

Finitely modular functions on inf-semi-lattices of elements of a Riesz space

by

A. PUGLISI AND I. SISTO

Abstract

We analyze a condition referred as "finite modularity", necessary and sufficient for the modular extension of a group valued function φ from an inf-semi-lattice S of elements of a Riesz space E to the lattice generated by S . If E has a weak order unit, we investigate the modular (respect. linear if φ is vector valued) extension of φ to the oval (respect. vector subspace) generated by S .

Key Words: *Riesz spaces, Inf-semi-lattices, Finitely modular functions.*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 46A40 ,
Secondary: 28B15 .

Throughout this paper E denotes a Riesz space and S an inf-semi-lattice of elements of E , namely S is a nonempty subset of E such that $\inf(x, y) \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$. A function $\varphi : S \rightarrow G$, where G is an abelian group, is said to be modular iff $\varphi(\sup(x, y)) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(\inf(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in S$ such that $\sup(x, y) \in S$.

We begin with studying when there exists a modular extension of φ to generated lattice $l(S)$ by S . This extension problem has been considered for a set function defined on a \cap -semi-lattice in a nonvoid set (see [3], [4], [5], [9]). We study the extension problem in Riesz spaces following an order of ideas similar to those that have been used from H. KÖNIG in [5] for real set functions. In particular H. KÖNIG has used a definition introduced in [4] from H. GRAMER for set functions, said "general additivity". Here we introduce a definition suggested from Gramer's, which is a natural extension of the modularity. We say that a function $\varphi : S \rightarrow G$ is *finitely modular* iff

$$\varphi(\sup_{i \in I} x_i) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{i \in J} x_i) \quad (1)$$

for all finite non empty family $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ in S such that $\sup_{i \in I} x_i \in S$.

The finite modularity, which is in general stronger than modularity, is a necessary and sufficient condition to obtain the existence of a modular extension of φ to $l(S)$ (see Theorem 2). Also we study the increase of the above modular extension under the hypothesis that G is an ordered group (see Theorem 2). Moreover the σ -continuity of the same extension has been characterized if E is Dedekind- σ -complete and φ is with values in another Riesz space (see: Theorem 3).

Finally if E has a weak order unity and elements of S are unitary, then we study the existence of the unique modular extension of φ to the generated oval by S . Further, when φ is vector valued, $0 \in S$, and $\varphi(0) = 0$, we study the existence of the unique linear extension of φ to generated vector subspace of E by S . Using some results of [1] and [7], we prove that in these mentioned cases the finite modularity is necessary and sufficient for the existence of the above unique extension of φ (see Theorem 4 and Theorem 5).

Throughout this work we will use some definitions and results contents in [2] and [7].

Now we start by proving one lemma.

Lemma 1. *Each modular function on a lattice of elements of E is finitely modular.*

Proof: Let L be a lattice of elements of E and let ψ be a modular function on L with value in G . We have to prove that for all of the finite non empty families $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ in L , we have

$$\psi(\sup_{i \in I} x_i) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \psi(\inf_{i \in J} x_i). \quad (2)$$

Let $n := \text{card}(I)$.

The cases $n = 1, 2$ are obvious. Let (2) be true for $n \geq 1$ and let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be in L such that $\text{card}(I) = n + 1$. If $l \in I$, then $J \mapsto J \cup \{l\}$ is an one to one map of $\{J \subset I \setminus \{l\} : J \neq \emptyset\}$ onto $\{J \subset I : l \in J \neq \{l\}\}$ and for all $J \subset I \setminus \{l\}$, $J \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$(-1)^{\text{card}(J)} \cdot \psi(\inf_{i \in J} \inf(x_i, x_l)) = (-1)^{\text{card}(J \cup \{l\})-1} \cdot \psi(\inf_{i \in J \cup \{l\}} x_i).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\sup_{i \in I} x_i) &= \psi(\sup_{i \in I \setminus \{l\}} x_i) + \psi(x_l) - \psi(\sup_{i \in I \setminus \{l\}} \inf(x_i, x_l)) \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I \setminus \{l\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \psi(\inf_{i \in J} x_i) + \psi(x_l) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{J \subset I, I \in J \neq \{I\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \psi(\inf_{i \in J} x_i) \\
 & = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \psi(\inf_{i \in J} x_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

