

## Ultraproducts of transitive rings of linear transformations

by

ION. D. ION AND CONSTANTIN NIȚĂ

### Abstract

If  $F$  is a nonprincipal ultrafilter on an infinite set  $I$ , then for any family  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  of modules  $M_i \in R_i\text{-mod}$  we have a natural immersion  $\varphi : \left( \prod_{i \in I} \text{End}_{R_i}(M_i) \right)_F \rightarrow \text{End}_{R_F}(M_F)$  where  $R_F = \left( \prod_{i \in I} R_i \right)_F$  and  $M_F = \left( \prod_{i \in I} M_i \right)_F$  (theorem 1.1). Generally,  $\varphi$  is not an isomorphism as we can see in Examples 1.2 and 1.3. An ultraproduct of 2-transitive rings of linear transformations is a 2-transitive ring (theorem 2.2). As a consequence we obtain a classical result which says that the immersion  $\varphi$  in theorem 1.1 is an isomorphism in case each  $M_i$  is a simple faithful module (corollary 2.5). Finally we prove a result with applications in PI-theory: an ultraproduct of closed primitive rings is a closed primitive ring.

**Key Words:** Ultraproducts, rings of linear transformations,  $m$ -transitive rings, closed primitive rings.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 03C20, Secondary: 03C60, 16S50, 15A04, 16D60.

### 1 Ultraproducts of rings of endomorphisms

Let  $F$  be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on an infinite set  $I$ . We denote by  $R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$

the direct product of the rings  $R_i$ ,  $i \in I$  and by  $M = \prod_{i \in I} M_i$  the direct product

of the modules  $M_i \in R_i\text{-mod}$ ,  $i \in I$ . Clearly  $M \in R\text{-mod}$  and for an element  $x \in M$ ,  $x = (x_i)$  we write  $Z(x) = \{i \in I \mid x_i = 0\}$ .

If  $Z_F(M) = \{x \in M \mid Z(x) \in F\}$  then  $Z_F(M)$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Also,  $Z_F(R) = \{a \in R \mid Z(a) \in F\}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$ . The quotient module  $M_F = M/Z_F(M) = \{x_F \mid x_F = x + Z_F(M), x \in M\}$  is called the **ultraproduct**

of the family  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  of modules. The quotient ring  $R_F = R/Z_F(R) = \{a_F \mid a_F = a + Z_F(R), a \in R\}$  is called the **ultraproduct** of the family of rings  $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

Obviously  $Z_F(R)M \subseteq Z_F(M)$  and  $RZ_F(M) \subseteq Z_F(M)$ . It follows that the application

$$R_F \times M_F \rightarrow M_F, (a_F, x_F) \mapsto a_F x_F = (ax)_F$$

is correctly defined and so  $M_F$  becomes an  $R_F$ -module.

If

$$M = \prod_{i \in I} M_i, N = \prod_{i \in I} N_i,$$

where  $M_i, N_i \in R_i\text{-mod}$ , then for every  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  there exists  $f_i \in \text{Hom}_R(M_i, N_i)$ ,  $i \in I$  uniquely determined such that  $f(x) = (f_i(x_i))$ ,  $x \in M$ ,  $x = (x_i)$ . In this case we put  $f = (f_i)$ .

The map

$$f^* : M_F \rightarrow N_F, f^*(x_F) = (f_i(x_i))_F, x = (x_i) \in M$$

is correctly defined and  $f^* \in \text{Hom}_{R_F}(M_F, N_F)$ .

