

## On the $\mathbb{C}_p$ -Banach algebra of the $r$ -Lipschitz functions

by  
MARIAN VĂJĂITU

*Dedicated to the memory of Laurențiu Panaitopol (1940-2008)  
on the occasion of his 70th anniversary*

### Abstract

Given a prime number  $p$ , we study the  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -Banach algebra of the  $r$ -Lipschitz functions defined on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  by introducing a new seminorm on this space. Also, we give an estimation of the integral of a  $r$ -Lipschitz function with respect to a  $s$ -distribution and then we obtain an analogous of Hölder's inequality.

**Key Words:** Lipschitz functions, local fields, distributions.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 11S99; Secondary 11S80.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  the ring of  $p$ -adic integers,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  the field of  $p$ -adic numbers and let  $|\cdot|$  be the usual  $p$ -adic module. This module can be uniquely extended to a module (denoted also by  $|\cdot|$ ) on  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , a fixed algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Further, denote by  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , which is called the Tate field, the completion of  $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}, |\cdot|)$ , and we use the same notation  $|\cdot|$  for the unique  $p$ -adic module that extends the  $p$ -adic module  $|\cdot|$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . Denote  $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , which is the absolute Galois group, and topologise it with the so called Krull topology. Then  $G$  acts continuously on  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  and, it is easy to see that  $G$  is canonically isomorphic with the group  $\text{Gal}_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{C}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  of all continuous automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let  $O(T)$  be the orbit of an element  $T$  of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  with respect to the Galois group  $G$ .

The paper consists of two sections. The first section contains some basic results and preliminaries. In the second section we study the  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -Banach algebra of the  $r$ -Lipschitz functions defined on  $G$ -equivariant compacts of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  by introducing a new seminorm on this space, see Proposition 1. Here, by  $G$ -equivariant compacts of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  we mean compacts of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  which are equivariant with respect to

the absolute Galois group  $G$ , like finite union of orbits of elements of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . We have a few estimations for  $p$ -adic integrals, see Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 where we obtain an analogous of Hölder's inequality. An estimation for the norm of a Lipschitz function is also given in Theorem 4 for compacts like orbits of elements of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

## 2 Background material

Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  the field of  $p$ -adic numbers endowed with the  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|$ , normalized such that  $|p| = 1/p$ . Let  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  be a fixed algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and denote by the same symbol  $|\cdot|$  the unique extension of  $|\cdot|$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . Further, denote by  $(\mathbb{C}_p, |\cdot|)$  the completion of  $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}, |\cdot|)$  (see [1], [3]). Let  $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  endowed with the Krull topology. The group  $G$  is canonically isomorphic with the group  $\text{Gal}_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{C}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , of all continuous automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We shall identify these two groups. For any  $T \in \mathbb{C}_p$  denote  $O(T) = \{\sigma(T) : \sigma \in G\}$  the orbit of  $T$ , and let  $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p[T]}$  be the closure of the ring  $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$  in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

For any closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  denote  $\text{Fix}(H) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_p : \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in H\}$ . Then  $\text{Fix}(H)$  is a closed subfield of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Denote  $H(T) = \{\sigma \in G : \sigma(T) = T\}$ . Then  $H(T)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\text{Fix}(H(T)) = \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p[T]}$ . Moreover, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $T \in \mathbb{C}_p$  denote  $H(T, \varepsilon) = \{\sigma \in G : |\sigma(T) - T| < \varepsilon\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$  be a complete system of representatives for the left cosets of  $G$  with respect to  $H(T, \varepsilon)$ .

The map  $\sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma(T)$  from  $G$  to  $O(T)$  is continuous, and it defines a homeomorphism from  $G/H(T)$  (endowed with the quotient topology) to  $O(T)$  (endowed with the induced topology from  $\mathbb{C}_p$ ) (see [2]). In such a way  $O(T)$  is a closed compact and totally disconnected subspace of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , and the group  $G$  acts continuously on  $O(T)$ : if  $\sigma \in G$  and  $\tau(T) \in O(T)$  then  $\sigma \star \tau(T) := (\sigma\tau)(T)$ .

Now, if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  then by an open ball in  $\mathcal{X}$  we mean a subset of the form  $B(x, \varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{X}$  where  $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let us denote by  $\Omega(\mathcal{X})$  the set of subsets of  $\mathcal{X}$  which are open and compact. It is easy to see that any  $D \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$  can be written as a finite union of open balls in  $\mathcal{X}$ , any two disjoint.