By Lemma 1, if $L = E$ and ψ is identity map of E , it follows the

Theorem 1. *If $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is a finite nonempty family in E , then*

$$\sup_{i \in I} x_i = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \inf_{i \in J} x_i. \quad (3)$$

Now, let S be an inf-semi-lattice in E , G an abelian group, and $\varphi : S \rightarrow G$. The generated lattice $l(S)$ by S is the set of all $\sup_{i \in I} x_i$, where $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is a finite nonempty family in S . We observe that, if ψ is a modular extension of φ to $l(S)$, then as a consequence of Lemma 1, we have

$$\psi(\sup_{i \in I} x_i) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{i \in J} x_i)$$

for all of the finite non empty families $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ in S . Hence it follows the uniqueness of the modular extension of φ . In order to get some conditions for the existence, we introduce the map

$$\tilde{\varphi}((x_i)_{i \in I}) := \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{i \in J} x_i) \quad (4)$$

where $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is a finite non empty family in S . In particular we put

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_p, \dots, x_q) := \tilde{\varphi}((x_k)_{p \leq k \leq q})$$

for all finite sequence $(x_k)_{p \leq k \leq q}$ in S with $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \leq q$.

We shall need two lemmas.

Lemma 2. *We have:*

i) if $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is a finite sequence in S , then

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_0) + \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, x_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n));$$

ii) if $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(x_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is a finite sequence in S , and there exists $k = 1, \dots, n$ such that $x_0 \leq x_k$, then

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n);$$

iii) if $1 \leq m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ and $(y_h)_{1 \leq h \leq m}$ are finite sequences in S , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) &= \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_m) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_1, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_1, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)); \end{aligned}$$

iv) if $1 \leq m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ and $(y_h)_{1 \leq h \leq m}$ are finite sequences in S , then

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\inf_{k \in J} x_k, y_1, \dots, y_m).$$

Proof: i) Since $J \mapsto J \setminus \{0\}$ is one to one map from $\{J \subset \{0, \dots, n\} : 0 \in J \neq \{0\}\}$ onto $\{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\} : J \neq \emptyset\}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, x_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n)) &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{k \in J} \inf(x_0, x_k)) = \\ &= \sum_{J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}, 0 \in J \neq \{0\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J \setminus \{0\})-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{k \in J \setminus \{0\}} \inf(x_0, x_k)) = \\ &= - \sum_{J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}, 0 \in J \neq \{0\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{k \in J} x_k) \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{k \in J} x_k) = \\ &= \varphi(x_0) + \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}, 0 \notin J} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{k \in J} x_k) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}, 0 \in J \neq \{0\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{k \in J} x_k) = \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}(x_0) + \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, x_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

ii) We suppose that $x_0 \leq x_1$. Using i) for $n = 1$ we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_0, x_1) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_0) + \tilde{\varphi}(x_1) - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, x_1)) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1).$$

After, if $n \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \inf(x_0, x_2), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n)) = \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, x_2), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n)) + \\ &\quad + \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, x_2), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

