

On presheaves satisfying property (F2) and a separation axiom

by

D. JOIȚA AND L. NĂSTĂȘESCU

Abstract

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a presheaf of sets, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the associated sheaf and $\eta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the canonical morphism of presheaves. We study the relationship between the surjectivity of η_D for any open set D and the "gluing" property (F2).

Key Words: Presheaves of set, separation axioms.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54B40; Secondary 54D15.

1 Introduction

For a presheaf of sets \mathcal{F} on a topological space X we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the associated sheaf and by $\eta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the canonical morphism of presheaves. For basic notion and terminology regarding presheaves of sets on a topological space we refer to Godement's book [2]. For a presheaf of sets \mathcal{F} on X and $V \subset U$ two open subsets of X we will denote the restrictions $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ either by ρ_V^U or by $s \rightarrow s|_V$.

We say that \mathcal{F} has the property (F1) if for every open set U of X and every $s, t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ if there exists an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of U such that $s|_{U_i} = t|_{U_i}$ for every $i \in I$, then $s = t$.

We say that \mathcal{F} has the property (F2) if for every family of open sets $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X and every family of sections $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ that satisfy $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ for all $i, j \in I$, there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(\cup_{i \in I} U_i)$ such that $s|_{U_i} = s_i$.

It is a standard fact and easy to prove that \mathcal{F} satisfies (F1) if and only if $\eta_D : \mathcal{F}(D) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(D)$ is injective for every open subset D of X and \mathcal{F} satisfies both (F1) and (F2) if and only if η_D is bijective for every D . The natural question that one can ask is what is the relation between property (F2) and the surjectivity of η_D . The surjectivity of η_D can imply (F2) only for very simple topologies as Example 1 below shows. The other implication is much more subtle. In general this implication is not true either. We present here two examples. A very simple

one, which in fact can serve as a motivation for the proof of Theorem 1, and a more interesting one. Namely we show that if we consider \mathbb{C}^n endowed with the Zariski topology then we can find a presheaf of sets that satisfies (F2) and $\eta_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is not surjective.

However, if X is a Hausdorff paracompact space and \mathcal{F} satisfies (F2) then η_D is surjective for every open set D . For basic general topology notions we refer to [3] or [4]. This result is in fact an important technical ingredient. A proof of it can be found for example in [1], Chapter 1, Theorem 6.3.

Then the natural question that we can ask is if and how much the hypothesis that X is Hausdorff and paracompact can be relaxed and it is the purpose of our paper to explore this problem.

As it is well-known a Hausdorff paracompact space is in fact a normal (or a T4) space. While working on the above mentioned question we have been led to a weaker separation axiom. We call it (WS). It turns out that this condition is necessary as Theorem 1 shows.

2 Examples

For a presheaf of sets \mathcal{F} on a topological space X and for a point $x \in X$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}_x = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_x$ the inductive limit of $\mathcal{F}(U)$, U open subset of X with $x \in U$. That is $\mathcal{F}_x = (\bigsqcup \{\mathcal{F}(U) : x \in U, U \text{ open in } X\}) / \sim$ where the equivalence relation \sim is defined as follows: if $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ and $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ then $s \sim t$ if and only if there exists W an open subset of X such that $x \in W$, $W \subset U \cap V$ and $s|_W = t|_W$. If U is an open subset of X , $x \in U$ and s in $\mathcal{F}(U)$ we denote by s_x the equivalence class of s in \mathcal{F}_x .

Example 1: Suppose that X is a topological space such that there exist U, V, U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 proper and non-empty open subsets of X with the following properties: $U \cup V = X$ and $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, $U_1 \cup U_2 = U$, $U_1 \neq U$, $U_2 \neq U$, $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$ and $V_1 \neq V$, $V_2 \neq V$.

