

Blowing-up points on l.c.K. manifolds

by

VICTOR VULETESCU

To Professor S. Ianuș on the occasion of his 70th Birthday

Abstract

It is a classical result, due to F. Tricceri, that the blow-up of a manifold of locally conformally Kähler (l.c.K. for short) type at some point is again of l.c.K. type. However, the proof given in [5] is somehow unclear. We give a different argument to prove the result, using “standard tricks” in algebraic geometry.

Key Words: Blow-up of a manifold at a point, locally conformally Kähler manifold, Lee form.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C55, Secondary 14E99.

1 Introduction

We begin by recalling the basic definitions and facts; details can be found for instance in the book [2].

Definition 1. *Let (X, J) be a complex manifold. A hermitian metric g on it is called locally conformally Kähler, l.c. K. for short, if there exists some open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of X such that for each $\alpha \in A$ there is some smooth function f_α defined on U_α such that the metric $g_\alpha = e^{-f_\alpha} g$ is Kähler.*

A complex manifold (X, J) will be called of l.c.K. type if it admits an l.c.K. metric

Letting ω to be the Kähler form associated to g by $\omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$, one can immediately show that the above definition is equivalent to the existence of a closed 1-form θ such that $d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega$. The form θ is called *the Lee form* of the metric g . It is almost immediate to see that θ is closed; it is exact iff the metric g is globally conformally equivalent to a Kähler metric. Usually, by an l.c.K. manifold one understands a hermitian manifold whose metric is not globally conformally Kähler. In particular, the first Betti number of an l.c.K. manifold is always strictly positive; more, for compact Vaisman manifolds (l.c.K. with parallel Lee form) the fundamental group fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\pi_1(X)$ is a fundamental group of a Kähler orbifold, and G a quotient of \mathbb{Z}^2 by a subgroup of rank ≥ 1 (see [4]). Moreover, the l.c.K. class is not stable to small deformations: some Inoue surfaces do not admit l.c.K. structures and they are complex deformations of other Inoue surfaces with l.c.K. metrics (see [5], [1]).

However, l.c.K. manifolds share with the Kähler ones the property of being closed under blowing-up points. To state the result, let X be a complex manifold and $P \in X$ some fixed point. We denote

by \widehat{X} the manifold obtained by blowing-up P , by $c : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ the blowing-up map and E the exceptional divisor of π (i.e. $E = c^{-1}(\{P\})$). The goal is to prove the following

Theorem 1. *If the complex manifold X carries an l.c.K. metric, then so does its blow-up \widehat{X} at any point.*

The result was stated in [5], but the proof in this paper is unclear; it is stated, in the proof of Prop. 4.2 that a certain metric \tilde{g} (on the complement of the exceptional divisor of the blow-up) agrees with with some metric g (outside the point which is blow-up). This is definitely confusing, since (in the notations of [5]) \tilde{U} and U can never be isometric; to see this, pick two different tangent vectors $v_1, v_2 \in T_p(M)$, γ_1, γ_2 two curves having those vectors as tangent vectors at the origin, and $\{P_n^1, P_n^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ two sequences of points, approaching P on each of these curves. Clearly, the distance from P_n^1 to P_n^2 goes to zero, while the distance between their proper transforms $\tilde{P}_n^1, \tilde{P}_n^2$ on \tilde{U} goes to the distance between the points representing v_1 , respectively v_2 on the exceptional divisor of the blow-up.

For the sake of completeness, we include in the next section some basic facts about blow-up's of points on complex manifolds. Eventually, in the last section we prove the theorem.

2 Basic facts about blow-up's of points.

This section is entirely standard and is almost an verbatim reproduction of facts from classical texts, as for instance [3].