iii) By i), iii) is obvious for $n = 1$. Let the same be true for $n \geq 1$ and let be $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ in S . Applying i) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) + \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_m) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) = \\
 & \quad = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, x_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n)) + \\
 & \quad \quad + \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_m) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) + \\
 & \quad \quad + \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, x_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n), \inf(x_0, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, y_m)) = \\
 & = \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_1, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_1, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)) + \\
 & \quad \quad + \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, y_m)) - \\
 & - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, x_1, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_1, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n, y_m)) = \\
 & \quad \quad = \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, y_m)) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_0) + \\
 & \quad \quad + \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \inf(x_1, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_1, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore by ii) and i), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \inf(x_1, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_1, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)) = \\
 & \quad = \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \inf(x_0, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, y_m), \inf(x_1, y_1), \\
 & \quad \quad \dots, \inf(x_1, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)) = \\
 & = \tilde{\varphi}(x_0) + \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)) - \\
 & \quad \quad - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, y_m), \inf(x_0, x_1, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_1, y_m), \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, x_n, y_m)) = \\
 & = \tilde{\varphi}(x_0) + \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)) - \\
 & \quad \quad - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, y_m))
 \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) + \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_m) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, x_1, \dots, y_1, \dots, y_m) = \\
 & = \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_0, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_0, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)).
 \end{aligned}$$

iv) We suppose $m = 1$ and observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{card}(J)=k} (-1)^{k-1} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \cdot \binom{n}{k}$$

and, hence,

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} = 1. \quad (5)$$

By (4), (5) and i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1) &= \\
&= \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \varphi(y_1) - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(y_1, x_1), \dots, \inf(y_1, x_n)) = \\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot (\varphi(\inf_{k \in J} x_k) + \varphi(y_1) - \varphi(\inf_{k \in J} \inf(y_1, x_k))) = \\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot (\varphi(\inf_{k \in J} x_k) + \varphi(y_1) - \varphi(\inf(\inf_{k \in J} x_k, y_1))) = \\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot (\tilde{\varphi}(\inf_{k \in J} x_k, y_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let iv) be true for $m \geq 1$ and let be $(y_h)_{1 \leq h \leq m+1}$ in S . Using again i), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m, y_{m+1}) &= \\
&= \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) + \varphi(y_{m+1}) - \\
&- \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_1, y_{m+1}), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_{m+1}), \inf(y_1, y_{m+1}), \dots, \inf(y_m, y_{m+1})) = \\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot (\tilde{\varphi}(\inf_{k \in J} x_k, y_1, \dots, y_m) + \varphi(y_{m+1}) - \\
&- \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(\inf_{k \in J} x_k, y_{m+1}), \inf(y_1, y_{m+1}), \dots, \inf(y_m, y_{m+1}))) = \\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\inf_{k \in J} x_k, y_1, \dots, y_m, y_{m+1})
\end{aligned}$$

and iv) is verified. □

Lemma 3. *Let be φ finitely modular, $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ in S , $y \in S$, and $y \leq \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_k$. Then*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (6)$$

Proof: From i) of Lemma 2 it results

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi}(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \\
&= \varphi(y) - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(y, x_1), \dots, \inf(y, x_n)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, being $\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \inf(y, x_k) = y$ and φ finitely modular, we obtain

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\inf(y, x_1), \dots, \inf(y, x_n)) = \varphi(y).$$

From this it follows (6). □

Now, we may prove the following

Theorem 2. *There exists a modular extension φ' of φ to $l(S)$ iff φ is finitely modular. In this case φ' is unique. Furthermore if G is an order group, then φ' is increasing iff for all $x \in S$ and for all finite non empty family $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ in S , it results*

$$\sup_{i \in I} x_i \leq x \Rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}((x_i)_{i \in I}) \leq \varphi(x). \quad (7)$$

Proof: Let φ' a modular extension of φ to $l(S)$. Let $x \in l(S)$ and $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ a finite non empty family in S such that $x = \sup_{i \in I} x_i$. From (4) and Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\varphi'(x) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \varphi(\inf_{i \in J} x_i).$$

Moreover, for (4),

$$\varphi'(x) = \tilde{\varphi}((x_i)_{i \in I}). \quad (8)$$

Hence φ is finitely modular, while φ' is unique.

