

On p-open mappings

by

ZBIGNIEW DUSZYŃSKI

Abstract

p-open mappings between topological spaces are those preserving pre-open sets. Restrictions of this type of mappings are considered. Preimages of semi-connected spaces and images of S -closed spaces are investigated. Also, some observations concerning a.c.H. mappings are provided.

Key Words: Preopen, semi-open, semi-preopen sets; a.c.H., semi-continuous, almost open mappings; semi-connected, S -closed spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54C08.

1 Introduction

In 1984 Mashhour et al. [23, Definition 3.2] have introduced the notion of \mathcal{M} -preopenness: a mapping is \mathcal{M} -preopen if images of preopen sets are preopen. Later, Janković [16] referred to this property as to the p -openness. We shall use the latter up to its briefness. In this paper, characterizations of p-open mappings are given and restrictions of those mappings are investigated. Preimages of semi-connected spaces and images of S -closed spaces are considered. No separation axioms are assumed.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, (X, τ) and (Y, σ) denote topological spaces. For $A \subset X$, (A, τ_A) stands for the topological subspace of (X, τ) . Fix an (X, τ) . The *closure* of $S \subset X$ and the *interior* of S (both in (X, τ)) are denoted with $\text{cl}(S)$ and $\text{int}(S)$ respectively. For a subspace (A, τ_A) , $A \supset S$, these will be denoted with $\text{cl}_A(S)$ and $\text{int}_A(S)$ respectively. A subset $S \subset X$ is said to be *regular open* (resp. *regular closed*; *α -open* [25]; *semi-open* [17]; *semi-closed* [7]; *preopen* [20]; *preclosed* [3]; *semi-preopen* [3] (equiv. *β -open* [1]); *semi-regular* [9] (equiv. *regular semi-open* [5])) in (X, τ) if $S = \text{int}(\text{cl}(S))$ (resp. $S = \text{cl}(\text{int}(S))$; $S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(S)))$; $S \subset$

$\text{cl}(\text{int}(S)); \text{int}(\text{cl}(S)) \subset S; S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(S)); \text{cl}(\text{int}(S)) \subset S; S \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(S)));$
 $\text{int}(\text{cl}(S)) \subset S \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(S))$.

A subset S of (X, τ) is called *generalized closed* [19] if $\text{cl}(S) \subset U$ whenever $S \subset U$ and $U \in \tau$.

The family of all regular open (resp. closed; regular closed; α -open; semi-open; semi-closed; preopen; preclosed; semi-preopen; semi-regular; generalized closed) subsets of an (X, τ) will be denoted with $\text{RO}(X, \tau)$ (resp. $c(X, \tau); \text{RC}(X, \tau); \tau^\alpha; \text{SO}(X, \tau); \text{SC}(X, \tau); \text{PO}(X, \tau); \text{PC}(X, \tau); \text{SPO}(X, \tau); \text{SR}(X, \tau); \text{Gc}(X, \tau)$). A subset $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ if and only if there exists an $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subset S \subset \text{cl}(U)$ [17].

The following (proper, in general) inclusions are well-known: $\tau \subset \tau^\alpha = \text{SO}(X, \tau) \cap \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ ([34, Lemma 3.1] for the equality); $\text{SO}(X, \tau) \cup \text{PO}(X, \tau) \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ [3, Theorem 2.2 & Example 2.3]. The family τ^α forms a topology on X .

The intersection of all semi-closed (resp. preclosed) subsets of (X, τ) containing $S \subset X$ is called the *semi-closure* (resp. the *preclosure*) of S and is denoted with $\text{scl}(S)$ (resp. $\text{pcl}(S)$). The union of all preopen subsets of (X, τ) contained in $S \subset X$ is called the *preinterior* of S and is denoted with $\text{pint}(S)$. It is known that $S \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$ (resp. $S \in \text{PC}(X, \tau); S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$) if and only if $S = \text{scl}(S)$ (resp. $S = \text{pcl}(S); S = \text{pint}(S)$).

A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be α -continuous [22, 31] (resp. *semi-continuous* (briefly *s.c.*) [17]; an *R-map* [6]; *irresolute* [8]) if the preimage $f^{-1}(V)$ is in τ^α (resp. in $\text{SO}(X, \tau); \text{RO}(X, \tau); \text{SO}(X, \tau)$) for every V from σ (resp. from $\sigma; \text{RO}(Y, \sigma); \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$). In 1966, Husain [14] has introduced *almost continuous* mappings (briefly *a.c.H.*). Mashhour et al. [20] has shown that *a.c.H.* for an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ coincides with the so-called precontinuity of f : $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ for every $V \in \sigma$. Rose [37, Theorem 6] proved that an f is *a.c.H.* iff $f(\text{cl}(U)) \subset \text{cl}(f(U))$ for every $U \in \tau$. Janković [16, Proposition 3.1] observed that an f is *a.c.H.* iff $f(\text{cl}(U)) \subset \text{cl}(f(U))$ for every $U \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$.

