

## Uniqueness Of Meromorphic Functions Concerning Differential Monomials Sharing The Same Value

by

ABHIJIT BANERJEE AND SONALI MUKHERJEE

### Abstract

Using the notion of weakly weighted sharing and truncated sharing of values we prove some uniqueness theorems concerning differential monomials which will improve respectively a result of Lahiri [5] and a recent result of Banerjee [2]. Also we introduce a new sharing definition namely relaxed weighted sharing which is weaker than weakly weighted sharing as well as weighted sharing and with the aid of which we supplement a recent result of Banerjee.[2]

**Key Words:** Uniqueness, meromorphic function, differential monomial, weakly weighted sharing.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 30D35.

### 1 Introduction Definitions and Results

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . If for some  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $f - a$  and  $g - a$  have the same set of zeros with the same multiplicities, we say that  $f$  and  $g$  share the value  $a$  CM (counting multiplicities), and if we do not consider the multiplicities then  $f$  and  $g$  are said to share the value  $a$  IM (ignoring multiplicities).

Let  $k$  be a positive integer or infinity and  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We denote by  $E_k(a; f)$  the set of all  $a$ -points of  $f$  with multiplicities not exceeding  $k$ , where an  $a$ -point is counted according to its multiplicity. If for some  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $E_\infty(a; f) = E_\infty(a; g)$  we say that  $f, g$  share the value  $a$  CM.

We denote by  $T(r)$  the maximum of  $T(r, f)$  and  $T(r, g)$ . The notation  $S(r)$  denotes any quantity satisfying  $S(r) = o(T(r))$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure. We use  $I$  to denote any set of infinite linear measure of  $0 < r < \infty$ .

Let  $N_E(r, a; f, g)$  ( $\overline{N}_E(r, a; f, g)$ ) be the counting function (reduced counting function) of all common zeros of  $f - a$  and  $g - a$  with the same multiplicities and

$N_0(r, a; f, g)$  ( $\overline{N}_0(r, a; f, g)$ ) be the counting function (reduced counting function) of all common zeros of  $f - a$  and  $g - a$  ignoring multiplicities.

If

$$\overline{N}(r, a; f) + \overline{N}(r, a; g) - 2\overline{N}_E(r, a; f, g) = S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

then we say that  $f$  and  $g$  share a “CM”.

On the other hand if

$$\overline{N}(r, a; f) + \overline{N}(r, a; g) - 2\overline{N}_0(r, a; f, g) = S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

then we say that  $f$  and  $g$  share a “IM”.

Yang and Hua [10] studied the problem of non linear differential polynomials when they share the same value  $a$  CM.

They proved the following result.

**Theorem A.** [10] *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions,  $n \geq 11$  an integer and  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . If  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share the value  $a$  CM, then either  $f = dg$  for some  $(n+1)$ th root of unity  $d$  or  $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$  and  $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$  where  $c, c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants satisfying  $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$ .*

To state the next result we require the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** [5] *Let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer or infinity. For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  we denote by  $E_k(a; f)$  the set of all  $a$ -points of  $f$ , where an  $a$ -point of multiplicity  $m$  is counted  $m$  times if  $m \leq k$  and  $k+1$  times if  $m > k$ . If  $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$ , we say that  $f, g$  share the value  $a$  with weight  $k$ .*

The definition implies that if  $f, g$  share a value  $a$  with weight  $k$  then  $z_0$  is an  $a$ -point of  $f$  with multiplicity  $m$  ( $\leq k$ ) if and only if it is an  $a$ -point of  $g$  with multiplicity  $m$  ( $\leq k$ ) and  $z_0$  is an  $a$ -point of  $f$  with multiplicity  $m$  ( $> k$ ) if and only if it is an  $a$ -point of  $g$  with multiplicity  $n$  ( $> k$ ), where  $m$  is not necessarily equal to  $n$ .

We write  $f, g$  share  $(a, k)$  to mean that  $f, g$  share the value  $a$  with weight  $k$ . Since  $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$  implies  $E_p(a; f) = E_p(a; g)$  for any integer  $p$  ( $0 \leq p < k$ ), clearly if  $f, g$  share  $(a, k)$ , then  $f, g$  share  $(a, p)$  for any integer  $p$ ,  $0 \leq p < k$ . Also we note that  $f, g$  share a value  $a$  IM or CM if and only if  $f, g$  share  $(a, 0)$  or  $(a, \infty)$  respectively.

With the notion of weighted sharing of values improving *Theorem A* the following result is proved in [5].