On the contrary, we suppose φ finitely modular. Let be $1 \leq n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ and $(y_h)_{1 \leq h \leq m}$ in S such that $\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_k = \sup_{1 \leq h \leq m} y_h$. Being $y_1 \leq \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_k$, by Lemma 3 we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(y_1, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (9)$$

After, because $y_2 \leq \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_k \leq \sup(y_1, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, using again the Lemma 3, it follows $\tilde{\varphi}(y_2, y_1, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. From this and (9) we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(y_2, y_1, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Going on in the same manner, we prove that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Hence $\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_m)$. Then it is possible to define the function $\varphi' : l(S) \rightarrow G$ by (8) where $x \in l(S)$ and $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is a finite non empty family in S such that $x = \sup_{i \in I} x_i$. Obviously φ' is an extension of φ to $l(S)$. In order to prove that φ' is modular, let be $x, y \in l(S)$, $1 \leq n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ and $(y_h)_{1 \leq h \leq m}$ in S such that $x = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_k$ and $y = \sup_{1 \leq h \leq m} y_h$. Thus we have

$$\sup(x, y) = \sup(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

and

$$\inf(x, y) = \sup(\inf(x_1, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_1, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)).$$

Using iii) of Lemma 2, it results

$$\varphi'(\sup(x, y)) + \varphi'(\inf(x, y)) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) +$$

$$\begin{aligned} & +\tilde{\varphi}(\inf(x_1, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_1, y_m), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_1), \dots, \inf(x_n, y_m)) = \\ & = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_m) = \varphi'(x) + \varphi'(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now we suppose that G is ordered and φ' is increasing. Let be $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ in S and $x \in S$ such that $\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_k \leq x$. Then

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi'(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_k) \leq \varphi'(x) = \varphi(x)$$

and (7) is true.

On the contrary we suppose (7) true. Let $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ in S , and $y \in S$. Then, by i) of Lemma 2, it results

$$\tilde{\varphi}(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(y) - \tilde{\varphi}(\inf(y, x_1), \dots, \inf(y, x_n)).$$

From this and by (7), being $\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \inf(y, x_k) \leq y$, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\inf(y, x_1), \dots, \inf(y, x_n)) \leq \varphi(y).$$

Hence $\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(y, x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Moreover, if $x, y \in l(S)$, $x \leq y$, $1 \leq m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ and $(y_h)_{1 \leq h \leq m}$ are in S such that $x = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_k$ and $y = \sup_{1 \leq h \leq m} y_h$, then we have

$$\varphi'(x) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi'(\sup(x, y)) = \varphi'(y).$$

□

At the last, we suppose E Dedekind σ -complete and F another Riesz space. Let still S be an inf-semi-lattice in E and $\varphi : S \rightarrow F$. We prove the following

Theorem 3. *There exists a modular extension φ' of φ to $l(S)$ such that, for all increasing sequence (x_n) in $l(S)$ and for all $x \in l(S)$, it results*

$$\sup_n x_n = x \Rightarrow (o) - \lim_n \varphi'(x_n) = \varphi'(x) \quad (10)$$

iff for all sequence (x_n) in S and for all $x \in S$

$$\sup_n x_n = x \Rightarrow (o) - \lim_n \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(x). \quad (11)$$

Proof: We suppose that there exists a modular extension φ' of φ to $l(S)$ satisfying (10). Let be (x_n) a sequence in S and $x \in S$ such that $\sup_n x_n = x$. Thus $\sup_n(\sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} x_k) = x$. Hence, by (10),

$$(o) - \lim_n \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (o) - \lim_n \varphi'(\sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} x_k) = \varphi'(x) = \varphi(x).$$

On the contrary, now we suppose (11) true for all sequence (x_n) in S and for all $x \in S$. Let $(x_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ in S and $x \in S$ such that $x = \sup_{0 \leq k \leq n}$. For all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$y_p := \begin{cases} x_p, & \text{if } 0 \leq p \leq n; \\ x_n, & \text{if } n + 1 \leq p. \end{cases}$$

For all $p \geq n$, using ii) of Lemma 2, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(y_0, \dots, y_n, \dots, y_p) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$$

and, hence,

$$(o) - \lim_p \tilde{\varphi}(y_0, \dots, y_n, \dots, y_p) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$$

Being $\sup_p y_p = x$, from (11) it follows that $\tilde{\varphi}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(x)$. Then φ is finitely modular and, hence, there exists a modular extension φ' of φ to $l(S)$ (see Theorem 2).