Let X be a complex, n -dimensional manifold. Let $P \in X$ be a point; choose a holomorphic local coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) defined in some open neighborhood U of P such that $x_1(P) = \dots = x_n(P) = 0$. Consider the manifold $U \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ and assume $[y_1 : \dots : y_n]$ is some fixed homogenous coordinate system on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\widehat{U} \subset U \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the closed subset defined by the system of equations $x_i y_j = x_j y_i, 1 \leq i < j \leq n$. One can check that \widehat{U} is actually a submanifold of $U \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, the restriction of the projection onto the first factor $c : \widehat{U} \rightarrow U$ has the following properties: the fiber of c above P , $c^{-1}(\{P\})$, is a submanifold E of \widehat{U} which is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ and the restriction of c at $\widehat{U} \setminus E$ defines a biholomorphism between $\widehat{U} \setminus E$ and $U \setminus \{P\}$. Using it, we can glue \widehat{U} to X along $U \setminus \{P\}$. The resulting manifold will usually be denoted by \widehat{X} ; the map c above extends obviously to a map - denoted by the same letter- $c : \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$. Notice that on one hand c is a biholomorphic map between $\widehat{X} \setminus E$ and $X \setminus \{P\}$ and, on the other hand, c "contracts" E , i.e. $c(E) = \{P\}$ (E is called accordingly the "exceptional divisor" of c).

Let now $y \in \widehat{X}$ be some point. If $y \notin E$, then the tangent map

$$c_{*,y} : T_y(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow T_{c(y)}(X)$$

is an isomorphism, while if $y \in E$ then the rank of this map is one and its kernel consists of those vectors that are tangent at y to E , i.e. $\text{Ker}(c_{*,y}) = T_y(E)$.

Next, recall that to each closed complex submanifold E of codimension one of some complex manifold X one can associate a holomorphic vector bundle, usually denoted $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$; see e.g. [3], Chapter 1, Section 1. If one chooses a hermitian metric h in $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$ there exists and is unique a linear connection D in the vector bundle which is also compatible with the complex structure (see e.g. the Lemma on page 73, [3]). The curvature Ω_E of this connection is a closed $(1, 1)$ -form.

We shall next exemplify the computation of the curvature of a metric connection in the special case we are interested in, namely when E is the exceptional divisor of some blow-up. So let X be a manifold, $P \in X$, U a coordinate neighborhood of P as in the beginning of the section and \widehat{X} the blow-up of X at P . For ε small enough set

$$U_{2\varepsilon} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Q \in U \mid |x_i(Q)| < 2\varepsilon \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let $\pi' : U \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the projection onto the the second factor; then $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{U}}(E) = \pi'^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}(-1))$. Let ω_{FS} be the Kähler form of the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$; then $-\omega_{FS}$ is the curvature of the canonical connection of the natural metric h in the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}(-1)$. Let $h' \stackrel{def}{=} \pi'^*(h)$ be the induced metric in $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{U}}(E)$; then its curvature will be $\pi'^*(-\omega_{FS})$. On the other hand, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(E)$ is trivial outside E ; fix a nowhere vanishing section σ of it and let h'' be the unique metric making σ into a unitary basis. Let now ϱ_1, ϱ_2 be a partition of unity such that $\varrho_1 \equiv 1$ on U_ε and $\varrho_1 \equiv 0$ outside $U_{2\varepsilon}$ and respectively $\varrho_2 \equiv 0$ on U_ε and $\equiv 1$ outside $U_{2\varepsilon}$. Let $h = \varrho_1 h' + \varrho_2 h''$; it is a hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$. Its curvature will be zero outside $U_{2\varepsilon}$ since $h = h''$ there. In U_ε , its curvature will be the pull-back (via π') of $-\omega_{FS}$, hence it is semi-negative definite; moreover, its restriction to E will be negative definite on vectors that are tangent along E , since the restriction of π' to E is a biholomorphism between E and $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$.

3 Proof of the theorem.

Proof. First, let us fix the terminology. We will say that a $(1, 1)$ -form ω on a complex manifold (M, J_M) is positive (semi-)definite if for any point $m \in M$ and any non-zero tangent vector $v \in T_m M$ one has $\omega(v, J_M v) > 0$ (respectively ≥ 0), in other words if it is the Kähler form of some hermitian metric on M .