In 1967, Wilansky [43] introduced *almost open* mappings (briefly: *a.o.W.*). Rose [37, Theorem 11] showed that an f is *a.o.W.* iff $f(\text{cl}(U)) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(U)))$ for every $U \in \tau$ (i.e., iff f is preopen [20]).

A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be *almost open in the sense of Singals* [39] (*a.o.S.*, for short) if $f(U) \in \sigma$ for every $U \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$.

A space (X, τ) is said to be *extremally disconnected* (briefly *e.d.*) (resp. *sub-maximal*) if $\text{cl}(U) \in \tau$ for every $U \in \tau$ (resp. if for every dense $U \subset X$ we have $U \in \tau$).

3 Characterizations

Definition 1. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **p-open** if $f(U) \in \text{PO}(Y, \sigma)$ for every $U \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 1. For an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ the following are equivalent:

- (a) f is p-open.
- (b) For every $S \subset Y$ and every $F \in \text{PC}(X, \tau)$ with $f^{-1}(S) \subset F$ there exists a $G \in \text{PC}(Y, \sigma)$ such that $S \subset G$ and $f^{-1}(G) \subset F$.
- (c) For every $B \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(B))) \subset \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(B))$.
- (d) For every $A \subset X$, $f(\text{pint}(A)) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(A)))$.
- (e) For every $V \in \sigma$, $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(V)) \subset \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(V))$.
- (f) For every $V \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$, $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(V)) \subset \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(V))$.
- (g) For every $V \in \sigma^\alpha$, $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(V)) \subset \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(V))$.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let $S \subset Y$ and $F \in \text{PC}(X, \tau)$ be such that $f^{-1}(S) \subset F$. Put $G = Y \setminus f(X \setminus F)$. Since f is p-open, $G \in \text{PC}(Y, \sigma)$, and since $f^{-1}(B) \subset F$ we get $f(X \setminus F) \subset f(f^{-1}(Y) \setminus f^{-1}(S)) \subset Y \setminus S$. So, $S \subset G$. One easily checks that $f^{-1}(G) \subset F$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Let $B \subset Y$. Put $F = \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(B)) \in \text{PC}(X, \tau)$. Clearly, $f^{-1}(B) \subset F$. By assumption there exists a set $G \in \text{PC}(Y, \sigma)$ with $B \subset G$ and such that $f^{-1}(G) \subset F$. Thus, we have $\text{cl}(\text{int}(B)) \subset G$ and $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(B))) \subset \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(B))$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d). Let $A \subset X$. Putting $B = Y \setminus f(A)$ we obtain

$$f^{-1}(Y \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(A)))) \subset X \setminus f^{-1}(f(A)) \subset X \setminus \text{pint}(f^{-1}(f(A))).$$

Hence $\text{pint}(A) \subset \text{pint}(f^{-1}(f(A))) \subset f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(A))))$. Therefore we get $f(\text{pint}(A)) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(A)))$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a). Let $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$. Then $S = \text{pint}(S)$ and by our supposition we obtain $f(S) = f(\text{pint}(S)) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(S)))$. So, $f(S) \in \text{PO}(Y, \sigma)$.

(a) \Leftrightarrow (e). Clear by (c).

(c) \Leftrightarrow (f). Use [27, Lemma 2].

(f) \Rightarrow (g) and (g) \Rightarrow (e). Obvious. \square

It is clear that every p-open mapping is a.o.W., but the converse is not true, in general, see the following example.

Example 1. Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Define a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ as follows: $f(a) = f(b) = a$, $f(c) = b$. Then f is a.o.W. and not p-open since $f(\{a, c\}) = \{a, b\} \notin \text{PO}(Y, \sigma)$.

Almost openness in the sense of Singals (or openness) and p-openness are independent notions.

Example 2. (a). Let $X = \{a, b\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}\}$. Let f be the identity on X . Then f is open (hence a.o.S.) and not p-open.
 (b). Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, b\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}\}$. Let f be the identity mapping. Then f is p-open and not a.o.S. (so not open).

Lemma 1. [28, Theorem 1]. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is s.c. if and only if $f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subset \text{cl}(f(A))$ for every $A \subset X$.

Theorem 2. If an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a.o.S. and s.c., then it is p-open (and irresolute [30, Theorem 1.12]).

Proof: Let $U \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$. Applying [32, Theorem 2.1] we obtain $f(U) \subset f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U))) \subset \text{int}(f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U))))$, because $\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$. But f is s.c., thus by Lemma 1, $f(U) \in \text{PO}(Y, \sigma)$. \square

4 p-a.c.H. and a.c.H. mappings

Definition 2. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **p-a.c.H.** if $f(\text{cl}(S)) \subset \text{cl}(f(S))$ for every $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.