Finally, in order to prove (10), let (x_n) be an increasing sequence in $l(S)$ and $x \in l(S)$ such that $\sup_n x_n = x$. In connection with (x_n) , there exists a sequence (y_l) in S and a tightly increasing sequence (k_n) in \mathbb{N} , such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n = \sup_{0 \leq l \leq k_n} y_l$. It follows that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_n \leq x_n$ and, hence, $y_n \leq x$. Thus, there exists $\sup_n y_n \in E$. Furthermore, being $x \in l(S)$, there exists $(z_h)_{0 \leq h \leq p}$ in S such that

$$x = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq p} z_h. \quad (12)$$

Let, now, $\emptyset \neq J \subset \{0, \dots, p\}$. Hence

$$\inf_{h \in J} z_h \leq x = \sup_n \left(\sup_{0 \leq l \leq k_n} y_l \right) \leq \sup_n y_n \leq x$$

and

$$\inf_{h \in J} z_h = \inf_{h \in J} (\inf_{h \in J} z_h, \sup_n y_n) = \sup_n \inf_{h \in J} (\inf_{h \in J} z_h, y_n).$$

Therefore, by use of (11),

$$(o) - \lim_n \tilde{\varphi}(\inf_{h \in J} z_h, y_0, \dots, \inf_{h \in J} z_h, y_n) = \varphi(\inf_{h \in J} z_h).$$

From this and for i) of Lemma 2,

$$(o) - \lim_n (\tilde{\varphi}(\inf_{h \in J} z_h, y_0, \dots, y_n) - \tilde{\varphi}(y_0, \dots, y_n)) = 0.$$

Otherwise, for (5),

$$(o) - \lim_n \left(\sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{0, \dots, p\}} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\inf_{h \in J} z_h, y_0, \dots, y_n) - \tilde{\varphi}(y_0, \dots, y_n) \right) = 0.$$

Hence, using iv) of Lemma 2,

$$(o) - \lim_n (\tilde{\varphi}(z_0, \dots, z_p, y_0, \dots, y_n) - \tilde{\varphi}(y_0, \dots, y_n)) = 0.$$

Consequently, applying Theorem 2, (12) and Lemma 3, it results

$$\varphi'(x) = \tilde{\varphi}(z_0, \dots, z_p) = (o) - \lim_n \tilde{\varphi}(y_0, \dots, y_n) = (o) - \lim_n \varphi'(x_n).$$

□

Remark 1. *If*

$$(\forall x, y \in S)((\exists z \in S)(x, y \leq z) \Rightarrow \sup(x, y) \in S), \quad (13)$$

then φ is modular iff it is finitely modular. Moreover, in this case, if G is ordered, then φ is increasing iff the modular extension φ' of φ to $l(S)$ is increasing. Finally, if E is Dedekind σ -complete and φ is with value in another Riesz space, then for all increasing sequence (x_n) in $l(S)$ and for all $x \in l(S)$, we have

$$\sup_n x_n = x \Rightarrow (o) - \lim_n \varphi'(x_n) = \varphi'(x)$$

iff for all sequence (x_n) in S and for all $x \in S$ we have

$$(o) - \lim_n x_n = n \Rightarrow (o) - \lim_n \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x).$$

Let, now, E be a Riesz space with a weak order unity 1 and let $U(E)$ be the set of unitary elements of E (see [2] and [7]). Furthermore, let S be an inf-semilattice in E with $S \subset U(E)$. Let $o(S)$ be the generated oval by S and $r(S)$ be the generated ring by S (see [7]). Evidently it results $l(S) \subset o(S) \subset r(S)$ and, hence, $o(S) = o(l(S))$ and $r(S) = r(o(S))$. If $0 \in S$, then $o(S) = r(S)$ (see [7]). If, again, φ is a map from S to an abelian group G , then we have the following

Theorem 4. *There exists a modular extension φ'' of φ to $o(S)$ iff φ is finitely modular. In this case φ'' is unique.*

Proof: Let φ be finitely modular and let φ' be the modular extension of φ to $l(S)$ (see Theorem 2). Thus, as a consequence of Theorem 3.1 in [7], there exists a unique modular extension φ'' of φ' (and, hence, of φ) to $o(S)$.