**Definition 1.** By a distribution on  $\mathcal{X}$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  we mean a map  $\mu : \Omega(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$  which is finitely additive, that is, if  $D = \cup_{i=1}^n D_i$  with  $D_i \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ , then  $\mu(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(D_i)$ . The space  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  of all distributions on  $\mathcal{X}$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  becomes naturally a  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -vector space (See [4]).

The norm of  $\mu$  is defined by  $\|\mu\| := \sup\{|\mu(D)| : D \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})\}$ . If  $\|\mu\| < \infty$  we say that  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{X}$ . With this norm, the space  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  of all measures on  $\mathcal{X}$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  becomes a  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -Banach space.

The set  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$  is said  $G$ -equivariant provided that  $\sigma(x) \in \mathcal{X}$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and any  $\sigma \in G$ . ( $\mathcal{X} = O(T)$  is such an example.)

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a  $G$ -equivariant compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and  $\mu$  a distribution on  $\mathcal{X}$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . We say that  $\mu$  is  $G$ -equivariant if  $\mu(\sigma(B)) = \sigma(\mu(B))$  for any ball  $B$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  and any  $\sigma \in G$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{D}^G(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  the set of  $G$ -equivariant distributions on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Remark.** On a Galois orbit  $O(T)$  there exists a unique  $G$ -equivariant probability distribution (with values in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ), namely the Haar distribution  $\pi_T$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $s$  be a positive real number. We say that a distribution  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  is  $s$ -boundedly increasing distribution (or simply a  $s$ -distribution) if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^s \max |\mu(B(a, \varepsilon))| = 0.$$

(Here the “max” is taken over all the balls  $B(a, \varepsilon)$  from  $\Omega(\mathcal{X})$ , the set of all open compact subsets of  $\mathcal{X}$ .) The space  $\mathcal{D}_s(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  of all  $s$ -distributions becomes  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -vector space. When  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $G$ -equivariant denote by  $\mathcal{D}_s^G(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  the subspace of  $G$ -equivariant distributions.

**Remark 1)** Any measure on  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $s$ -boundedly increasing distribution.

2) There is no other distribution, except for the identically zero distribution with the property that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \max_{B(a, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{X}} |\mu(B(a, \varepsilon))| = 0.$$

Indeed, every  $A \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$ , which is open compact set, is a union of sets of the form  $B(a, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon$  arbitrarily small. Clearly,

$$|\mu(A)| \leq \max_{B(a, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{X}} |\mu(B(a, \varepsilon))| \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies  $\mu(A) = 0$ .

3) The  $s$ -boundedly increasing distributions increase strictly slower than the Haar distribution.

4) An element  $T \in \mathbb{C}_p$  is called  $s$ -boundedly iff the Haar distribution  $\pi_T$  is  $s$ -distribution, which means  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^s}{|N(T, \varepsilon)|} = 0$ , where  $N(T, \varepsilon)$  is the number of balls of radius  $\varepsilon$  that cover the orbit of  $T$ .

5) An element  $T \in \mathbb{C}_p$  is called  $p$ -bounded if there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  one has  $p^k$  is not a divisor of  $N(T, \varepsilon)$ . In this situation the Haar distribution  $\pi_T$  is a measure.

We have  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p) \subset \mathcal{D}_s(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ . In the case when  $s = 1$ , we have 1-distributions  $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  that are called *Lipschitz* distributions, and these distributions play an important role in nonarchimedean integration theory, see [6].

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and let  $r$  be a positive real number. A function  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$  is called of type  $r$ , or  $r$ -Lipschitz function, iff there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c|x - y|^r, \quad (1)$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Now, for a  $r$ -Lipschitz function  $f$  as above, the best constant in (1) is

$$c_f = \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathcal{X} \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^r}. \quad (2)$$

Denote  $\|f\|_r := \max\{c_f, \|f\|\}$ , where  $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x)|$ .

Finally, if  $Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  is the set of  $r$ -Lipschitz functions as above, then it becomes naturally a  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -Banach algebra with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_r$  defined above.