It is well-known that if a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is α -continuous, then $f(\text{cl}(S)) \subset \text{cl}(f(S))$ for every $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ [22, Corollary 1.1(i)]. Thus each α -continuous mapping is p-a.c.H. On the other hand, every p-a.c.H. mapping is a.c.H. [37, Theorem 6], but the converse for this implication does not hold.

Example 3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{b, c\}\}$. Let f be the identity on X . Then f is a.c.H. and not p-a.c.H. See also [24, Example 3.1].

Problem 1. Find a p-a.c.H. mapping which is not α -continuous.

Lemma 2. [2, Lemma 3.1]. An $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ if and only if there exists a $G \in \tau$ with $A \subset G \subset \text{cl}(A)$.

Theorem 3. Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be open and p-a.c.H. Then f is p-open.

Proof: Let $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$. Then, by Lemma 2 we have $A \subset G \subset \text{cl}(A)$ for a certain $G \in \tau$. Hence, we obtain $f(A) \subset f(G) \subset f(\text{cl}(A)) \subset \text{cl}(f(A))$ where $f(G) \in \sigma$. Therefore $f(A) \in \text{PO}(Y, \sigma)$. \square

Corollary 1. If a mapping is open and continuous, then it is p-open.

Example 4. (a). Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$, and

$$\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}.$$

Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the identity on X . Then f is \mathbf{p} -a.c.H. and not open.

(b). Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. The identity on X is \mathbf{p} -a.c.H. and open.

(c). Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the identity on X . Then f is open and not \mathbf{p} -a.c.H.

Problem 2. In 1973, Neubrunnová [24, Examples 3.1&3.2] has shown that a.c.H. and s.c. are independent of each other. Indicate a \mathbf{p} -a.c.H. mapping which is not s.c.

Theorem 4. For an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ the following are equivalent:

- (a) f is α -continuous.
- (b) f is s.c. and a.c.H.
- (c) f is s.c. and \mathbf{p} -a.c.H.

Proof: (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). [34, Theorem 3.2].

(b) \Rightarrow (c). By Lemma 1 we have $f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(S))) \subset \text{cl}(f(A))$ for every subset $A \subset X$. Hence, $\text{cl}(f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(S)))) \subset \text{cl}(f(A))$ and $f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(S)))) \subset \text{cl}(f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(S))))$, because f is a.c.H. Let $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$. Clearly, $f(\text{cl}(A)) \subset \text{cl}(f(A))$.

(c) \Rightarrow (b). Obvious. \square

Corollary 2. Assume a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is s.c. Then f is \mathbf{p} -a.c.H. iff it is a.c.H.

Remark 1. An a.c.H. mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is \mathbf{p} -a.c.H. if and only if $\text{SO}(X, \tau) = \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ [16, Proposition 3.1]. On the other hand, $\text{PO}(X, \tau) = \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ if and only if (X, τ) is e.d. and (X, τ^α) is submaximal (see [16, Proposition 4.1] and [13, Theorem 4] respectively).

The conditions ' (X, τ) is e.d.' and ' (X, τ^α) is submaximal' do not depend on the other.

Example 5. (a). Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$. Then (X, τ) is e.d. and (X, τ^α) is not submaximal.

(b). Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then (X, τ) is not e.d. but (X, τ^α) is submaximal (we have $\tau = \tau^\alpha$).

Corollary 3. An a.c.H. mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is \mathbf{p} -a.c.H. if and only if the space (X, τ) is e.d. and (X, τ^α) is submaximal.

Theorem 5. *If a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a.c.H. and a.o.S., then $f(S) \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$ for every $S \in \text{SR}(X, \tau)$.*

Proof: Take an $S \in \text{SR}(X, \tau)$. With [37, Theorem 6] and [32, Theorem 2.1] we have $f(S) \subset f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(S))) \subset \text{cl}(f(\text{int}(S))) \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(f(S)))$. \square

An analogous result can be obtained when we replace a.o.S. by semi-openness [4] (see [30, Theorem 2.5]). Semi-openness and a.o.S. are independent notions [30, p.315]. It is worth to compare Theorem 5 with [32, Theorem 4.2].

5 Restrictions

Noiri has shown that the restriction of an a.o.W. mapping to a closed subdomain, is not necessarily a.o.W. [32, Example 3.2]. A similar assertion can be proved for p-open mappings.

Example 6. *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$. Then*

$$\text{PO}(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$$

and $\{c\}$ is a closed set. The identity mapping on X is p-open while its restriction to $\{c\}$ is not.

Also, the restriction of a p-open mapping to a semi-open set may be not p-open.