On the contrary, if φ'' is a modular extension of φ to $o(S)$, then the restriction of φ'' to $l(S)$ is a modular extension of φ to $l(S)$. Hence, by Theorem 2, φ is finitely modular. □

Remark 2. Let φ be finitely modular and φ'' its modular extension to $o(S)$ (see Theorem 4). If $x \in o(S)$, by Theorem 2.5 in [7], there exists a finite non empty family $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ in $l(S)$ and $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ in \mathbf{Z} , such that $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i = 1$ and $x = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \cdot x_i$. Thus (see Theorem 3.1 in [7])

$$\varphi''(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \cdot \varphi'(x_i). \tag{14}$$

Remark 3. Let A be a ring of elements in E and G an abelian group. A function φ in A in G such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ is finitely modular (otherwise modular) iff it is additive. Indeed, if $x, y \in U(E)$, then $y = \sup(\inf(y, \mathbf{1} - x), \inf(y, x))$ and $\sup(x, y) = \sup(x, \inf(y, \mathbf{1} - x))$.

From Theorem 4 we deduce the following

Corollary 1. If $0 \in S$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$, then φ is finitely modular iff there exists an (unique) additive extension of φ to $r(S)$.

Now, for all $X \subset E$, we denote with $v(X)$ the generated vector subspace of E by X . The following lemma holds.

Lemma 4. We have that

$$v(S) = v(r(S)). \tag{15}$$

Proof: Let be $x \in l(S)$ and let be $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ a finite non empty family in S such that $x = \sup_{i \in I} x_i$. Since, from Theorem 1, we have $x = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (-1)^{\text{card}(J)-1} \cdot \inf_{i \in J} x_i$. It follows that $x \in v(S)$. Consequently

$$l(S) \subset v(S). \tag{16}$$

Further, as a consequence of Theorem 2.4 in [7], we obtain that $r(l(S)) \subset v(l(S))$. Then $r(S) \subset v(l(S))$ and also $v(r(S)) \subset v(l(S))$. Hence $v(l(S)) = v(r(S))$. From this, being for (16) $v(l(S)) \subset v(S) \subset v(r(S))$, it follows that $v(S) = v(l(S)) = v(r(S))$. \square

Remark 4. We have $r(S) \subset v(S)$ and, hence,

$$v(S) = v(l(S)) = v(o(S)) = v(r(S)). \tag{17}$$

Finally let F be a real vector space and φ a function of S in F .

Theorem 5. *If $0 \in S$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$, then there exists a linear extension L_φ of φ to $v(S)$ iff φ is finitely modular. In this case L_φ is unique.*

Proof: Let φ be finitely modular and let φ'' be the unique modular extension (hence additive for Remark 3) of φ to $r(S)$ (see Corollary of Theorem 4). Using Prop. 1, pag 875 in [1], it follows the existence of an unique linear function L_φ of $v(r(S))$ in F such that

$$(\forall x \in r(S))(L_\varphi(x) = \varphi''(x)).$$

Thus, by the previous lemma, L_φ results a linear extension of φ to $v(S)$. Moreover, if L another linear extension of φ a $v(S)$, then the restriction of L to $r(S)$ results additive, while the restriction of L to S is equal to φ . Consequently the restriction of L to $r(S)$ is equal to φ'' and, hence $L = L_\varphi$. Finally, if there exists a linear extension of φ to $v(S)$, then its restriction to $r(S)$ is the (unique) additive extension of φ to $r(S)$. Hence, by Corollary of Theorem 4, φ results finitely modular. \square