**Theorem B.** [5] *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions,  $n \geq 11$  an integer and  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . If  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share  $(a, 2)$ , then the conclusion of the Theorem A holds.*

In 2005 the first author obtained the following result.

**Theorem C.** [1] *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that  $n > 22 - [5\Theta(\infty; f) + 5\Theta(\infty; g) + \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\}]$ , where  $n$  is an integer. If for  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ,  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share  $(a, 0)$ , then conclusion of the Theorem A holds.*

Recently in [2] Banerjee improved *Theorem A* with the idea of truncated sharing of values. In the same paper as a supplementary result Banerjee [2] obtained the following.

**Theorem D.** [2] *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and  $n > \max\{8, 14 - 3\Theta(\infty; f) - 3\Theta(\infty; g) - \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\}\}$  an integer. be an integer. If  $E_2(a; f^n f') = E_2(a; g^n g')$  then conclusion of the Theorem A holds.*

We now require the following definition.

**Definition 1.2.** [4] *For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  we denote by  $N(r, a; f | = 1)$  the counting function of simple  $a$  points of  $f$ . For a positive integer  $m$  we denote by  $N(r, a; f | \leq m)$  ( $N(r, a; f | \geq m)$ ) the counting function of those  $a$  points of  $f$  whose multiplicities are not greater (less) than  $m$  where each  $a$  point is counted according to its multiplicity.*

$\overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq m)$  ( $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq m)$ ) are defined similarly, where in counting the  $a$ -points of  $f$  we ignore the multiplicities.

Also  $N(r, a; f | < m)$ ,  $N(r, a; f | > m)$ ,  $\overline{N}(r, a; f | < m)$  and  $\overline{N}(r, a; f | > m)$  are defined analogously.

Recently Lin and Lin [7] introduced the notion of weakly weighted sharing which we shall define next.

**Definition 1.3.** [7] *Let  $f, g$  share a “IM” and  $k$  be a positive integer or  $\infty$ .*

(i)  $\overline{N}^E(r, a; f, g | \leq k)$  denotes the reduced counting function of those  $a$ -points of  $f$  whose multiplicities are equal to the corresponding  $a$ -points of  $g$ , both of their multiplicities are not greater than  $k$ .

(ii)  $\overline{N}^0(r, a; f, g | > k)$  denotes the reduced counting function of those  $a$ -points of  $f$  which are  $a$ -points of  $g$ , both of their multiplicities are not less than  $k$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [7] *For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , if  $k$  is a positive integer or  $\infty$  and*

$$\overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq k) - \overline{N}^E(r, a; f, g | \leq k) = S(r, f),$$

$$\overline{N}(r, a; g | \leq k) - \overline{N}^E(r, a; f, g | \leq k) = S(r, g)$$

$$\overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq k + 1) - \overline{N}^0(r, a; f, g | \geq k + 1) = S(r, f),$$

$$\overline{N}(r, a; g | \geq k + 1) - \overline{N}^0(r, a; f, g | \geq k + 1) = S(r, g)$$

or if  $k = 0$  and

$$\overline{N}(r, a; f) - \overline{N}_0(r, a; f, g) = S(r, f), \quad \overline{N}(r, a; g) - \overline{N}_0(r, a; f, g) = S(r, g),$$

then we say  $f, g$  weakly share  $a$  with weight  $k$ . Here we write  $f, g$  share “ $(a, k)$ ” to mean that  $f, g$  weakly share  $a$  with weight  $k$

Obviously if  $f, g$  share “ $(a, k)$ ”, then  $f, g$  share “ $(a, p)$ ” for any integer  $p$ ,  $0 \leq p < k$ . Also we note that  $f, g$  share a value  $a$  “IM” or “CM” if and only if  $f, g$  share “ $(a, 0)$ ” or “ $(a, \infty)$ ” respectively.

Now it is clear from *Definition 1.1* and *Definition 1.4* that weighted sharing and weakly weighted sharing are respectively scalings between IM, CM and “IM”, “CM”. Also weakly weighted sharing includes the definition of weighted sharing.

In the paper we introduce another sharing notion which is also a scaling between “IM” and “CM” but weaker than weakly weighted sharing and hence include the same definition. To this end we first require the following notation.

**Definition 1.5.** We denote by  $\bar{N}(r, a; f | = p; g | = q)$  the reduced counting function of common  $a$ -points of  $f$  and  $g$  with multiplicities  $p$  and  $q$  respectively.