We define a presheaf of sets \mathcal{F} on X as follows: $\mathcal{F}(W) = \{0, 1\}$ (that means that we fix a set that has exactly two elements and for simplicity we choose these two elements to be the integers 0 and 1) if $W \supset U$ or $W \supset V$ and $\mathcal{F}(W) = \{0\}$ otherwise. If $W_1 \supset W_2$ are two open subsets of X then the restriction map $\rho_{W_2}^{W_1}$ is the identity if $\mathcal{F}(W_1) = \mathcal{F}(W_2)$ and the constant map $\{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0\}$ otherwise. Note that $\mathcal{F}(U_1) = \mathcal{F}(U_2) = \mathcal{F}(V_1) = \mathcal{F}(V_2) = \mathcal{F}(U \cap V) = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{F}(V) = \mathcal{F}(X) = \{0, 1\}$. It follows that $\mathcal{F}_x = \{0\}$ for every $x \in X$ and therefore for every open subset D of X we have that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(D)$ contains only one element, namely $s : D \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{x \in D} \mathcal{F}_x$, $s(x) = 0 \in \mathcal{F}_x$. As $\mathcal{F}(D) \neq \emptyset$ obviously η_D is surjective for every open subset D of X . On the other hand if we set $s_U \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, $s_U = 1$, and $s_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$, $s_V = 0$ then $\rho_{U \cap V}^U(s_U) = 0 = \rho_{U \cap V}^V(s_V)$ and there is no $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\rho_U^X(\sigma) = s_U$ and $\rho_V^X(\sigma) = s_V$, hence \mathcal{F} does not satisfy (F2).

Example 2: Suppose that X is a set and U_1, U_2, V, V_1, V_2, W are subsets of

X such that $U_1 \cup U_2 = X$, $U_1 \cap U_2 = V$, $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$, $V_1 \cap V_2 = W$. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{X, U_1, U_2, V, V_1, V_2, W, \emptyset\}$. It is clear that \mathcal{T} is a topology on X . We define a presheaf of sets on X (endowed with this topology) as follows: $\mathcal{F}(X) = \mathcal{F}(U_1) = \mathcal{F}(U_2) = \mathcal{F}(V) = \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(V_1) = \mathcal{F}(V_2) = \mathcal{F}(W) = \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ and the restrictions $\rho_{D_2}^{D_1}$ are the identity if $\mathcal{F}(D_1) = \mathcal{F}(D_2)$ and the constant map otherwise. We note first that \mathcal{F} satisfies (F2). Indeed, the only two open subsets of X that have non-trivial open coverings (non-trivial in the sense that no element of the covering is equal to the given open set) are $X = U_1 \cup U_2$ and $V = V_1 \cup V_2$. As $\mathcal{F}(V_1) = \mathcal{F}(V_2) = \{0\}$ the gluing property is automatically satisfied. Suppose that $s_1 \in \mathcal{F}(U_1)$ and $s_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U_2)$ are such that $s_1|_V = s_2|_V$. Then, because $\rho_V^{U_1} : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and $\rho_V^{U_2} : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ are the identity functions it follows that $s_1 = s_2$ as elements of $\{0, 1\}$ and then if we define $s \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $s = s_1 = s_2$ (as elements of $\{0, 1\}$) we get that $s|_{U_1} = s_1$ and $s|_{U_2} = s_2$. On the other hand $\mathcal{F}_x = \{0\}$ for every $x \in V$. Then if we set $s_1 \in \mathcal{F}(U_1)$, $s_1 = 0$, and $s_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U_2)$, $s_2 = 1$, s_1 and s_2 will determine a section $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X)$. If $s \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ is such that $s_x = s_{1x}$ for some $x \in U_1 \setminus V$ then $s = s_1 = 0$ as elements of $\{0, 1\}$. Similarly if $s \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ is such that $s_x = s_{2x}$ for some $x \in U_2 \setminus V$ then $s = s_2 = 1$ as elements of $\{0, 1\}$. We deduce that there is no $s \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with $\eta_X(s) = \tilde{s}$.

