cap L_3) = 1 + \dim \bar{S}/(\bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_3) = 1 + \dim S/(P_1 + P_3) \geq \operatorname{depth}_S S/I$$

by the same lemma, the only problem could appear when $P_1 \subset P_3$, but in this case

$$\dim \bar{S}/(\bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_3) = \dim S/(P_1 + P_3) = \bar{S}/\bar{P}_3 = \dim S/P_3$$

and it follows

$$\operatorname{depth}_{\bar{S}} \bar{S}/(L_1 \cap L_3) = 1 + \dim \bar{S}/(\bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_3) > \dim S/P_3 \geq \operatorname{depth}_S S/I.$$

If $w \in (Q_1 \cap Q_2) \setminus Q_3$ then $\operatorname{depth}_{\bar{S}} \bar{S}/L_3 = \dim S/P_3 \geq \operatorname{depth}_S S/I$ by [1]. $\square$

References

[1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings* Revised edition. Cambridge University Press (1998).

[2] J. Herzog, M. Vladoiu, X. Zheng, *How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal,* J. Algebra, 322 (2009), 3151-3169.

[3] J. Herzog, D. Popescu, M. Vladoiu, *Stanley depth and size of a monomial ideal*, arXiv:AC/1011.6462v1, 2010, to appear in Proceed. AMS.

[4] M. Ishaq, *Values and bounds of the Stanley depth*, to appear in Carpathian J. Math., arXiv:AC/1010.4692.

[5] G. Lyubeznik, *On the Arithmetical Rank of Monomial ideals*, J. Algebra **112**, 86-89 (1988).

[6] A. Popescu, *Special Stanley Decompositions*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, 53(101), no 4 (2010), arXiv:AC/1008.3680.

[7] D. Popescu, *An inequality between depth and Stanley depth*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 52(100), (2009), 377-382, arXiv:AC/0905.4597v2.

[8] D. Popescu, *Stanley conjecture on intersections of four monomial prime ideals*, arXiv.AC/1009.5646.

[9] D. Popescu, *Bounds of Stanley depth*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius. Constanta, 19(2),(2011), 187-194.

[10] D. Popescu, I. Qureshi, *Computing the Stanley depth*, J. Algebra, 323 (2010), 2943-2959.

[11] R. P. Stanley, *Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology,* Invent. Math. 68 (1982) 175-193.

Received: 16.07.2011, Accepted: 27.12.2011.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei 14, Bucharest, Romania, E-mail: `andrei.zarojanu@yahoo.com`