We are now at a stage to introduce the definition of relaxed weighted sharing.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $f, g$  share a “IM”. Also let  $k$  be a positive integer or  $\infty$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . If

$$\sum_{\substack{p, q \leq k \\ (p \neq q)}} \bar{N}(r, a; f | = p; g | = q) = S(r)$$

then we say  $f, g$  share  $a$  with weight  $k$  in a relaxed manner. Here we write  $f, g$  share  $(a, k)^*$  to mean that  $f, g$  share  $a$  with weight  $k$  in a relaxed manner.

Obviously if  $f, g$  share  $(a, k)^*$ , then  $f, g$  share  $(a, p)^*$  for any integer  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p < k$ . Also we note that  $f, g$  share “ $(a, 0)$ ” or “ $(a, \infty)$ ” if and only if  $f, g$  share  $(a, 1)^*$  or  $(a, \infty)^*$  respectively.

We note that  $f, g$  share “ $(a, k)$ ” means they share  $(a, k)^*$  for  $k \geq 1$  but not conversely. Also from the definition of relaxed weighted sharing it is clear that for finite  $k$   $f, g$  share  $(a, k)^*$  actually means they share  $a$  “IM” with some restrictions imposed on the common zeros of  $f - a$  and  $g - a$  up to multiplicity  $k$ . In particular if  $k = 2$  the restrictions are minimum. In the paper we will show that if in *Theorem C*  $f$  and  $g$  share  $(a, 2)^*$  instead of  $(a, 0)$  the lower bound of  $n$  can be significantly reduced.

With the notion of weakly weighted sharing and truncated sharing of values we improve respectively *Theorem B* and *Theorem D* and show that the lower bound of  $n$  can be reduced by 1 under certain restriction on  $f$  and  $g$  in *Theorem B*.

**Definition 1.7.** [14] For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  we put

$$\delta_1(a; f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a; f | = 1)}{T(r, f)}$$

Clearly  $0 \leq \delta(a; f) \leq \Theta(a; f) \leq \delta_1(a; f)$

Following theorems are the main results of the paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions,  $n > \max\{8, 10 - \min\{\delta_1(\infty, f), \delta_1(\infty; g)\} - 3 \min\{\Theta(\infty, f), \Theta(\infty; g)\}\}$  an integer and  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . If  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share “ $(a, 2)$ ”, then conclusion of the Theorem A holds..*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and  $n > \max\{8, 12 - 5 \min\{\Theta(\infty, f), \Theta(\infty; g)\}\}$  an integer. If  $E_2(a; f^n f') = E_2(a; g^n g')$  then conclusion of the Theorem A holds.*

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions,  $n > 14 - 3\Theta(\infty, f) - 3\Theta(\infty; g)$  an integer and  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . If  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share  $(a, 2)^*$ , then conclusion of the Theorem A holds.*

**Remark 1.1.** *In Theorem 1.1 if we take  $\min\{\Theta(\infty, f), \Theta(\infty; g)\} > 0$  then the theorem is true for an integer  $n \geq 10$ . Also in the same theorem if we take  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant entire functions then the theorem is true for an integer  $n \geq 7$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** *In Theorem 1.2 if we take  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant entire functions then the theorem is true for an integer  $n \geq 7$ .*

Though the standard definitions and notations of the value distribution theory are available in [3], we explain some definitions and notations which are used in the paper.

**Definition 1.8.** *{5, cf.[12]} For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  and a positive integer  $p$ , we denote by  $N_p(r, a; f)$  the sum  $\overline{N}(r, a; f) + \overline{N}(r, a; f \mid \geq 2) + \dots + \overline{N}(r, a; f \mid \geq p)$ . Clearly  $N_1(r, a; f) = \overline{N}(r, a; f)$ .*

**Definition 1.9.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and for  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ,  $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$ . Let  $z_0$  be a zero of  $f(z) - a$  of multiplicity  $p$  and a zero of  $g(z) - a$  of multiplicity  $q$ . We denote by  $\overline{N}_L(r, a; f)(\overline{N}_L(r, a; g))$  the reduced counting function of those  $a$ -points of  $f$  and  $g$  for which  $p > q \geq k + 1$  ( $q > p \geq k + 1$ ), by  $\overline{N}_E^{(k+1)}(r, a; f)$  the reduced counting function of those  $a$ -points of  $f$  and  $g$  for which  $p = q \geq k + 1$ , by  $\overline{N}_{f \geq k+1}(r, a; f \mid g \neq a)$  the reduced counting functions of those  $a$ -points of  $f$  and  $g$  for which  $p \geq k + 1$  and  $q = 0$ .*

