

Some properties of the class of n -starlike functions

by
AMELIA ANCA HOLHOȘ

Abstract

In this paper we study some properties of the classes $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of univalent functions with negative coefficients.

Key Words: Univalent functions, starlike functions, differential operators, negative coefficients.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45.

1 Introduction

Let U denote the open unit disc: $U = \{z ; z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$, let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in U , and let S denote the class of functions of the form (1) which are analytic and univalent in U .

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) in the unit disk U if

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha$$

for all $z \in U$.

For $f \in S$ we define the differential operator D^n (Sălăgean [3])

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D^1 f(z) &= Df(z) = zf'(z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) \quad ; \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

We note that if

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j,$$

then

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^n a_j z^j ; \quad z \in U.$$

Let T denotes the subclass of S containing the functions which can be expressed in the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k ; \quad a_k \geq 0, \quad \forall k \geq 2.$$

We say that a function $f \in T$ is in the class $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, $0 < B \leq 1$ and

$$\frac{B}{B-A} < \gamma \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B}{(B-A)\alpha} & ; \quad \alpha \neq 0 \\ 1 & ; \quad \alpha = 0 \end{cases}$$

if

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z F'_{n,\lambda}(z)}{F_{n,\lambda}(z)} - 1}{(B-A)\gamma \left[\frac{z F'_{n,\lambda}(z)}{F_{n,\lambda}(z)} - \alpha \right] - B \left[\frac{z F'_{n,\lambda}(z)}{F_{n,\lambda}(z)} - 1 \right]} \right| < \beta, \quad z \in U$$

where

$$F_{n,\lambda}(z) = (1-\lambda)D^n f(z) + \lambda D^{n+1} f(z) ; \quad \lambda \geq 0 ; \quad f \in T$$

For this class $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, in Holhoş [1] it is showed the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Let $f \in T$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$; $a_k \geq 0$, $\forall k \geq 2$. Then $f(z)$ is in the class $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] \{ (k-1) + \beta [(B-A)\gamma(k-\alpha) - B(k-1)] \} \leq \beta \gamma (B-A)(1-\alpha) \quad (2)$$

and the result is sharp.

If we denote

$$D_n(k, A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) = k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] \{ (k-1) + \beta [(B-A)\gamma(k-\alpha) - B(k-1)] \}$$

then (2) can be rewritten

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) \leq \beta \gamma (B-A)(1-\alpha).$$

2 Some properties of the classes $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Remark 2. From the definition of the class $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ it is easy to see that if $0 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq 1$, then $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta_1, \gamma) \subset T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta_2, \gamma)$.

Theorem 3. Let $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1 < 1$; $0 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq 1$ and

$$\frac{B}{B-A} < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B}{(B-A)\alpha}; & \alpha \neq 0 \\ 1; & \alpha = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then we have $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \subset T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$.

Proof: Let $f \in T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \delta$; $\beta_1 = \beta_2 - \varepsilon$; $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 - \theta$ where $\delta, \varepsilon, \theta \geq 0$. Then, by using Lemma 1 we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A, B, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \lambda) \leq \beta_1 \gamma_1 (B-A)(1-\alpha_1) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A, B, \alpha_2 + \delta, \beta_2 - \varepsilon, \gamma_2 - \theta, \lambda) \leq \beta_1 \gamma_1 (B-A)(1-\alpha_1)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(k, A, B, \alpha_2 + \delta, \beta_2 - \varepsilon, \gamma_2 - \theta, \lambda) &= D_n(k, A, B, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2, \lambda) - \\ &\quad - k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] \beta_2 (B-A) [\theta(k - \alpha_2 - \delta) + \gamma_2 \delta] \\ &\quad - k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] \varepsilon [(B-A)(\gamma_2 - \theta)(k - \alpha_2 - \delta) - B(k-1)] \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A, B, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2, \lambda) \leq \beta_1 \gamma_1 (B-A)(1-\alpha_1) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] \beta_2 (B-A) [\theta(k - \alpha_2 - \delta) + \gamma_2 \delta] + \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] \varepsilon [(B-A)(\gamma_2 - \theta)(k - \alpha_2 - \delta) - B(k-1)] = \\ &= \beta_1 \gamma_1 (B-A)(1-\alpha_1) + \beta_2 (B-A) \theta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] (k - \alpha_2 - \delta) + \\ &\quad + \beta_2 (B-A) \gamma_2 \delta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\varepsilon \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k k^n [1+\lambda(k-1)] [(B-A)(\gamma_2-\theta)(k-\alpha_2-\delta)-B(k-1)] \leq \\
& \leq \beta_1 \gamma_1 (B-A)(1-\alpha_1) + \beta_2 (B-A) \theta (1-\alpha_1) + \beta_2 (B-A) \gamma_2 \delta + \\
& +\varepsilon \gamma_1 (B-A)(1-\alpha_1) = \beta_2 \gamma_2 (B-A)(1-\alpha_2)
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 1 we obtain $f \in T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$ and $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \subset T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$. $\square$

Corollary 4. *Let $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < 1$. Then we have $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_1, \beta, \gamma) \supset T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_2, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Corollary 5. *Let*

$$\frac{B}{B-A} < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B}{(B-A)\alpha}; & \alpha \neq 0 \\ 1; & \alpha = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma_1) \subset T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma_2)$.