Example 3: Suppose that $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, endowed with the Zariski topology. We pick two distinct points $a \neq b \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We define a presheaf of sets \mathcal{F} as follows: if U is Zariski open set in \mathbb{C}^n such that there exists an irreducible algebraic variety $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with $a, b \in Z$ and $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n \setminus Z$ then we set $\mathcal{F}(U) = \{0\}$, otherwise $\mathcal{F}(U) = \{0, 1\}$. In particular if $U \cap \{a, b\} \neq \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{F}(U) = \{0, 1\}$ and hence $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{a\}) = \{0, 1\}$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{b\}) = \{0, 1\}$. If U_1 and U_2 are two Zariski open sets such that $U_1 \subset U_2$ and $\mathcal{F}(U_1) = \{0, 1\}$ (and therefore $\mathcal{F}(U_2) = \{0, 1\}$) then the restriction map $\rho_{U_1}^{U_2}$ is the identity, otherwise $\rho_{U_1}^{U_2}$ is the constant function. Obviously this is a presheaf. We will show that \mathcal{F} satisfies (F2) and η_X is not surjective. Suppose that $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ are Zariski open sets and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ are sections such that $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ for all $i, j \in I$. We would like to show that there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{U_i} = s_i$. If $s_i = 0$ for every $i \in I$ there is nothing to prove; we simply choose $s = 0 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$. Suppose now that there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $s_{i_0} = 1$. We need to prove that if $i \in I$ is such that $\mathcal{F}(U_i) = \{0, 1\}$ then $s_i = 1$. If this is the case, we set $s = 1 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$. Choose such an $i \in I$. We have to consider two cases.

Case 1: $\mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_{i_0}) = \{0, 1\}$. Then $s_i|_{U_{i_0} \cap U_i} = s_{i_0}|_{U_{i_0} \cap U_i} = 1$, hence $s_i = 1$.

Case 2: $\mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_{i_0}) = \{0\}$. By definition there exists an irreducible variety, Z , such that $\dim(Z) \geq 1$, $a, b \in Z$ and $U_{i_0} \cap U_i \subset \mathbb{C}^n \setminus Z$. Let $Y_0 = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus U_{i_0}$ and $Y_1 = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus U_i$. Then Y_0 and Y_1 are algebraic varieties in \mathbb{C}^n and $Z \subset Y_0 \cup Y_1$. Since Z is irreducible it follows that $Z \subset Y_0$ or $Z \subset Y_1$. However this cannot happen because, according to our assumption, $\mathcal{F}(U_{i_0}) = \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(U_i) = \{0, 1\}$.

Next we will show that η_X is not onto. Notice that $\mathcal{F}_a = \{0, 1\}$, $\mathcal{F}_b = \{0, 1\}$ and, since for each point $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{a, b\}$ there exists a positive dimensional irreducible algebraic variety Z such that $x \notin Z$ and $\{a, b\} \subset Z$, we have that

$\mathcal{F}_x = \{0\}$ for every point x other than a or b . If $s_1 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{a\})$, $s_1 = 1$, and $s_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{b\})$, $s_2 = 0$ then they determine a section in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and there is no $s \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $s_a = s_{1a} = 1$ and $s_b = s_{2b} = 0$.

3 Results

According to the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, the surjectivity of η_D , where D is an open subset of X , means the following: if $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of D and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ are sections such that for every $i, j \in I$ and $s_{ix} = s_{jx}$ for every $x \in U_i \cap U_j$ then there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ such that $s_x = s_{ix}$ for every $x \in U_i$. With Zorn's lemma we introduce the following:

Definition 1. Let X be a topological space.

- a) If D is an open subset of X , we say that \mathcal{F} satisfies property (O1)(D) if for every two open sets U_1 and U_2 such that $U_1 \cup U_2 = D$ and every two sections $s_1 \in \mathcal{F}(U_1)$, $s_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U_2)$ such that $s_{1x} = s_{2x}$ for every $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$ there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ such that $s_x = s_{1x} \forall x \in U_1$ and $s_x = s_{2x} \forall x \in U_2$.
- b) If D is an open subset of X , we say that \mathcal{F} satisfies property (O2)(D) if for every totally ordered set $(I, <)$, every family of open subsets of D , $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$, such that $U_i \subset U_j$ for every $i < j \in I$ and $\cup_{i \in I} U_i = D$, and every family of sections $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ such that $s_{ix} = s_{jx}$ for all $i < j \in I$ and $x \in U_i$, there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ such that $s_x = s_{ix}$ for all $i \in I$ and $x \in U_i$.