**Definition 1.10.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and for  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ , let  $f, g$  share a “IM”. Let  $z_0$  be a zero of  $f(z) - a$  of multiplicity  $p$  and a zero of  $g(z) - a$  of multiplicity  $q$ . We denote by  $\overline{N}_{f \geq k+1}(r, a; f \mid g = m)$  the reduced counting functions of those  $a$ -points of  $f$  and  $g$  for which  $p \geq k + 1$  and  $q = m$ . We can define  $\overline{N}_L(r, a; f)(\overline{N}_L(r, a; g))$  and  $\overline{N}_E^{(k+1)}(r, a; f)$  in a similar manner as defined in the previous definition.*

**Definition 1.11.** *[6] Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We denote by  $N(r, a; f \mid g = b)$  the counting function of those  $a$ -points of  $f$ , counted according to multiplicity, which are  $b$ -points of  $g$ .*

**Definition 1.12.** [6] Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We denote by  $N(r, a; f | g \neq b)$  the counting function of those  $a$ -points of  $f$ , counted according to multiplicity, which are not the  $b$ -points of  $g$ .

## 2 Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. Let  $f, g, F, G$  be four nonconstant meromorphic functions. Henceforth we shall denote by  $h$  and  $H$  the following two functions.

$$h = \left( \frac{f''}{f'} - \frac{2f'}{f-1} \right) - \left( \frac{g''}{g'} - \frac{2g'}{g-1} \right)$$

and

$$H = \left( \frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} \right) - \left( \frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1} \right).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $f, g$  be share “(1, 1)” and  $h \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 1; f | \leq 1) &\leq N(r, 0; h) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N(r, \infty; h) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Since  $f, g$  share “(1, 1)” it follows that if  $z_0$  be a common simple 1-point of  $f$  and  $g$ , then in some neighborhoods of  $z_0$  we have  $h = (z - z_0)\alpha(z)$ , where  $\alpha(z)$  is analytic at  $z_0$ . Hence by the first fundamental theorem and Milloux theorem {p.55 [3]} we get

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 1; f | \leq 1) &= N^E(r, 1; f, g | \leq 1) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N(r, 0; h) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N(r, \infty; h) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $f, g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that  $E_1(1; f) = E_1(1; g)$  and  $h \neq 0$  then

$$N(r, 1; f | \leq 1) \leq N(r, 0; h) \leq N(r, \infty; h) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

**Proof:** The proof is obvious. □

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $f, g$  be share  $(1, 1)^*$  and  $h \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} N^E(r, 1; f, g | \leq 1) &\leq N(r, 0; h) \\ &\leq N(r, \infty; h) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** The proof can be carried out in the line of proof of Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $f, g$  share  $(1, 1)^*$  and  $h \neq 0$ . Then*

$$N(r, \infty; h) \leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f | \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g | \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f | \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g | \geq 2) + \overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r),$$

where  $\overline{N}_0(r, 0; f')$  is the reduced counting function of those zeros of  $f'$  which are not the zeros of  $f(f - 1)$  and  $\overline{N}_0(r, 0; g')$  is similarly defined.

**Proof:** We can easily verify that possible poles of  $h$  occur at (i) multiple zeros of  $f$  and  $g$ , (ii) multiple poles of  $f$  and  $g$ , (iii) the common zeros of  $f - 1$  and  $g - 1$  whose multiplicities are different, (iii) those 1-points of  $f$  ( $g$ ) which are not the 1-points of  $g$  ( $f$ ), (iv) zeros of  $f'$  which are not the zeros of  $f(f - 1)$ , (v) zeros of  $g'$  which are not zeros of  $g(g - 1)$ . Since all the poles of  $h$  are simple the lemma follows from above. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *If for a positive integer  $k$ ,  $N_k(r, 0; f' | f \neq 0)$  denotes the counting function of those zeros of  $f'$  which are not the zeros of  $f$ , where a zero of  $f'$  with multiplicity  $m$  is counted  $m$  times if  $m \leq k$  and  $k$  times if  $m > k$  then*

$$N_k(r, 0; f' | f \neq 0) \leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - \sum_{p=k+1}^{\infty} \overline{N}\left(r, 0; \frac{f'}{f} | \geq p\right) + S(r, f).$$