Example 7. *Let \mathbb{R} be the space of reals endowed with the Euclidean topology τ_e . Consider any interval $I = [a, b)$, $a < b$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously $I \in \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e) \setminus (\text{PO}(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e) \cup \text{c}(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e))$. The identity on \mathbb{R} is p-open but its restriction to I is not.*

Lemma 3. [21, Lemma 2.2]. *If $U \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ and $V \in \text{PO}(U, \tau_U)$, then $V \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.*

Theorem 6. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any spaces and a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be p-open. If $U \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ then the restriction $f \upharpoonright U$ is p-open too.*

Proof: Follows by Lemma 3. \square

The two examples below present some other cases when a restriction of a p-open mapping is not p-open.

Example 8. *Consider (\mathbb{R}, τ_e) , the identity mapping on \mathbb{R} , and the subset $A = [0, 1] \cup ((1, 2] \cap \mathbb{Q})$, where \mathbb{Q} stands for the set of rationals. Clearly, $A \in \text{SPO}(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e) \setminus (\text{PO}(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e) \cup \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e) \cup \text{Gc}(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e))$. One easily checks that the restriction of identity to A is not p-open.*

Example 9. For (\mathbb{R}, τ_e) and the identity mapping on \mathbb{R} consider the set $A = [0, 1) \cup \{2\}$. We have $A \notin \text{SPO}(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e)$ and the restriction of identity to A is not \mathbf{p} -open.

Lemma 4. Let $A \subset X$ be an arbitrary open subset of (X, τ) and let $S \subset A$. If $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ then $S \in \text{PO}(A, \tau_A)$.

Proof: Let $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$. By [3, Theorem 1.5(f)] we get $S \supset \text{pint}_A(S) = S \cap \text{int}_A(\text{cl}_A(S)) \supset S \cap \text{int}(A \cap \text{cl}(S)) = S \cap A \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(S)) = S$. Thus $S = \text{pint}_A(S)$ and $S \in \text{PO}(A, \tau_A)$. \square

Corollary 4. Let (X, τ) be arbitrary and an $A \in \tau$. Then $S \in \text{PO}(A, \tau_A)$ if and only if $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.

Proof: Lemmas 3 and 4. \square

Theorem 7. Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be \mathbf{p} -open and let for an $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$, $f(A) \in \sigma$. Then the surjection $g_A : (A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (f(A), \sigma_{f(A)})$, where $g_A(x) = f(x)$, $x \in A$, is \mathbf{p} -open.

Proof: Follows from Lemmas 3 and 4. \square

Corollary 5. Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be open and \mathbf{p} -open. Then, for any $A \in \tau$ the surjection g_A from Theorem 7 is \mathbf{p} -open.

Theorem 8. Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an open injection and let $A \in \tau$ be arbitrary. Then, the bijection g_A from Theorem 7 is open.

Lemma 5. Let an A be arbitrary subset of a space (X, τ) . Then $A \cap \text{pcl}(S) \subset \text{pcl}_A(S)$ for every $S \subset A$.

Proof: Let $S \subset A \subset X$. Applying [19, Theorem 1.5(e)] we infer what follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pcl}_A(S) &= S \cup \text{cl}_A(\text{int}_A(S)) = \\ &= S \cup (A \cap \text{cl}(\text{int}_A(S))) \supseteq A \cap (S \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(S))) = A \cap \text{pcl}(S). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark 2. Recall that Dontchev et al. [10] have proved that if $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ and $S \in \text{PO}(A, \tau_A)$ then $\text{pcl}(S) \subset \text{pcl}_A(S)$.

Theorem 9. If a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is \mathbf{p} -open and $B \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$, then $f \upharpoonright f^{-1}(B) : f^{-1}(B) \rightarrow B$ is \mathbf{p} -open

Proof: We shall use the characterization of \mathbf{p} -openness stated in Theorem 1(f). Let a $V \in \text{SO}(B, \sigma_B)$. Since $B \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$, $V \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$ [26, Theorem 5], and hence with \mathbf{p} -openness of f we obtain $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(V)) \subset \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(V))$. So, using Lemma 5 we calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(f \upharpoonright f^{-1}(B)\right)^{-1}(\text{cl}_B(V)) &= f^{-1}(B \cap \text{cl}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(B) \cap \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(V)) \subset \\ &\subset \text{pcl}_{f^{-1}(B)}(f^{-1}(V)) = \text{pcl}_{f^{-1}(B)}\left(\left(f \upharpoonright f^{-1}(B)\right)^{-1}(V)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f \upharpoonright f^{-1}(B)$ is \mathbf{p} -open. \square

Lemma 6. [12, Theorem 2.4]. If $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ then $\text{pcl}(S) = \text{cl}(S)$.