### 3 Main results

In what follows we keep the same notations and definitions as in the previous paragraph. Here and henceforth we suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is an open compact of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Let us recall the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** (See [6]) *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Then any function  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$  of type  $r > 0$  is integrable with respect to any  $s$ -distribution  $\mu : \Omega(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ , whenever  $0 < s \leq r$ .*

We use this theorem to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and let  $s$  be a fixed positive real number. Then, for any  $r \geq s$  any  $f \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  and any  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ , there exists a positive real number  $A$ , which is independent of  $f$ , such that*

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} f d\mu \right| \leq A \cdot \|f\|_r. \quad (3)$$

**Proof:** From Theorem 1, any function  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow A$  of type  $r$  is integrable with respect to any  $s$ -distribution  $\mu : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ , whenever  $r \geq s$ . We first construct a sequence  $(S_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of Riemann sums as follows. For each positive integer  $m$ , write  $\mathcal{X}$  as a finite union of open balls of radius  $\frac{1}{2^m}$ , any two disjoint, denote them by  $B_{m,1}, B_{m,2}, \dots, B_{m,N_m}$ . Next, choose points  $x_{m,j} \in B_{m,j}$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq N_m$ , and denote by  $S_m$  the corresponding Riemann sums,  $S_m = S(\mu, f, B_{m,1}, \dots, B_{m,N_m}, x_{m,1}, \dots, x_{m,N_m})$ . We know that  $(S_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  that converges to  $\int_{\mathcal{X}} f d\mu$ . Each open ball of radius  $\frac{1}{2^m}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  can be written as a finite union of open balls of radius  $\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}$ , any two disjoint. Therefore there are disjoint nonempty sets  $J_1, \dots, J_{N_m}$ , with  $J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_{N_m} = \{1, 2, \dots, N_{m+1}\}$ , such that for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_m\}$ ,  $B_{m,i} = \bigcup_{j \in J_i} B_{m+1,j}$ . We now put  $S_m - S_{m+1}$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} S_m - S_{m+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} \mu(B_{m,i}) f(x_{m,i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{m+1}} \mu(B_{m+1,i}) f(x_{m+1,i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} \left( \mu(B_{m,i}) f(x_{m,i}) - \sum_{j \in J_i} \mu(B_{m+1,j}) f(x_{m+1,j}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we may rewrite  $\mu(B_{m,i})$  as  $\sum_{j \in J_i} \mu(B_{m+1,j})$  by the additivity of  $\mu$ . Hence

$$S_m - S_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} \sum_{j \in J_i} \mu(B_{m+1,j}) (f(x_{m,i}) - f(x_{m+1,j})).$$

It follows that

$$|S_m - S_{m+1}| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_m} \max_{j \in J_i} |\mu(B_{m+1,j})| \cdot |f(x_{m,i}) - f(x_{m+1,j})|.$$

Since  $f$  is of type  $r$  for some  $r > 0$ , we derive

$$|S_m - S_{m+1}| \leq c_f \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_m} \max_{j \in J_i} |\mu(B_{m+1,j})| \cdot |x_{m,i} - x_{m+1,j}|^r,$$

where  $c_f$  is a constant that depends only on  $f$ . Here both  $x_{m,i}$  and  $x_{m+1,j}$  belong to the open ball  $B_{m,i}$  of radius  $\frac{1}{2^m}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} |S_m - S_{m+1}| &\leq \frac{c_f}{2^{rm}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_m} \max_{j \in J_i} |\mu(B_{m+1,j})| \\ &\leq 2^r c_f \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_m} \max_{j \in J_i} \frac{|\mu(B_{m+1,j})|}{2^{s(m+1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here on the far right side  $B_{m+1,j}$  is an open ball of radius  $\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}$ , therefore the ratio  $\frac{|\mu(B_{m+1,j})|}{2^{s(m+1)}}$  goes to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly for  $j \in J_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N_m$ . Precisely, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \delta \leq \delta_\varepsilon$  and any open ball  $B$  of radius  $\delta$  one has  $\delta^s |\mu(B)| \leq \varepsilon$ . Then for any  $m \geq [\log_2(1/\delta_\varepsilon)]$ , we have  $\frac{|\mu(B_{m+1,j})|}{2^{s(m+1)}} \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $j$ , and so  $|S_m - S_{m+1}| \leq 2^r c_f \varepsilon$ .