**Proof:** By the first fundamental theorem and Milloux theorem {p.55 [3]} we get

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 0; f' | f \neq 0) &= N(r, 0; \frac{f'}{f}) \\ &\leq N(r, \infty; \frac{f'}{f}) + S(r, f) \\ &= \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(r, 0; \frac{f'}{f}) + \sum_{p=k+1}^{\infty} \overline{N}(r, 0; \frac{f'}{f} | \geq p) &= N(r, 0; f' | f \neq 0) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from above.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $E_2(1; f) = E_2(1; g)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_{f \geq 3}(r, 1; f \mid g \neq 1) &\leq \\ \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \overline{N} \left( r, 0; \frac{f'}{f} \mid \geq p \right) - \frac{1}{2}N_0^2(r, 0; f') + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

where by  $N_0^2(r, 0; f')$  we mean the counting function of those zeros of  $f'$  which are not the zeros of  $f(f-1)$  where every simple zero is counted once and all other zeros are counted two times. The lemma can be proved for  $g$  instead of  $f$  also.

**Proof:** Using Lemma 2.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_{f \geq 3}(r, 1; f \mid g \neq 1) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid \geq 3) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}N_2(r, 0; f' \mid f = 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}N_2(r, 0; f' \mid f \neq 0) - \frac{1}{2}N_0^2(r, 0; f') \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \overline{N} \left( r, 0; \frac{f'}{f} \mid \geq p \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}N_0^2(r, 0; f') + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $f, g$  share  $(1, 2)^*$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) + 2\overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \overline{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) - \overline{N}_{f \geq 3}(r, 1; g \mid = 1) \\ &\leq N(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}(r, 1; g) + S(r). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Let  $z_0$  be a 1-point of  $f$  with multiplicity  $p$  and a 1-point of  $g$  with multiplicity  $q$ . For each possible value of  $q$ , possible values of  $p$  is always  $\geq 0$ . Since  $f, g$  share  $(1, 2)^*$  implies  $f, g$  share “(1, 0)”, the sum of the reduced counting functions corresponding to the 1 points of  $g$  for which  $p = 0$  are  $S(r)$ . Also from the definition of relaxed weighted sharing we note that the sum of the reduced counting functions corresponding to the common 1 points of  $f$  and  $g$  for which (i)  $q=1, p=0$  (ii)  $q=1, p=2$  and (iii)  $q=2, p=1$  are  $S(r)$ , the lemma follows. □

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $f, g$  share  $(1, 2)^*$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_{f \geq 3}(r, 1; g \mid = 1) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - \\ &\quad \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \overline{N} \left( r, 0; \frac{f'}{f} \mid \geq p \right) - N_0^2(r, 0; f') + S(r). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_{f \geq 3}(r, 1; g \mid = 1) &= \overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid = 2; g = 1) + \\ & \quad 2\overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid \geq 3) + S(r) \\ &= 2\overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid \geq 3) + S(r), \end{aligned}$$

the rest of the proof can be carried out in the line of the proof of *Lemma 2.6*.  
□

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $f, g$  share “(1, 2)” and  $h \neq 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) \leq N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + N_2(r, \infty; g) \\ - \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \overline{N} \left( r, 0; \frac{g'}{g} \mid \geq p \right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Since  $f$  and  $g$  share “(1, 2)” it follows that  $f$  and  $g$  share (1, 1)\*. Also we note that  $\overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) \leq \overline{N}(r, 1; g \mid \geq 3)$ . So by the second fundamental theorem, *Lemmas 2.1* and *2.4* we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 1; f) - N_0(r, 0; f') + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 1; f \mid \leq 1) + \\ & \quad \overline{N}(r, 1; f \mid \geq 2) - N_0(r, 0; f') + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g \mid \geq 2) \\ & \quad + \overline{N}(r, 1; g \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 1; g \mid \geq 3) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g \mid \geq 2) \\ & \quad + N_2(r, 0; g' \mid g \neq 0) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + N_2(r, \infty; g) \\ & \quad - \overline{N}(r, 1; g \mid \geq 4) - \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \overline{N} \left( r, 0; \frac{g'}{g} \mid \geq p \right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.10.** [2] *Let  $E_2(1; f) = E_2(1; g)$  and  $h \neq 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) \leq N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + N_2(r, \infty; g) \\ + 2\overline{N}_{f \geq 3}(r, 1; f \mid g \neq 1) - \overline{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; f) - \overline{N}_{g \geq 3}(r, 1; g \mid f \neq 1) \\ - m(r, 1; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $E_2(1; f) = E_2(1; g)$  and  $h \neq 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) \leq & N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + N_2(r, \infty; g) + \\ & + 2\overline{N}_{g \geq 3}(r, 1; g \mid f \neq 1) - \overline{N}_E^{(3)}(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}_{f \geq 3}(r, 1; f \mid g \neq 1) - \\ & - m(r, 1; f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** We omit the proof since it can be carried out in the line of proof of *Lemma 2.10*  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $f, g$  share  $(1, 2)^*$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) \leq & N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + N_2(r, \infty; g) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f) \\ & + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - m(r, 1; g) + S(r). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** By the second fundamental theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) + T(r, g) \leq & \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g) \quad (2.1) \\ & + \overline{N}(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}(r, 1; g) - N_0(r, 0; f') - N_0(r, 0; g') \\ & + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