Let us observe, that a shorter proof of Lemma 6 one could have obtained with [3, Theorem 1.5(e)] and [27, Lemma 2].

Lemma 7. Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be s.c. and let $V \in \sigma$ be arbitrary. Then $f \upharpoonright f^{-1}(V) : f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is s.c. too.

Proof: Let an $U \in \sigma_V$. Then $U \in \sigma$. By hypothesis we have $f^{-1}(U) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$. Hence $f^{-1}(U) \in \text{SO}(f^{-1}(V), \tau_{f^{-1}(V)})$, because $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ [26, Theorem 5]. Therefore, the mapping $f \upharpoonright f^{-1}(V)$ is s.c. \square

Theorem 10. Let an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be s.c. and let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ be a cover of Y . If mappings $f \upharpoonright f^{-1}(V_\alpha) : f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \rightarrow V_\alpha$ are \mathbf{p} -open for every $\alpha \in \nabla$, then f is \mathbf{p} -open.

Proof: Let $V \in \sigma$ be arbitrary. Put $f_\alpha = f \upharpoonright f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$ and $U_\alpha = f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \nabla$. Each subset $V \cap V_\alpha$ is open in the space $(V_\alpha, \sigma_{V_\alpha})$, thus with the assumption and Theorem 1(e) we get $f_\alpha^{-1}(\text{cl}_{V_\alpha}(V \cap V_\alpha)) \subset \text{pcl}_{U_\alpha}(f_\alpha^{-1}(V \cap V_\alpha))$ for every $\alpha \in \nabla$. Since $V_\alpha \in \sigma$ for any $\alpha \in \nabla$, we obtain what follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{cl}_\sigma(V)) &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} f^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap \text{cl}_\sigma(V)) \subset \\ &\subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} f_{V_\alpha}^{-1}(\text{cl}_{V_\alpha}(V_\alpha \cap V)) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} \text{pcl}_{U_\alpha}(f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V)). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 7 and from our hypothesis we infer that $f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V) \in \text{SO}(U_\alpha, \tau_{U_\alpha})$ for every $\alpha \in \nabla$, and so $\text{pcl}_{U_\alpha}(f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V)) = \text{cl}_{U_\alpha}(f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V))$ (Lemma 6). Then, we obtain

$$f^{-1}(\text{cl}_\sigma(V)) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} \text{cl}(f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V)) \subset \text{cl}(f^{-1}(V)) = \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(V)),$$

because $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$. Therefore, by Theorem 1(e), f is \mathbf{p} -open. \square

Corollary 6. *Let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ be a cover of a space (Y, σ) and let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be s.c. Then f is p-open if and only if $f \upharpoonright f^{-1}(V_\alpha) : f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \rightarrow V_\alpha$ are p-open for every $\alpha \in \nabla$.*

Proof: It directly follows from Theorems 8 and 9. \square

The next result is worth being noticed.

Theorem 11. *For any space (X, τ) , if $S \subset A \subset X$, $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$, and $S \in \text{SO}(A, \tau_A)$, then*

$$\text{pcl}_A(S) = A \cap \text{pcl}(S). \quad (1)$$

Proof: Let $S \subset A \subset X$. By [3, Theorem 1.5(e)] we have $\text{pcl}_A(S) = S \cup \text{cl}_A(\text{int}_A(S)) = S \cup \text{cl}_A(S) = \text{cl}_A(S)$, because $S \in \text{SO}(A, \tau_A)$ [27, Lemma 2]. Since $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ [26, Theorem 5], $\text{cl}(S) = \text{pcl}(S)$ (by Lemma 6). Therefore (1) holds. \square

Remark 3. *Dontchev et al. [10] proved that if $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$, then $\text{pcl}_A(S) \subset \text{pcl}(S)$ for any $S \subset A \subset X$.*

6 S-connectedness of spaces

Definition 3. *A topological space (X, τ) is said to be **semi-connected** [35] (resp. **preconnected** [36]) if X cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty semi-open (resp. preopen) subsets of (X, τ) .*

Semi-connectedness and preconnectedness of a space are independent notions [15, Examples 21.&2.2]. It is clear that if the range of any open bijection (equiv. any closed bijection) is connected, then its domain is connected too. Similarly, if the range of a p-open bijection is preconnected, then the domain is preconnected too. In this section we study conditions under which the domain of an open or a p-open bijection is semi-connected if the range is semi-connected.

Lemma 8. *If a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is open and a.c.H. then the set $\text{cl}(f(\text{cl}(A))) \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$ for every $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.*

Proof: Take any $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$. By Lemma 2 there exists a $G \in \tau$ such that $A \subset G \subset \text{cl}(A)$. Since f is a.c.H., we get what follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(G) &\subset f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))) \subset \text{cl}(f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))) \subset \\ &\subset \text{cl}(f(\text{cl}(A))) = \text{cl}(f(\text{cl}(G))) \subset \text{cl}(f(G)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{cl}(f(\text{cl}(A))) \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$, since $f(G) \in \sigma$ [17, Definition 1]. \square

With the proposition below we complete characterizations of semi-connected spaces; for some other the reader is referred to [11, 18, 29, 38, 42].