Definition 6. *Let $f, g \in T$,*

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k; \quad a_k \geq 0, \quad \forall k \geq 2 \quad (3)$$

and

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k; \quad b_k \geq 0, \quad \forall k \geq 2, \quad (4)$$

then we define the Hadamard product of f and g by

$$f * g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

The following theorem is proved in [2].

Theorem 7. *If the functions f and g defined by (3) and (4) belong to the same class $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then the Hadamard product $f * g$ belongs to $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Theorem 8. *Let $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1 < 1$; $0 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq 1$ and*

$$\frac{B}{B-A} < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B}{(B-A)\alpha}; & \alpha \neq 0 \\ 1; & \alpha = 0 \end{cases}.$$

*If the functions f defined by (3) be in the class $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$ and g defined by (4) be in the class $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$, then the Hadamard product $f * g$ belongs to the class $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where $\alpha = \min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, $\beta = \max(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ and $\gamma = \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$.*

Proof: Since

$$\alpha = \min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq \alpha_1 \text{ and } \alpha \leq \alpha_2$$

$$\beta = \max(\beta_1, \beta_2) \Rightarrow \beta \geq \beta_1 \text{ and } \beta \geq \beta_2$$

$$\gamma = \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \Rightarrow \gamma \geq \gamma_1 \text{ and } \gamma \geq \gamma_2$$

from Theorem 3 we have $f \in T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \Rightarrow f \in T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $g \in T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2) \Rightarrow g \in T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. From Theorem 7 we have $f * g \in T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. $\square$

Theorem 9. Let $-1 \leq A_2 \leq A_1 < B_1 \leq B_2 \leq 1$, $0 < B_1$. Then we have $T_{n,\lambda}(A_1, B_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset T_{n,\lambda}(A_2, B_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof: Let $f \in T_{n,\lambda}(A_1, B_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $A_1 \geq A_2$ and $B_2 = B_1 + \delta$ where $\delta \geq 0$. Then, by using Lemma 1 we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A_1, B_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) \leq \beta \gamma (B_1 - A_1)(1 - \alpha)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] \beta [\gamma(k-\alpha) - (k-1)] \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A_1, B_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda).$$

From this

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A_2, B_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A_1, B_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) = \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A_1, B_1 + \delta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A_1, B_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) + \\ &+ \delta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k k^n [1 + \lambda(k-1)] \beta [\gamma(k-\alpha) - (k-1)] \leq \beta \gamma (B_1 - A_1)(1 - \alpha) + \\ &+ \delta \beta \gamma (1 - \alpha) \leq \beta \gamma (B_1 - A_2)(1 - \alpha) + \delta \beta \gamma (1 - \alpha) = \beta \gamma (B_2 - A_2)(1 - \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and according to Theorem 2 we obtain $f \in T_{n,\lambda}(A_2, B_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which implies that $T_{n,\lambda}(A_1, B_1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset T_{n,\lambda}(A_2, B_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. $\square$

Theorem 10. $T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \supset T_{n+1,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof: Since $f(z) \in T_{n+1,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, by using Lemma 1 we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_{n+1}(k, A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) \leq \beta\gamma(B-A)(1-\alpha);$$

if

$$k^n < k^{n+1}; \quad \forall k \geq 2 \text{ and } \forall n \geq 0,$$

then

$$D_n(k, A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) \leq D_{n+1}(k, A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) ; \quad \forall n \geq 0$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_n(k, A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k D_{n+1}(k, A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) \leq \beta\gamma(B-A)(1-\alpha)$$

According to Lemma 1 we obtain $f \in T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \Rightarrow T_{n,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \supset T_{n+1,\lambda}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. $\square$

References

- [1] A. A. HOLHOŞ, *Classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, 4(2003), 33-42.
- [2] A. A. HOLHOŞ AND V. HOLHOŞ, *A remark on the Hadamard products of n -starlike functions*, General Mathematics, vol 12, 1(2004), 43-51.
- [3] G. S. SĂLĂGEAN, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math (Springer-Verlag) 1013(1983), 362-372.

Received: 24.06.2005.

Ecological University Deva,
Str. 1 Decembrie nr. 16,
Deva, Romania
E-mail: ancaholhos@yahoo.com