By *Lemmas 2.3, 2.4* and *2.7* we get from (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}(r, 1; g) \leq & N^E(r, 1; f \mid \leq 1) + \overline{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) \quad (2.2) \\ & + \overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) + \overline{N}(r, 1; g) + S(r) \\ \leq & \overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g \mid \geq 2) \\ & + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g \mid \geq 2) + 2\overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) + 2\overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) \\ & + \overline{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') \\ & + N(r, 1; g) - \overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) - 2\overline{N}_L(r, 1; g) \\ & - \overline{N}_E^{(2)}(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_{f \geq 3}(r, 1; g \mid = 1) + S(r) \\ \leq & \overline{N}(r, 0; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g \mid \geq 2) \\ & + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g \mid \geq 2) + \overline{N}_L(r, 1; f) + \overline{N}_{f \geq 3}(r, 1; f \mid g = 1) \\ & + T(r, g) - m(r, 1; g) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; f') + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2) in (2.1) the lemma follows from *Lemma 2.8*. This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** *[13] If  $h \equiv 0$  and*

$$\limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in I}} \frac{\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; g)}{T(r)} < 1,$$

*then  $f \equiv g$  or  $f.g \equiv 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.14.** {cf.[8],[9]} Let  $f$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function and  $P(f) = a_0 + a_1f + a_2f^2 + \dots + a_n f^n$ , where  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are constants and  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then  $T(r, P(f)) = nT(r, f) + O(1)$ .

**Lemma 2.15.** Let  $f, g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions  $F = \frac{f^n f'}{a}$ ,  $G = \frac{g^n g'}{a}$  and  $n$  is a positive integer. Then

- (i)  $T(r, F) \geq (n - 1)T(r, f) + N(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f') + S(r, f)$ .
- (ii)  $T(r, G) \geq (n - 1)T(r, g) + N(r, \infty; g) + N(r, 0; g') + S(r, g)$ .

**Proof:** Since

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &= T(r, \frac{f^n f'}{a}) \\ &\leq T(r, \frac{f^n}{a}) + T(r, f') \\ &\leq nT(r, f) + 2T(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &= (n + 2)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T(r, G) \leq (n + 2)T(r, g) + S(r, g),$$

it follows that  $S(r, F)$  can be replaced by  $S(r, f)$  and  $S(r, G)$  can be replaced by  $S(r, g)$ . Using Lemma 2.14 we note that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + m(r, \frac{1}{f'}) &= N(r, \infty; \frac{f^n f'}{a}) + m(r, \frac{f^n f'}{a}) + m(r, \frac{1}{f'}) \\ &\geq N(r, \infty; f^n) + N(r, \infty; f') + m(r, f^n) \\ &= nT(r, f) + N(r, \infty; f') + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\geq nT(r, f) - T(r, f') + N(r, 0; f') + N(r, \infty; f') + S(r, f) \\ &\geq nT(r, f) - T(r, f) + N(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f') + S(r, f) \\ &= (n - 1)T(r, f) + N(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f') + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner we can obtain

$$T(r, G) \geq (n - 1)T(r, g) + N(r, \infty; g) + N(r, 0; g') + S(r, g).$$

□

**Lemma 2.16.** [1] Let  $F$  and  $G$  be defined as in Lemma 2.15. Also let  $F_1 = \frac{f^{n+1}}{a(n+1)}$ ,  $G_1 = \frac{g^{n+1}}{a(n+1)}$ , where  $n(> 2)$  is an integer. Then  $F \equiv G$  implies  $F_1 \equiv G_1$

**Lemma 2.17.** [10] Let  $f, g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and  $n > 6$ . If  $f^n f' g^n g' = 1$ , then  $g = c_1 e^{cz}$ ,  $f = c_2 e^{-cz}$  where  $c, c_1, c_2$  are constants and  $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$ .