Theorem 12. *For any (X, τ) , the following are equivalent*

- (a) (X, τ) is semi-connected.
- (b) $\text{scl}_\tau(A) = X$ for each nonempty $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.
- (c) $\text{cl}_\tau(A) = X$ for each nonempty $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.
- (c') $\text{cl}_{\tau^\alpha}(A) = X$ for each nonempty $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.
- (d) $\text{scl}_\tau(U) = X$ for each nonempty $U \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$.
- (e) $\text{cl}_\tau(U) = X$ for each nonempty $U \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$.
- (e') $\text{cl}_{\tau^\alpha}(U) = X$ for each nonempty $U \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose for some nonempty $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ we have $\text{scl}(A) \neq X$. With [16, Proposition 2.7(a)] (or with [3, Theorem 1.5(a)]) we get $\emptyset \neq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \neq X$. So, we obtain that $X = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(X \setminus A))$, where the nonempty sets $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$, $\text{cl}(\text{int}(X \setminus A))$ belong to $\text{SO}(X, \tau)$. Therefore (X, τ) is not semi-connected, a contradiction.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Suppose an (X, τ) fulfills (b), but it is not semi-connected. Hence $X = S_1 \cup S_2$, where $S_1 \neq \emptyset \neq S_2$, $S_1, S_2 \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$, and $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. By [24, Lemma 3.5] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &= \text{int}(\text{cl}(S_1 \cap S_2)) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(S_1)) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(S_2)) = \\ &= \text{scl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(S_1))) \cap \text{scl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(S_2))). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We shall show that $\text{int}(\text{cl}(S_i)) \neq \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose not. Thus, from [3, Theorem 1.5(a)] we infer that $\text{scl}(S_i) = S_i$, i.e., $S_i \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$, $i = 1, 2$. With a dual equality to that of [27, Lemma 2] we obtain $\text{int}(\text{cl}(S_i)) = \text{int}(S_i)$, and so $\text{int}(S_i) = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2$. A contradiction since S_i 's are nonempty semi-open subsets of (X, τ) [7, Remark 1.2]. Finally, from (2) we get $\emptyset = X$. So, (b) \Rightarrow (a) holds.

The implications (b) \Rightarrow (c) and (d) \Rightarrow (e) are clear. The property from (d) has been established in [29, Theorem 3.1].

The implications (c) \Rightarrow (b) and (e) \Rightarrow (d) are obvious by [3, Theorem 1.5].

The equivalence (c) \Leftrightarrow (c') (resp. (e) \Leftrightarrow (e')) is an immediate consequence of the conditions (b) and (c) (resp. (d) and (e)). \square

Theorem 13. *Let a bijection $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be open, p-open, and a.c.H. If (Y, σ) is semi-connected then (X, τ) is semi-connected.*

Proof: Suppose (X, τ) is not semi-connected. Then, by Theorem 12(c), there exists a nonempty $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ with $\text{cl}(A) \neq X$. Put $V = f(\text{cl}(A)) \in \text{c}(Y, \sigma)$. Using the characterization of p -openness from Theorem 1(f) we obtain

$$f^{-1}(\text{cl}(V)) \subset \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(f(\text{cl}(A)))) = \text{pcl}(\text{cl}(A)) = \text{cl}(A),$$

because $V \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$ (Lemma 8). Thus $\text{cl}(V) \neq Y$, whence with Theorem 12(e) we get (Y, σ) is not semi-connected. \square

7 Images of \mathcal{S} -closed spaces

Definition 4. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be \mathcal{S} -closed [40] if every semi-open cover of X has a finite subcollection whose members have closures covering X .

Lemma 9. Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a.o.S. and a.c.H. Then,

$$f(\text{pcl}(S)) \subset \text{pcl}(f(S))$$

for every $S \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$.

Proof: Take an $S \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$. With hypothesis, [3, Theorem 1.5(e)], and [32, Theorem 2.1] we have what follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{pcl}(S)) &= f(S \cup \text{int}(\text{cl}(S))) \subset f(S) \cup \text{cl}(f(\text{int}(S))) \subset \\ &\subset f(S) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(f(S))) = \text{pcl}(f(S)). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 14. Let a surjection $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an α -continuous, a.o.S. R -map. If (X, τ) is \mathcal{S} -closed then for each cover $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(Y, \sigma)$ of Y there exists a finite subset $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$ with $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \text{cl}(V_\alpha) = Y$.