Let  $m$  be large enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) d\mu(x) \right| &= |S_{m+1}| \\ &= |S_{m+1} - S_m + S_m - S_{m-1} + \cdots + S_2 - S_1 + S_1| \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{|S_1|, |S_{i+1} - S_i|\} \leq A \cdot \|f\|_r, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \max\left\{2^r \sup_{m \geq 1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_m} \max_{j \in J_i} \frac{|\mu(B_{m+1,j})|}{2^{s(m+1)}}, \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_1} \{|\mu(B_{1,i})|\}\right\}$$

and the proof is done.  $\square$

Now let  $f, g \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  and  $c_f, c_g$  defined as above. One has

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)| &= |f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(x) + f(y)g(x) - f(y)g(y)| \\ &= |(f(x) - f(y))g(x) + f(y)(g(x) - g(y))| \\ &\leq \max\{\|g\| c_f |x - y|^r, \|f\| c_g |x - y|^r\} \\ &= \max\{\|g\| c_f, \|f\| c_g\} |x - y|^r, \end{aligned}$$

so we have  $fg \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  and

$$c_{fg} \leq \max\{\|g\| c_f, \|f\| c_g\}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, if  $f \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  and  $g \in Lip_s(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  then  $fg \in Lip_{\min\{r,s\}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ . In the same manner it is easy to see that  $f + g \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  and

$$c_{f+g} \leq \max\{c_f, c_g\}. \quad (5)$$

From Theorem 2, if  $f, g \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  we infer

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(t)g(t)d\mu(t) \right| \leq A \|fg\|_r \leq A \max\{\|g\| c_f, \|f\| c_g, \|f\| \|g\|\}. \quad (6)$$

By (6) one can define

$$\|f\|_{\mu} := \sup_{\substack{g \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p) \\ g \neq 0}} \frac{\left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(t)g(t)d\mu(t) \right|}{\|g\|_r} < \infty. \quad (7)$$

It is easy to see that  $\|f\|_{\mu} \geq 0$  and  $\|\lambda f\|_{\mu} = |\lambda| \|f\|_{\mu}$ , for any  $f \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  and any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_p$ . If  $f_1, f_2 \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  we have  $\|f_1 + f_2\|_{\mu} \leq \max\{\|f_1\|_{\mu}, \|f_2\|_{\mu}\}$ . Because of  $\mu$ -neglected functions from  $\|f\|_{\mu} = 0$  we do not have  $f = 0$ . We collect the above result in the following

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and let  $s$  be a positive real number. Then, for any  $r \geq s$  and any  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ , one has that  $\|\cdot\|_{\mu}$  is a seminorm on  $Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ .*

We have the following result.

**Proposition 2.** *For any  $D \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\emptyset \neq D \neq \mathcal{X}$  we have  $d(D, \mathcal{X} \setminus D) > 0$ , so the characteristic function  $\chi_D$  of  $D$  is an element of  $Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  for any  $r > 0$ .*

**Proof:** It is enough to prove the proposition for  $D = B(a, \varepsilon) \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then we cover  $\mathcal{X}$  with balls of radius  $\varepsilon$  and it is easy to see that  $d(D, \mathcal{X} \setminus D) = \inf_{x \in D, y \in \mathcal{X} \setminus D} |x - y| = \varepsilon > 0$ . By a simple computation one has  $c_{\chi_D} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^r}$  so  $\chi_D \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ . For more details see Proposition 19.2, page 51 from [5].  $\square$

**Remark 1)** For any  $D \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$  we have  $\|\chi_D\|_{\mu}$  is well defined and  $\|\chi_D\|_{\mu} \geq |\mu(D)|$ .

2) Let  $\{B_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of balls of radius  $\varepsilon_n$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$  and let  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  such that  $\sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{|a_n|}{\varepsilon_n^r} < \infty$ . Then  $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \chi_{B_n} \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ . Indeed, one has

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [\chi_{B_n}(x) - \chi_{B_n}(y)] \right| \leq \sup_{n \geq 0} |a_n| |\chi_{B_n}(x) - \chi_{B_n}(y)| \leq$$

$$\leq \sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{|a_n|}{\varepsilon_n^r} |x - y|^r,$$

by Proposition 2.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and let  $s$  be a positive real number. Then, for any  $r \geq s$  any  $f, g \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  and any  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ , we have  $|\int_{\mathcal{X}} f d\mu| \leq \|f\|_{\mu}$  and  $\|fg\|_{\mu} \leq \|f\|_{\mu} \|g\|_r$  (Hölder's inequality).*