**Lemma 2.18.** [11] Let  $f$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function. Then

$$N(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq k\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f) + S(r, f).$$

### 3 Proofs of the theorems

**Proof:** [Proof of Theorem 1.1] Let  $F$  and  $G$  be defined as in Lemma 2.15. Since  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share “(a, 2)”, it follows that  $F$  and  $G$  share “(1, 2)”. If possible, we suppose that  $H \not\equiv 0$ . Then by Lemma 2.9 we obtain

$$T(r, F) \leq N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \quad (3.1)$$

We see that

$$N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, \infty; F) \leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + N(r, 0; f') + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f)$$

$$N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; G) \leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; g) + N(r, 0; g') + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; g)$$

So by Lemmas 2.15 and 2.18 we get from (3.1) for  $\varepsilon (> 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + N(r, 0; f') + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + 2\bar{N}(r, 0; g) \\ &\quad + N(r, 0; g') + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)T(r, f) &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + N(r, \infty; f | = 1) + 2\bar{N}(r, 0; g) \quad (3.2) \\ &\quad + N(r, 0; g) + 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq 2T(r, f) + 3T(r, g) + (1 - \delta_1)(\infty; f) + \varepsilon T(r, f) \\ &\quad + (3 - 3\Theta(\infty; g) + \varepsilon)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \{9 - \delta_1(\infty; f) - 3\Theta(\infty; g) + 2\varepsilon\}T(r) + S(r) \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner we obtain

$$(n-1)T(r, g) \leq \{9 - 3\Theta(\infty; f) - \delta_1(\infty; g) + 2\varepsilon\}T(r) + S(r) \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$[n-10 + \min\{\delta_1(\infty; f), \delta_1(\infty; g)\} + 3 \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\} - 2\varepsilon]T(r) \leq S(r). \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  is arbitrary, (3.4) implies a contradiction. Hence  $H \equiv 0$ .

Since

$$\bar{N}(r, 0; f') \leq T(r, f') - m(r, \frac{1}{f'}) \leq 2T(r, f) - m(r, \frac{1}{f'}) + S(r, f),$$

we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bar{N}(r, 0; F) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; F) + \bar{N}(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; G) & (3.5) \\
 & \leq \bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; g) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}(r, 0; g') \\
 & \leq 4T(r, f) + 4T(r, g) - m(r, 0; f') - m(r, 0; g') + S(r) \\
 & \leq 8T(r) - m(r, 0; f') - m(r, 0; g') + S(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also using Lemma 2.14 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) + m(r, \frac{1}{f'}) &= m(r, \frac{f^n f'}{a}) + m(r, \frac{1}{f'}) + N(r, \infty; \frac{f^n f'}{a}) & (3.6) \\
 &\geq m(r, \frac{f^n}{a}) + N(r, \infty; f^n) \\
 &= T(r, f^n) + O(1) \\
 &= nT(r, f) + O(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$T(r, G) + m(r, \frac{1}{g}) \geq nT(r, g) + O(1) \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.6) and (3.7) we get

$$\max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\} \geq nT(r) - m(r, \frac{1}{f'}) - m(r, \frac{1}{g}) + O(1) \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.5) and (3.8) applying Lemma 2.13 we get either  $F \equiv G$  or  $FG \equiv 1$ .

If  $F \equiv G$ , then by Lemma 2.16 we obtain  $F \equiv G$  or  $f \equiv dg$  where  $d$  is some  $(n + 1)$  th root of unity.

If  $FG \equiv 1$  then  $f^n f' g^n g' = a^2$ . Set  $f_1 = a^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} f$  and  $g_1 = a^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} g$ , then  $f_1^n f_1' g_1^n g_1' = 1$ . So using Lemma 2.17 we get  $g = c_1 e^{cz}$ ,  $f = c_2 e^{-cz}$  where  $c$ ,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants and satisfy  $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Proof:** [Proof of Theorem 1.2] Let  $F$  and  $G$  be defined as in Lemma 2.15. Clearly  $E_2(1; F) = E_2(1; G)$ . If possible, we suppose that  $H \not\equiv 0$ . Then by Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2\{N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + & (3.9) \\
 &+ N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; G)\} \\
 &+ \bar{N}_{F \geq 3}(r, 1; F \mid G \neq 1) + \bar{N}_{G \geq 3}(r, 1; G \mid F \neq 1) \\
 &+ S(r, F) + S(r, G).
 \end{aligned}$$