Proposition 1. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a presheaf of sets on the topological space X such that \mathcal{F} satisfies (O1)(D) and (O2)(D) for every open subset D of X . Then $\eta_D : \mathcal{F}(D) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(D)$ is surjective for every open subset D of X .

Proof: Let Ω be an open subset of X and let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of Ω and let $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ be such that $s_{ix} = s_{jx}$ for every $i, j \in I$ and every $x \in U_i \cap U_j$. We define the following subset of the power set of I :

$$\mathcal{A} = \{J : J \subset I \text{ and there exist } \sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\cup_{j \in J} U_j), \sigma_x = s_{jx} \forall j \in J\}$$

On \mathcal{A} we consider the order given by the inclusion. Since \mathcal{F} satisfies (O2)(D) for every open set D , \mathcal{A} is directed and hence by Zorn's lemma it has a maximal element, call it J_0 . If we show that J_0 is actually equal to I the Proposition is proved. Suppose that $J_0 \neq I$ and let $k \in I \setminus J_0$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\cup_{j \in J_0} U_j)$, $\sigma_x = s_{jx} \forall j \in J_0$. Obviously $\sigma_x = s_{kx}$ for all $x \in U_k \cap (\cup_{j \in J_0} U_j)$. As \mathcal{F} satisfies (O1)($\cup_{i \in J_0 \cup \{k\}} U_i$), there exists $\sigma' \in \mathcal{F}(\cup_{i \in J_0 \cup \{k\}} U_i)$ such that $\sigma'_x = \sigma_x$ for $x \in \cup_{j \in J_0} U_j$ and $\sigma'_x = s_{kx}$ for $x \in U_k$. It follows that $\sigma'_x = s_{ix}$ for all $i \in J_0 \cup \{k\}$ and $x \in U_i$. This implies that $J_0 \cup \{k\} \in \mathcal{A}$, which contradicts the maximality of J_0 . \square

Definition 2. a) Let X be a topological space and F_1 and F_2 two disjoint closed subsets of X . We say that F_1 and F_2 have the property (WS) if for every $\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in I}$, an open covering of $X \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2)$, there exist \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 open coverings for F_1 and F_2 respectively such that for every $U_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $U_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ there exists $i \in I$ such that $U_1 \cap U_2 \subset \Omega_i$.

b) We say that X is a (WN)-space if every two closed disjoint subsets of X have the property (WS).

Theorem 1. If X is a topological space such that every presheaf of sets \mathcal{F} on X that has the property (F2) has also the property (O1)(X) then every two disjoint closed subsets of X , F_1 and F_2 , have the property (WS).

Proof: Suppose that there exists two disjoint closed subsets of X , F_1 and F_2 , that do not have the property (WS). We will produce a presheaf of sets \mathcal{F} on X that has the property (F2) and does not have the property (O1)(X).

Let $\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of $X \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2)$ such that for every \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 open coverings for F_1 and F_2 , respectively, there exist $U_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $U_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ such that $U_1 \cap U_2 \not\subset \Omega_i$ for every $i \in I$.

Suppose that D is an open subset of X and \mathcal{U} is an open covering of D . A function $\alpha : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is called *consistent* (with $\{\Omega_s\}$) if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$, if there exists $i \in I$ such that $U \subset \Omega_i$ then $\alpha(U) = 0$
- for all $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$, if there is no $i \in I$ such that $U \cap V \subset \Omega_i$ then $\alpha(U) = \alpha(V)$

Suppose that $D_1 \subset D$ are open subsets of X , \mathcal{U} is an open covering of D and $\alpha : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is consistent. Then we set $\mathcal{U}_{|D_1} := \mathcal{U} \cap D_1 = \{U \cap D_1 : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and $\alpha_{|D_1} : \mathcal{U}_{|D_1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, where, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $\alpha_{|D_1}(U \cap D_1) = \alpha(U)$ if there is no $i \in I$ such that $U \cap D_1 \subset \Omega_i$ and $\alpha_{|D_1}(U \cap D_1) = 0$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $\alpha_{|D_1}$ is also consistent. Note that if $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $U \subset D_1$ then $\alpha_{|D_1}(U) = \alpha(U)$.