Example 1. 1) Let f_1, f_2, f_3 be the real functions on $[-1, 1]$ such that for all $x \in [-1, 1]$

$$f_1(x) := \begin{cases} -2x - 1 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq -1/2; \\ (2x + 3)/3 & \text{if } -1/2 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(x) := \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0; \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_3(x) := \begin{cases} (1 - 2x)/3 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq -1/2; \\ 2x - 1 & \text{if } -1/2 \leq x \leq . \end{cases}$$

Moreover, let

$$S := \{f_1, f_2, f_3, \inf(f_1, f_2), \inf(f_1, f_3), \inf(f_2, f_3), \inf(f_1, f_2, f_3), \sup(f_1, f_2, f_3)\}.$$

It is easy to prove that such S is an inf-semi-lattice in the Riesz space $\mathbb{R}^{[-1,1]}$. S is not a lattice. Furthermore $0 \notin S$, S does not verify the condition (13), and each function on S with value in an abelian group G is modular. Finally, if $G \neq \{0\}$ and $a \in G \setminus \{0\}$, then the function $\varphi : S \rightarrow G$ defined $\varphi(\inf_{i \in J} f_i) := a$ for all $\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\varphi(\sup(f_1, f_2, f_3)) := a + a$, is not modular.

Example 2. If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, then the real function $f \mapsto \int_a^b f(x)dx$ is finitely modular on all inf-semi-lattice of integrable functions in $[a, b]$ (see: Theorem 1).

Example 3. Let S be the set of real positive functions on $[0, 1]$ such that the set $Z(f) := \{x \in [0, 1] : f(x) = 0\}$ results non empty and countable. S is an inf-semi-lattice in the Riesz space $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ and it is not a lattice. Let, again, G be an abelian group with $G \neq \{0\}$ and $a \in G \setminus \{0\}$. We consider the function $\varphi : S \rightarrow G$ such that for all $f \in S$

$$\varphi(f) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Z(f) \text{ is countable;} \\ a & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let $f, g \in S$ such that

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or if } (\exists n \in \mathbb{N})(x = 1/(2n + 1)); \\ 1 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or if } (\exists n \in \mathbb{N})(x = 1/(2n + 1)); \\ 1 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It results that $\sup(f, g) \in S$, $\varphi(\sup(f, g)) = a$, $\varphi(f) = \varphi(g) = \varphi(\inf(f, g)) = 0$, and hence φ is not modular.

References

- [1] AMBROSETTI, R., Rappresentazioni definite da integrali di Daniell, Rend. di Matem., (VI), vol. 8, fasc. 4, 857-909 (1975).
- [2] AQUARO, G. - PUGLISI, M.A., Spazi di Riesz e algebre di Banach, "Rapporti" del Dipart. Interuniv. di Mat., Univ. e Politc. di Bari, **60**, 2000.
- [3] CONSTANTINESCU, C. - WEBER, K., *Integration theory*, Vol. 1 J. Wiley & Sons, 1985.
- [4] GROEMER, H., On the extension of additive functionals on classes of convex sets, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 75, No. 2, 397-410 (1978).
- [5] KÖNIG, H., *Measure and integration*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [6] LUXEMBURG, W.A.J. - ZAAANEN, A.C., *Riesz spaces I*, North Holland Company, Amsterdam-London 1971.
- [7] SISTO, I., Reticoli di elementi unitari di uno spazio di Riesz con unità debole per l'ordine: prolungamento di funzioni modulari, Atti della Accad. delle Sc. di Torino, Vol. 134, 111-123 (2000).

- [8] VULIKH, B.Z., INTRODUCTION TO THE THEORY OF PARTIALLY ORDERED SPACES, (English translation) Wolters-Noordhoff, Ltd., Groningen 1967.
- [9] WEBER, K., Gruppenwertige abbildungen auf \cap -halbverbanden, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **4**, 359-371 (1990).

Received 20.05.2004

Dipartimento di Matematica,
Università degli Studi di Bari.
Via E. Orabona 4,
70125 Bari (Italia).