Proof: Let a family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(Y, \sigma)$ cover Y . Since f is an R -map,

$$\{f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha)))) : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{RC}(X, \tau)$$

is a cover of X . The space (X, τ) is \mathcal{S} -closed, hence by [5, Theorem 2] there exists a finite subset $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha))))$. Since f is s.c. (and also a.c.H. [34, Theorem 3.2]) and a.o.S., it is p -open by Theorem 2. Thus, applying Theorem 1 we obtain

$$X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha)))).$$

But, $f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha))) \in \text{RO}(X, \tau) \subset \text{SC}(X, \tau)$ for every $\alpha \in \nabla_0$, whence with Lemma 2 we have

$$Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \text{pcl}(f(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha)))) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \text{pcl}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha)) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \text{cl}(V_\alpha).$$

□

Example 10. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$, $Y = \{a, b\}$, and $\sigma = 2^Y$. Define $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ via $f(a) = f(b) = a$, $f(c) = b$. Then f is an R -map, it is α -continuous (in fact, even continuous), a.o.S., and surjective.

The examples below show that 'R-mapness' and ' α -continuity' are independent of each other.

Example 11. (a). Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}\}$. The identity mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an R -map and it is not α -continuous (in fact, even not continuous).

(b). Observe that in (a), if we put $f(a) = a$, $f(b) = f(c) = b$, it will give us an α -continuous (discontinuous) R -map which is neither surjective nor injective.

Example 12. (a). Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. The identity mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is α -continuous (obviously even continuous), but it is not an R -map, because $f^{-1}(\{a\}) \notin \text{RO}(X, \tau)$.

(b). Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be as above. Define $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ as follows: $f(a) = a$, $f(b) = b$, $f(c) = f(d) = c$. It is seen that f is α -continuous (continuous), not an R -map, and neither a surjective nor an injective mapping.

Remark 4. Notice that Examples 11 and 12 complete Diagram from [33, p.249] related to 'R-mapness' and 'continuity'.

In [41, Theorem 3.5] Thompson has proved that irresolute surjections preserve the ' \mathcal{S} -closed covering property'.

Example 13. (a). Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{b\}\}$. Then the identity mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an R -map and is not irresolute.

(b). The identity mapping from [34, Example 3.11] is irresolute but it is not an R -map.

Thus, R -mapness and irresoluteness are independent of each other. Recall that α -continuity and irresoluteness are also independent notions [34, Example 3.11&Theorem 3.12].

Theorem 15. Let a surjection $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be irresolute, a.c.H., and a.o.W. If (X, τ) is \mathcal{S} -closed, then for each cover $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(Y, \sigma)$ of Y , there exists a finite subset $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$ such that $Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \text{cl}(V_\alpha)$.

Proof: Let a family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(Y, \sigma)$ cover Y . Since f is irresolute, the family $\{f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha)))) : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ covers X . By its \mathcal{S} -closedness there exists a finite subset $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$ with

$$X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha)))).$$

But f is a.o.W., whence by [37, Theorem 11] we obtain

$$X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha)))).$$

Thus, from [16, Proposition 3.1(c)] we get

$$Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} f(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V_\alpha))))) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \text{cl}(V_\alpha).$$

□

Recall that 'a.o.W.' and 'a.o.S.' are independent notions [30, p.315]. Also, irresoluteness and 'a.c.H.' are independent of each other, see the example below.

Example 14. (a). Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and let f be the identity on X . Then f is a.c.H. and not irresolute.

(b). Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a, b\}\}$. Then the identity on X is irresolute but not a.c.H.

Concluding the comparison of Theorems 14 and 15, we point out that the converse to an obvious implication α -continuity \Rightarrow a.c.H. fails in general.

Example 15. Let $X = \{a, b\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}\}$. Then the identity on X is a.c.H. but it is not α -continuous.

References

- [1] M. E. ABD EL-MONSEF, S. N. EL-DEEB, R. A. MAHMOUD, β -open sets and β -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., **12** (1983), 77–90.
- [2] M. E. ABD EL-MONSEF, E. F. LASHIEN, A. A. NASEF, Remarks on the $*$ -topology mappings, Tamkang J. Math., **24**(1) (1993), 9–22.
- [3] D. ANDRIJEVIĆ, Semi-preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik, **38** (1986), 24–32.
- [4] N. BISWAS, On some mappings in topological spaces, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **61** (1969), 127–135.