**Proof:** The first inequality is clear from definition definition of  $\|f\|_{\mu}$  by taking  $g = 1$ . For the second, we have  $\|gh\|_r \leq \|g\|_r \|h\|_r$  so

$$\|f\|_{\mu} \geq \frac{|\int_{\mathcal{X}} fgh d\mu|}{\|gh\|_r} \geq \frac{|\int_{\mathcal{X}} fgh d\mu|}{\|g\|_r \|h\|_r}.$$

We infer that

$$\|g\|_r \|f\|_{\mu} \geq \frac{|\int_{\mathcal{X}} fgh d\mu|}{\|h\|_r},$$

for any  $h \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ ,  $h \neq 0$  so by definition of  $\|fg\|_{\mu}$  one has the Hölder's inequality.  $\square$

Let us define  $\|U\|_{\mu} := \|\chi_U\|_{\mu}$ , for any  $U \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$ . By a simple calculation we have  $\|B_1 \cup B_2\|_{\mu} \leq \max\{\|B_1\|_{\mu}, \|B_2\|_{\mu}\}$  for any balls  $B_1, B_2 \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$  with  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ .

Now, let us suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $G$ -equivariant and  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_s^G(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ . For any  $\sigma \in G$  we have

$$\|B\|_{\mu} = \|B^{\sigma}\|_{\mu}, \quad (8)$$

where  $B = B(x, \varepsilon) \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$  and  $B^{\sigma} = B(\sigma(x), \varepsilon)$ . Indeed, let  $g \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  be a Lipschitz function of type  $r$  such that  $g \neq 0$  and let  $\sigma \in G$  be a continuous automorphism. Denote  $h := \sigma \circ g \circ \sigma^{-1}$ . Then  $|h(x) - h(y)| = |g \circ \sigma^{-1}(x) - g \circ \sigma^{-1}(y)| \leq c_g |\sigma^{-1}(x) - \sigma^{-1}(y)|^r = c_g |x - y|^r$ , so  $h \in Lip_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ ,  $c_h = c_g$  and  $\|g\| = \|h\|$ . Moreover, if  $B = \cup_{i=1}^{N(\delta)} B_i$  is a decomposition of  $B$  in balls of radius  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ , where  $B_i = B(x_i, \delta)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^{\sigma}} h d\mu &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{N(\delta)} \mu(B_i^{\sigma}) h(\sigma x_i) \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{N(\delta)} \sigma \mu(B_i) \sigma g(x_i) = \sigma \left( \int_B g d\mu \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By (9) we infer that

$$\|B_{\sigma}\|_{\mu} \geq \frac{|\int_{B^{\sigma}} h d\mu|}{\|h\|_r} = \frac{|\int_B g d\mu|}{\|g\|_r},$$

so  $\|B\|_\mu \leq \|B_\sigma\|_\mu$ . Now, if we consider  $h \in \text{Lip}_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ ,  $h \neq 0$ ,  $\sigma \in G$ , then by defining  $g = \sigma^{-1} \circ h \circ \sigma$  the reverse inequality goes in the same way as above. One has the following result.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a  $G$ -equivariant compact of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and let  $\mu$  be a  $G$ -equivariant distribution on  $\mathcal{X}$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Then, for any  $B \in \Omega(\mathcal{X})$  and any  $\sigma \in G$ , we have*

$$\|B\|_\mu = \|B^\sigma\|_\mu.$$

In what follows let  $\mathcal{X} = O(T)$  be the orbit of a  $p$ -bounded element  $T$  of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . We consider  $r \geq s > 0$  two positive real numbers. Let  $\mu \in D_s^G(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  be a  $s$ -distribution with values in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  that is  $G$ -equivariant. For any  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon' > 0$  one considers  $B(\varepsilon) = B(T, \varepsilon) = \cup_{i=1}^N B(\sigma_i(T), \varepsilon')$  be a decomposition of the ball  $B(\varepsilon)$  in balls of radius  $\varepsilon'$ . One has  $N = \frac{N(T, \varepsilon')}{N(T, \varepsilon)}$  and, because  $T$  is  $p$ -bounded  $p$  is not a divisor of  $N$  for any  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon' > 0$ , with  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Now, for any  $g \in \text{Lip}_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  one defines  $h \in \text{Lip}_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  as follows:

$$h(x) = (g \circ \sigma^{-1})(x), \text{ when } x \in B(\sigma(T), \varepsilon'), \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon'}.$$
 (10)