By *Lemmas 2.6, 2.15* and *2.18* we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n-1)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq \frac{9}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \frac{3}{2}N(r, 0; f') & (3.10) \\
 &+ \frac{7}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \frac{9}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \frac{3}{2}N(r, 0; g') \\
 &+ \frac{7}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq 6T(r, f) + 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 6T(r, g) \\
 &+ 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose

$$0 < \varepsilon < n - 12 + 5 \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\}.$$

Then from (3.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n-12 + 5\Theta(\infty; f) - \varepsilon)T(r, f) + (n-12 + 5\Theta(\infty; g) - \varepsilon)T(r, g) \\
 \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $H \equiv 0$ . The rest of the proof can be carried out in the line of the proof of *Theorem 1.1*  $\square$

**Proof:** [Proof of Theorem 1.3] Let  $F$  and  $G$  be defined as in *Lemma 2.15*. Since  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share  $(a, 2)^*$ , it follows that  $F$  and  $G$  share  $(1, 2)^*$ . If possible, we suppose that  $H \not\equiv 0$ . Then by *Lemma 2.12* we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) &\leq N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, \infty; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + N_2(r, \infty; G) & (3.11) \\
 &+ \overline{N}(r, 0; F) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; F) + S(r) \\
 &\leq 3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 2N(r, 0; f') + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \\
 &+ 2\overline{N}(r, 0; g) + N(r, 0; g') + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

So by *Lemmas 2.15* and *2.18* we get from (3.11) for  $\varepsilon (> 0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n-1)T(r, f) &\leq 3\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + N(r, 0; f) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) & (3.12) \\
 &+ 2\overline{N}(r, 0; g) + N(r, 0; g) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r) \\
 &\leq 4T(r, f) + 3T(r, g) + 3(1 - \Theta(\infty; f) + \varepsilon)T(r, f) \\
 &+ 3(1 - \Theta(\infty; g) + \varepsilon)T(r, g) + S(r) \\
 &\leq \{13 - 3\Theta(\infty; f) - 3\Theta(\infty; g) + 2\varepsilon\}T(r) + S(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can obtain

$$(n-1)T(r, g) \leq \{13 - 3\Theta(\infty; f) - 3\Theta(\infty; g) + 2\varepsilon\}T(r) + S(r) \quad (3.13)$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13) we get

$$[n - 14 + 3\Theta(\infty; f) + 3\Theta(\infty; g) - 2\varepsilon]T(r) + S(r). \quad (3.14)$$

Since  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  is arbitrary (3.14) leads to a contradiction. So  $H \equiv 0$ . Now proceeding in the same way as done in *Theorem 1.1* the proof of the theorem can be carried out .  $\square$

## References

- [1] A.BANERJEE, Meromorphic functions sharing one value, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 22 (2005), 3587-3598.
- [2] A.BANERJEE, Nonlinear differential polynomials sharing one value, Demonstratio Math. 40(1) (2007), 65-75.
- [3] W.K.HAYMAN, Meromorphic Functions, The Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).
- [4] I.LAHIRI, Value distribution of certain differential polynomials. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 28(2), (2001), 83-91.
- [5] I.LAHIRI, Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 46(2001), 241-253.
- [6] I.LAHIRI AND A.BANERJEE, Weighted sharing of two sets, Kyungpook Math. J. 46(1), (2006) 79-87.
- [7] S. LIN AND W.C.LIN, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning weakly weighted sharing, Kodai Math. J., 29(2006), 269-280.
- [8] A.Z.MOHON'KO, On the Nevanlinna characteristics of some meromorphic functions,. Theory of Functions. Functional Analysis and Their Applications, 14(1971), 83-87.
- [9] C.C.YANG, On deficiencies of differential polynomials II, Math. Z. Vol. 125(1972), 107-112.
- [10] C.C.YANG AND X.HUA, Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 22(1997), 395-406.
- [11] H.X.YI, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and a question of C.C.Yang, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 14(1990), No.1-4, 169-176.
- [12] H.X.YI, On charecteristic function of a meromorphic function and its derivative, Indian J. Math. 33(2) (1991), 119-133.
- [13] H.X.YI, Meromorphic functions that share one or two values, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 28(1995), 1-11.

- [14] H.X.YI AND W.C.LIN, Uniqueness theorems concerning a question of Gross,  
Proc. Japan Acad. 80, Ser. A (2004) 136-140.

Received: 22.11.2006.

Department of Mathematics,  
Kalyani Government Engineering College,  
West Bengal 741235,  
India.  
E-mail: abanerjee\_kal @yahoo.co.in  
abanerjee\_kal @rediffmail.com