An open covering \mathcal{U} of D is called *complete* if whenever U and V are open subsets of X such that $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V \subset U$ then $V \in \mathcal{U}$. Note that if \mathcal{U} is a complete covering of D and D_1 is an open subset of D then $\mathcal{U}_{|D_1}$ is a complete covering of D_1 .

Remark: If \mathcal{U} is an open covering of D we set $co(\mathcal{U}) := \{V : V \text{ is open in } X \text{ and } \exists U \in \mathcal{U}, V \subset U\}$. Hence \mathcal{U} is complete if and only if $\mathcal{U} = co(\mathcal{U})$. If \mathcal{U} is an open covering of D and $\alpha : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is consistent then we can extend it to a consistent function $co(\alpha) : co(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows: if $V \subset U$ are open in X and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ then we set $co(\alpha)(V) = \alpha(U)$ if there is no $i \in I$ such that $V \subset \Omega_i$ and $co(\alpha)(V) = 0$ otherwise.

We define now a presheaf of sets on X as follows: if D is an open subset of X then $\mathcal{F}(D) = \{(\mathcal{U}, \alpha) : \mathcal{U} \text{ is a complete covering of } D, \alpha : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \text{ is consistent}\}$. If $D_1 \subset D_2$ are open sets, $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F}(D_2)$ then $\rho_{D_1}^{D_2}(\mathcal{U}, \alpha) = (\mathcal{U}_{|D_1}, \alpha_{|D_1})$.

It is clear that for $D_0 \subset D_1 \subset D_2$, we have $\rho_{D_0}^{D_2} = \rho_{D_0}^{D_1} \circ \rho_{D_1}^{D_2}$. Hence \mathcal{F} is a presheaf. We will check next that \mathcal{F} has the property (F2). Let $\{D_l\}_{l \in L}$ be open sets in X and $(\mathcal{U}_l, \alpha_l) \in \mathcal{F}(D_l)$ be such that, for every $l, k \in L$, $\rho_{D_l \cap D_k}^{D_l}(\mathcal{U}_l, \alpha_l) =$

$\rho_{D_l \cap D_k}^{D_k}(\mathcal{U}_k, \alpha_k)$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \cup_{l \in L} \mathcal{U}_l$. Clearly \mathcal{U} is an open covering for $D = \cup_{l \in L} D_l$. It is also a complete covering: if $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and V is an open subset of U then there exists $l \in L$ such that $U \in \mathcal{U}_l$. Since \mathcal{U}_l is complete then $V \in \mathcal{U}_l$ and hence $V \in \mathcal{U}$.

Note now that $\alpha_k|_{\mathcal{U}_l \cap \mathcal{U}_k} = \alpha_l|_{\mathcal{U}_l \cap \mathcal{U}_k}$. Indeed, if $U \in \mathcal{U}_l \cap \mathcal{U}_k$ then $U \subset D_l \cap D_k$ and hence $U \in \mathcal{U}_{l|D_l \cap D_k}$. By definition $\alpha_l(U) = \alpha_{l|D_l \cap D_k}(U)$ and similarly $\alpha_k(U) = \alpha_{k|D_l \cap D_k}(U)$. The compatibility condition $\rho_{D_l \cap D_k}^{D_l}(\mathcal{U}_l, \alpha_l) = \rho_{D_l \cap D_k}^{D_k}(\mathcal{U}_k, \alpha_k)$ implies that $\alpha_{l|D_l \cap D_k}(U) = \alpha_{k|D_l \cap D_k}(U)$.