- [5] D. E. CAMERON, *Properties of \mathcal{S} -closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1978), 581–586.
- [6] D. A. CARNAHAN, *Some properties related to compactness in topological spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Arkansas, 1973.
- [7] C. G. CROSSLEY, S. K. HILDEBRAND, *Semi-closure*, Texas J. Sci., **22**(2-3) (1971), 99–112.
- [8] C. G. CROSSLEY, S. K. HILDEBRAND, *Semi-topological properties*, Fundamenta Math., **74** (1972), 233–254.
- [9] G. DI MAIO, T. NOIRI, *On s -closed spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **18**(3) (1987), 226–233.
- [10] J. DONTCHEV, M. GANSTER, T. NOIRI, *On p -closed spaces*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., **24** (2000), 203–212.
- [11] Z. DUSZYŃSKI, *On some concepts of weak connectedness of topological spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **110**(1-2) (2006), 69–78.
- [12] S. N. EL-DEEB, I. A. HASANEIN, A. S. MASHHOUR, T. NOIRI, *On p -regular spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, **27(75)**(4) (1983), 311–315.
- [13] M. GANSTER, *Preopen sets and resolvable spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J., **27**(2) (1987), 135–143.
- [14] T. HUSAIN, *Almost continuous mappings*, Prace Mat., **10** (1966), 1–7.
- [15] S. JAFARI, T. NOIRI, *Properties of β -connected spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **101**(3) (2003), 227–236.
- [16] D. S. JANKOVIĆ, *A note on mappings of extremally disconnected spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **46**(1-2) (1985), 83–92.
- [17] N. LEVINE, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 36–41.
- [18] N. LEVINE, *Dense topologies*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **75** (1968), 847–853.
- [19] N. LEVINE, *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, **19**(2) (1970), 89–96.
- [20] A. S. MASHHOUR, M. E. ABD EL-MONSEF, S. N. EL-DEEB, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. Math. and Phys. Soc. Egypt, **53** (1982), 47–53.
- [21] A. S. MASHHOUR, I. A. HASANEIN, S. N. EL-DEEB, *A note on semi-continuity and precontinuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **13**(10) (1982), 1119–1123.

- [22] A. S. MASHHOUR, I. A. HASANEIN, S. N. EL-DEEB, *α -continuous and α -open mappings*, Acta Math. Hungar., **41**(3-4) (1983), 213–218.
- [23] A. S. MASHHOUR, M. E. ABD EL-MONSEF, I. A. HASANEIN, *On pretopological spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Math. R. S. Roumanie, **28(76)**(1) (1984), 39–45.
- [24] A. NEBRUNNOVÁ, *On certain generalizations of the notion of continuity*, Mat. Čas., **23**(4) (1973), 374–380.
- [25] O. NJÅSTAD, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965), 961–970.
- [26] T. NOIRI, *Remarks on semi-open mappings*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **65** (1973), 197–201.
- [27] T. NOIRI, *On semi-continuous mappings*, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat., **54** (1973), 210–214.
- [28] T. NOIRI, *A note on semi-continuous mappings*, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat., **55** (1973), 400–403.
- [29] T. NOIRI, *A note on hyperconnected sets*, Mat. Vesnik, **3**(16)(31) (1979), 53–60.
- [30] T. NOIRI, *Semi-continuity and weak-continuity*, Czechoslovak Math. J., **31(106)** (1981), 314–321.
- [31] T. NOIRI, *A function which preserves connected spaces*, Čas. pěst. mat., **107** (1982), 393–396.
- [32] T. NOIRI, *Almost-open functions*, Indian J. Math., **25**(1) (1983), 73–79.
- [33] T. NOIRI, *Super-continuity and some strong forms of continuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **15**(3) (1984), 241–250.
- [34] T. NOIRI, *On α -continuous functions*, Čas. pěst. mat., **109** (1984), 118–126.
- [35] V. PIPITONE, G. RUSSO, *Spazi semiconnessi a spazi semiaperti*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), **24** (1975), 273–285.
- [36] V. POPA, *Properties of H -almost continuous functions*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N.S.), **31**(79) (1987), 163–168.
- [37] D. A. ROSE, *Weak continuity and almost continuity*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., **7**(2) (1984), 311–318.
- [38] A. K. SHARMA, *On some properties of hyperconnected spaces*, Mat. Vesnik, **1**(14)(29) (1977), 25–27.

- [39] M. K. SINGAL, Asha Rani Singal, *Almost-continuous mappings*, Yokohama Math. J., **16** (1968), 63–73.
- [40] T. THOMPSON, *S-closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **60** (1976), 335–338.
- [41] T. THOMPSON, *Semicontinuous and irresolute images of S-closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **66**(2) (1977), 359–362.
- [42] T. THOMPSON, *Characterizations of irreducible spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J., **21**(2) (1981), 191–194.
- [43] A. WILANSKY, *Topics in functional analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **45**, Springer-Verlag 1967.

Received: 20.05.2006.

Casimirus the Great University
Department of Mathematics
PL. Weyssenhoffa 11
85–072 Bydgoszcz
Poland
E-mail: imath@ukw.edu.pl