Let now ω be the Kähler form of an l.c.K. metric on X . We see $c^*(\omega)$ is a $(1, 1)$ -form on \widehat{X} which is positive definite on $X \setminus E$ and satisfies $dc^*(\omega) = c^*(\theta) \wedge c^*(\omega)$, where θ is the Lee form of the given l.c.K. metric on X . As E is simply connected we see (e.g. by using Lemma 4.4 in [5]) there exists an open neighborhood U of E and a smooth function $f : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega' \stackrel{def}{=} e^f c^*(\omega)$ satisfies $d\omega' = \theta' \wedge \omega'$ and such that $\theta'|_U \equiv 0$.

On the other hand, we can find a hermitian metric in the holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(E)$ on \widehat{X} associated to E such that the curvature Ω_E of its canonical connection is negative definite along E (i.e. $\Omega_E(v, J_{\widehat{X}} v) < 0$ for every non-vanishing vector $v \in T_P(E)$ and for every $P \in E$), is negatively semidefinite at points of E (i.e. $\Omega_E(v, J_{\widehat{X}} v) \leq 0$ for any $P \in E$ and any $v \in T_P(\widehat{X})$) and is zero outside U (cf. e.g. [3], pp 185-187). Notice that Ω_E is closed.

We infer that for some positive integer N the $(1, 1)$ -form $h \stackrel{def}{=} N\omega' - \Omega_E$ is positive definite.

Indeed, this is obvious outside U as Ω_E vanishes here and $N\omega'$ is positive definite for any $N > 0$.

Along E , as both ω' and $-\Omega_E$ are positive semidefinite, we have only to check the definiteness of h . Let $y \in E$ be some point and $v \in T_y(\widehat{X})$. Assume $h(v, J_{\widehat{X}} v) = 0$; since both ω' and $-\Omega_E$ are positive semidefinite, we get $\omega'(v, J_{\widehat{X}} v) = \Omega_E(v, J_{\widehat{X}} v) = 0$. But $\omega'(v, J_{\widehat{X}} v) = 0$ implies $c^*(\omega)(v, J_{\widehat{X}} v) = 0$; so $\omega(c_{*,y}(v), J_{\widehat{X}} c_{*,y}(v)) = 0$ hence $v \in Ker(c_{*,y})$. As $Ker(c_{*,y}) = T_y(E)$ we get that $v \in T_y(E)$; but as $-\Omega_E(v, J_{\widehat{X}} v) = 0$ we see that $v = 0$.

To check the assertion on U , it suffices to notice that for each point x in U there exists some n_x such that $N\omega' - \Omega_E$ is positive definite at x for all $N \geq n_x$, hence also positive definite on a neighborhood of x ; since U is relatively compact, we can cover it by finitely many such neighborhoods, and take the maximum of the corresponding n_x s.

Last, let us see that $N\omega' - \Omega_E$ is l.c.k. One has

$$d(N\omega' - \Omega_E) = Nd\omega' = \theta' \wedge N\omega'.$$

But $\theta' \wedge \Omega_E = 0$ since their supports are disjoint, so we have

$$d(N\omega' - \Omega_E) = \theta' \wedge N\omega' - \theta' \wedge \Omega_E = \theta' \wedge (N\omega' - \Omega_E).$$

Acknowledgments. I wish to thank L.Ornea and I. Vaisman for useful discussions; also, I'm especially grateful to V. Brînzănescu for a careful reading of a preliminary version of this paper.

References

- [1] F.A. BELGUN, *On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces*, Math. Ann. **317** (2000), 1–40.
- [2] S. DRAGOMIR & L. ORNEA; *Locally conformal Kähler geometry*. Progress in Mathematics (Boston, Mass.). 155. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser. xi, 327 p.
- [3] PH. GRIFFITHS & J. HARRIS, *Principles of algebraic geometry* Wiley, New York, 1978, xii + 813 pp.
- [4] L. ORNEA & M. VERBITSKY, *Topology of locally conformally Kähler manifolds with potential*, arXiv:0904.3362.
- [5] F. TRICCERI, *Some examples of locally conformal Kahler manifolds*. Rend. Sem. Mat., Torino 40, No.1, 81-92 (1982).

Received: 20.04.2009.

Universitatea București,
Facultatea de Matematică și Informatică,
Str. Academiei 14,
010014, București, România.
E-mail: vuli@fmi.unibuc.ro