The following function is well defined then: $\alpha : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $\alpha|_{\mathcal{U}_l} = \alpha_l$, for all $l \in L$. We claim that it is consistent: let $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $l, k \in L$ be such that $U \in \mathcal{U}_l$ and $V \in \mathcal{U}_k$. If $U \subset \Omega_i$ for some $i \in I$ then, as α_l is consistent, $\alpha_l(U) = 0$ and therefore $\alpha(U) = 0$. At the same time $U \cap V \in \mathcal{U}_l$ and $U \cap V \in \mathcal{U}_k$ (by the completeness of \mathcal{U}_l and \mathcal{U}_k). If there is no $i \in I$ such that $U \cap V \subset \Omega_i$ then, using the consistency of α_l and α_k we get:

$$\alpha(U) = \alpha_l(U) = \alpha_l(U \cap V) = \alpha_k(U \cap V) = \alpha_k(V) = \alpha(V).$$

What is left to notice is that $\mathcal{U}_{D_l} = \mathcal{U}_l$. This is in fact the main point where the completeness assumption comes into place. It is clear that $\mathcal{U}_{D_l} \supset \mathcal{U}_l$. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Say that $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$. Since $\mathcal{U}_{l|D_l \cap D_k} = \mathcal{U}_{l|D_l \cap D_k}$ it follows that there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}_l$ such that $V \cap D_l \cap D_k = U \cap D_l \cap D_k$. Since \mathcal{U}_l is complete we have that $V \cap D_l \cap D_k \in \mathcal{U}_l$ and therefore $U \cap D_l \cap D_k = (V \cap D_k) \cap D_l = U \cap D_l \in \mathcal{U}_l$.

We will prove that \mathcal{F} does not have the property (O1)(X). Let $D_1 = X \setminus F_2$ and $D_2 = X \setminus F_1$. Let $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{U : U \text{ is open in } D_1\}$, $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{U : U \text{ is open in } D_2\}$, $\alpha_1 : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $\alpha_1(U) = 1$ if there is no $i \in I$ such that $U \subset \Omega_i$ and $\alpha_1(U) = 0$ otherwise, $\alpha_2 : \mathcal{U}_2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $\alpha_2(U) = 0$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}_2$. We have that $(\mathcal{U}_1, \alpha_1) \in \mathcal{F}(D_1)$ and $(\mathcal{U}_2, \alpha_2) \in \mathcal{F}(D_2)$. At the same time, for every $x \in D_1 \cap D_2 = X \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2)$ there exists $i \in I$ such that $x \in \Omega_i$. As $\rho_{\Omega_i}^{D_1}(\mathcal{U}_1, \alpha_1) = \rho_{\Omega_i}^{D_2}(\mathcal{U}_2, \alpha_2)$ it follows that $(\mathcal{U}_1, \alpha_1)_x = (\mathcal{U}_2, \alpha_2)_x$ for every $x \in D_1 \cap D_2$.

Suppose that there exists $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)_x = (\mathcal{U}_1, \alpha_1)_x$ for every $x \in D_1$ and $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)_x = (\mathcal{U}_2, \alpha_2)_x$ for every $x \in D_2$. We set $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{U \in \mathcal{U}_1 : U \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset\}$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{U \in \mathcal{U}_2 : U \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset\}$ which are obviously open coverings for F_1 and F_2 respectively. Let $U \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and let $x \in U \cap F_1$. Because $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)_x = (\mathcal{U}_1, \alpha_1)_x$, there exists an open set V in X such that $x \in V \subset (U \cap D_1)$ and $\rho_V^{D_1}(\mathcal{U}_1, \alpha_1) = \rho_V^U(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$. By definition $\Omega_i \subset X \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2)$ for every $i \in I$. In particular there is no $i \in I$ such that $V \subset \Omega_i$. This implies that $\alpha_{1|V}(V) = 1$ and hence $\alpha_{1|V}(V) = 1$. By the consistency of α we deduce that $\alpha(U) = \alpha(V) = 1$. The same argument shows that for every $U \in \mathcal{A}_2$, $\alpha(U) = 0$.