By a simple computation we infer that  $h \in \text{Lip}_r(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  and  $\|h\|_r \leq \|g\|_r$ . Moreover  $\int_{B(\varepsilon)} h d\mu = N \int_{B(\varepsilon')} g d\mu$  and then  $|\int_{B(\varepsilon)} h d\mu| = |\int_{B(\varepsilon')} g d\mu|$ . By this one has

$$\frac{|\int_{B(\varepsilon')} g d\mu|}{\|g\|_r} \leq \frac{|\int_{B(\varepsilon)} h d\mu|}{\|h\|_r},$$
 (11)

so  $\|B(\varepsilon')\|_\mu \leq \|B(\varepsilon)\|_\mu$  for any  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon' > 0$ , with  $\varepsilon$  small enough. In such a way if we define

$$\|T\|_\mu = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \|B(T, \varepsilon)\|_\mu$$

the following result holds.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $T$  be a  $p$ -bounded element of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and let  $G$  be the absolute Galois group. Let  $s$  be positive real number and let  $\mu \in D_s^G(O(T), \mathbb{Q}_p)$  be a  $s$ -distribution that is  $G$ -equivariant. Then, for any  $r \geq s$  and any  $f \in \text{Lip}_r(O(T), \mathbb{C}_p)$ , one has*

$$\|f\|_\mu \leq \|T\|_\mu \cdot \|f\|_r.$$

**Proof:** Let  $O(T) = \cup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon} B(\sigma(T), \varepsilon)$  be a decomposition of the orbit of  $T$  in balls of radius  $\varepsilon$ . Then we decompose  $f = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon} f \chi_{B(\sigma(T), \varepsilon)}$ , where  $\chi_{B(\sigma(T), \varepsilon)}$  is the characteristic function of  $B(\sigma(T), \varepsilon)$ . By Hölder's inequality and (8) we have

$$\|f\|_\mu \leq \max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon} \|f \chi_{B(\sigma(T), \varepsilon)}\|_\mu \leq \|f\|_r \max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon} \|\chi_{B(\sigma(T), \varepsilon)}\|_\mu = \|f\|_r \cdot \|B(T, \varepsilon)\|_\mu$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so the proof is done.  $\square$

**Remark 1)** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4 it is clear that  $\|T\|_\mu = 0$  if and only if  $\mu = 0$ .

2) If  $f, g$  are  $r$ -Lipschitz functions and  $g$  is neglected i.e.  $\|g\|_\mu = 0$ , then  $\|f + g\|_\mu = \|f\|_\mu$ . Indeed, on one hand  $\|f + g\|_\mu \leq \max\{\|f\|_\mu, \|g\|_\mu\} = \|f\|_\mu$  and, on the other hand  $\|f\|_\mu = \|f + g - g\|_\mu \leq \max\{\|f + g\|_\mu, \|g\|_\mu\} = \|f + g\|_\mu$ .

## References

- [1] Y. AMICE, Les nombres  $p$ -adiques, Presse Univ. de France, Collection Sup. 1975.
- [2] V. ALEXANDRU, N. POPESCU, M. VAJAITU AND A. ZAHARESCU, The  $p$ -adic measure on the orbit of an element of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, Vol. 118(2007), 197-216.
- [3] E. ARTIN, Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Functions, Gordon and Breach, N.Y. 1967.
- [4] B. MAZUR, P. SWINNERTON-DYER, Arithmetic of Weil curves, Invent. Math. 25(1974), 1-61.
- [5] W. H. SCHIKHOF, Ultrametric calculus. An introduction to  $p$ -adic Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1984.
- [6] M. VÂJĂITU AND A. ZAHARESCU, Non-Archimedean Integration and Applications, The publishing house of the Romanian Academy, 2007.

Received: 19.05.2010

Institute of Mathematics  
"Simion Stoilow"  
of the Romanian Academy,  
P.O. Box 1-764,  
Bucharest 014700,  
E-mail: [Marian.Vajaitu@imar.ro](mailto:Marian.Vajaitu@imar.ro)