For $U_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $U_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$, since $\alpha(U_1) \neq \alpha(U_2)$ and α is consistent, it follows that there exists $i \in I$ such that $U_1 \cap U_2 \subset \Omega_i$ which is a contradiction with the choice of $\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in I}$. \square

Proposition 2. *Let X be a topological space such that every two disjoint closed subsets of X satisfy the property (WS), then every presheaf of sets on X , \mathcal{F} , that satisfies property (F2) satisfies also the property (O1)(X).*

Proof: Suppose that D_1 and D_2 are open subsets of X such that $D_1 \cup D_2 = X$ and $s_1 \in \mathcal{F}(D_1)$, $s_2 \in \mathcal{F}(D_2)$ are sections such that $s_{1x} = s_{2x}$ for every $x \in D_1 \cap D_2$. Let $F_2 = X \setminus D_1$ and $F_1 = X \setminus D_2$. Therefore F_1 and F_2 are two disjoint closed subsets of X and hence they have the property (WS).

Since $s_{1x} = s_{2x}$ for every $x \in D_1 \cap D_2 = X \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2)$, there exists $\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ an open covering for $X \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2)$ such that $s_{1|\Omega_i} = s_{2|\Omega_i}$ for every $i \in I$. On the other hand, since F_1 and F_2 have (WS) there exist two open coverings \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 of F_1 and F_2 , respectively, such that for every $U_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $U_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ there exists $i \in I$ such that $U_1 \cap U_2 \subset \Omega_i$.

We consider the following open covering for X : $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \{\Omega_i : i \in I\}$. We consider also the following collection of sections corresponding to \mathcal{U} : $s_{1|U_1} \in \mathcal{F}(U_1)$ for all $U_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $s_{2|U_2} \in \mathcal{F}(U_2)$ for all $U_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ and $s_{1|\Omega_i} = s_{2|\Omega_i} \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_i)$ for all $i \in I$.

Now if $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ and $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ and $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ are the corresponding sections then $s|_{U \cap V} = t|_{U \cap V}$. Indeed: if $U \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $V \in \mathcal{A}_2$ then $s = s_{1|U}$ and $t = s_{2|V}$. On the other hand, by the choice of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 , there exists $i \in I$ such that $U \cap V \subset \Omega_i$. It follows that

$$s|_{U \cap V} = (s_{1|\Omega_i})|_{U \cap V} = (s_{2|\Omega_i})|_{U \cap V} = t|_{U \cap V}.$$

The other cases are trivial.

Since \mathcal{F} has the property (F2) there exists $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\sigma|_U = s$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and s the corresponding section. In particular $\sigma_x = s_{1x}$ for every $x \in D_1$ and $\sigma_x = s_{2x}$ for every $x \in D_2$. \square

Theorem 2. Let X be a topological space. Suppose that every open subset of X is a (WN)-space and that for every totally ordered set $(I, <)$ and every family of open subsets of X , $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ with $U_i \subset U_j$ for every $i < j \in I$ there exists an increasing function $\iota : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow I$ and $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, a sequence of open subsets of X , such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$, $V_n \subset U_{\iota(n)}$, and $\overline{V}_n \subset V_{n+1}$. Then for every presheaf of sets \mathcal{F} on X that satisfies property (F2) and every open subset D of X the canonical mapping $\eta_D : \mathcal{F}(D) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(D)$ is surjective.

Proof: Let \mathcal{F} be an arbitrary presheaf of sets on X that satisfies property (F2) and D an open subset of X . Since every open subset of X is a (WN)-space, by Proposition 2, \mathcal{F} satisfies property (O1)(D). According to Proposition 1 we have to check that for every open set $D \subset X$ the presheaf \mathcal{F} satisfies (O2)(D). Let $(I, <)$ be a totally ordered set and $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of open subsets of D such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = D$ and $U_i \subset U_j$ for every $i < j \in I$. Let $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\iota : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow I$ be as in the hypothesis. We will construct inductively a sequence of sections $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{F}(V_{2n})$ such that

- a) $\sigma_{nx} = s_{\iota(2n)_x}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in V_{2n}$
- b) $\sigma_{n|V_{2n-4}} = \sigma_{n-1|V_{2n-4}}$ for every $n \geq 2$.

We set $\sigma_0 = s_{\iota(0)}$ and $\sigma_1 = s_{\iota(2)}$. We assume that we have constructed $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$, $n \geq 1$, and we will construct σ_{n+1} .

Because $\sigma_{nx} = s_{\iota(2n+2)_x}$ for every $x \in V_{2n} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-1}$ it follows, just by definition, that each $x \in V_{2n} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-1}$ has a neighborhood say Ω_x such that $\sigma_{n|_{\Omega_x}} = s_{\iota(2n+2)|_{\Omega_x}}$. Replacing Ω_x with $\Omega_x \cap (V_{2n} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-1})$ we get that $\{\Omega_x\}_{x \in V_{2n} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-1}}$ is an open covering for $V_{2n} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-1}$. Changing the notation for the index set we conclude that there exists $\{\Omega_k\}_{k \in K}$ an open covering for $V_{2n} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-1}$, $\Omega_k \subset V_{2n} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-1} \forall k \in K$, such that $\sigma_{n|_{\Omega_k}} = s_{\iota(2n+2)|_{\Omega_k}}$ for every $k \in K$.

Let $F_1 = \overline{V}_{2n-1} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-2}$ and $F_2 = V_{2n+1} \setminus V_{2n}$. They are closed disjoint subsets of $V_{2n+1} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-2}$ which is a (WN)-space and therefore F_1 and F_2 satisfy (WS). Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be open coverings of F_1 and F_2 , respectively, such that for every $U_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $U_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ there exists $k \in K$ such that $U_1 \cap U_2 \subset \Omega_k$. Note that each $U \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is an open subset of $V_{2n+1} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n-2}$ hence it is open in X as well.

We consider the following open covering for V_{2n+2} : $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \{\Omega_k : k \in K\} \cup \{V_{2n+2} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n}, V_{2n-1}\}$. We consider also the following collection of sections corresponding to \mathcal{U} :

- $\sigma_{n|_{U_1}} \in \mathcal{F}(U_1)$ for all $U_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $s_{\iota(2n+2)|_{U_2}} \in \mathcal{F}(U_2)$ for all $U_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$
- $\sigma_{n|_{\Omega_k}} = s_{\iota(2n+2)|_{\Omega_k}} \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_k)$ for all $k \in K$.
- $s_{\iota(2n+2)|_{V_{2n+2} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n}}} \in \mathcal{F}(V_{2n+2} \setminus \overline{V}_{2n})$, $\sigma_{n|_{V_{2n-1}}} \in \mathcal{F}(V_{2n-1})$.

As in the proof of Proposition 2 there exists $\sigma_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}(V_{2n+2})$ such that $\sigma|_U = s$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and s the corresponding section. In particular $\sigma_{n|_{V_{2n-2}}} = \sigma_{n+1|_{V_{2n-2}}}$ and, at the same time, $\sigma_{n+1_x} = s_{\iota(2n+2)_x}$ for every $x \in V_{2n+2}$. The construction of $\{\sigma_n\}_n$ is completed.

If we define now $\tau_n \in \mathcal{F}(V_{2n})$, $\tau_n = \sigma_{n+1|_{V_{2n}}}$ then $\tau_{n+1|_{V_n}} = \tau_n$ and as \mathcal{F} has the property (F2) there exists $\tau \in \mathcal{F}(\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{2n})$ such that $\tau|_{V_{2n}} = \tau_n$. However $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{2n} = D$ and for every $x \in V_{2n}$ we have that $\tau_x = \tau_{n_x} = \sigma_{n+1_x} = s_{\iota(2n+2)_x} = s_{i_x}$ whenever $x \in U_i$. \square

References

- [1] BREDON, GLEN E.: Sheaf theory. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-London 1967
- [2] R. GODEMENT: Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux. Actualités Sci. Ind. No. 1252. Publ. Math. Univ. Strasbourg. No. 13 Hermann, Paris 1958.
- [3] J. L. KELLEY: General topology. D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Toronto-New York-London, 1955.

- [4] J. R. MUNKRES: Topology: a first course. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1975.

Received: 06.09.2010,

Revised: 22.11.2010,

Accepted: 04.12.2010.

Titu Maiorescu University
Calea Vacaresti nr. 187, sector 4,
Bucharest 040056, Romania
E-mail: danielajoita@gmail.com

University of Bucharest,
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Str. Academiei 14,
010014 Bucharest, Romania
E-mail: lauranastasescu@gmail.com