Clearly,  $f^*$  is the unique  $R_F$ -morphism from  $M_F$  to  $N_F$  such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & f & \\ M & \longrightarrow & N \\ \downarrow \varphi_M & & \downarrow \varphi_N \\ & f^* & \\ M_F & \longrightarrow & N_F \end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $\varphi_M$  and  $\varphi_N$  are the canonical maps.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $(M_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of modules  $M_i \in R_i\text{-mod}$ ,  $i \in I$  and  $M = \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ . If  $E_i = \text{End}_{R_i}(M_i)$ ,  $i \in I$  and  $E = \prod_{i \in I} E_i$ , then the map*

$$\rho : E \rightarrow \text{End}_{R_F}(M_F), \rho(f) = f^*, f = (f_i)$$

*is a ring morphism and  $\ker \rho = Z_F(E)$ .*

*In particular, the map*

$$\tilde{\rho} : E_F \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M_F), \tilde{\rho}(f_F) = f^*$$

*is an injective ring morphism.*

**Proof:** Clearly  $(f + g)^* = f^* + g^*$ ,  $(f \circ g)^* = f^* \circ g^*$  and  $(1_{M_i})^* = 1_{M_F}$  for any  $f, g \in E$ . So,  $\rho$  is a ring morphism.

Suppose that  $f = (f_i) \in Z_F(E)$ . Then  $\{i \mid f_i = 0\} \in F$ . It follows that  $\{i \mid f_i(x_i) = 0\} \in F$  for any  $x = (x_i) \in M$ , so

$$f^*(x_F) = (f_i(x_i))_F = 0,$$

hence  $Z_F(E) \subseteq \text{Ker} \rho$ .

If  $f \in E \setminus Z_F(E)$ ,  $f = (f_i)$ , then  $A = \{i \mid f_i \neq 0\} \in F$ . Choose  $x^0 = (x_i^0) \in M$  such that  $f(x_i^0) \neq 0$  for any  $i \in A$ . Then  $f^*(x_F^0) = (f_i(x_i^0))_F \neq 0$ , so  $f^* \neq 0$ . It follows that  $Z_F(E) = \text{ker} \rho$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Under adequate conditions on  $M_i$ ,  $i \in I$ ,  $\tilde{\rho}$  is an isomorphism. For example, if the modules  $M_i$ ,  $i \in I$  are free with  $R_i$ -bases of bounded cardinality, then  $\tilde{\rho}$  is an isomorphism ([2]). Also,  $\tilde{\rho}$  is an isomorphism if each  $M_i$ ,  $i \in I$  is a simple faithful module. Now, we present two examples when  $\rho$  is not surjective: one in the category  $\text{Ens}$  of sets and the other one in the category of vector spaces.

**Example 1.2.** For  $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$  let  $B_n = \{x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{nn}\}$  be a set with  $n$  elements. Suppose that  $B_m \cap B_n = \emptyset$  for any  $m \neq n$ . For each  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , choose  $x^{(k)} \in B = \prod_{n \geq 1} B_n$ ,  $x^{(k)} = (x_n^{(k)})$ , where

$$x_n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} x_{nn}, & n \leq k \\ x_{nk}, & n > k. \end{cases}$$

Let  $F$  be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\mathbf{N}^*$  and  $S = \{x_F^{(1)}, x_F^{(2)}, \dots, x_F^{(k)}, \dots\}$ . If  $x = (x_{nn}) \in B$  then  $x_F \in B_F$  and  $x_F \notin S$ , so  $S$  is a proper subset  $B_F$ .

The map  $\xi : B_F \rightarrow B_F$ , defined via  $\xi(x_F^{(k)}) = x_F^{(2k)}$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$  and  $\xi(x_F) = x_F$  for any  $x_F \in B_F \setminus S$  is injective but not surjective.

Suppose that for  $\xi \in \text{End}_{\text{Ens}}(B_F)$  there exists  $f = (f_n) \in \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{End}_{\text{Ens}}(B_n) = E$  such that  $f^* = \xi$ . Then  $f^*$  is an injective map. It follows that  $A = \{n \in \mathbf{N}^* \mid f_n \text{ an injective map}\} \in F$ . Indeed, if  $A \notin F$  then  $C = \mathbf{N}^* \setminus A \in F$ . Choose  $u, v \in B$ ,  $u = (u_n)$ ,  $v = (v_n)$  such that  $u_n \neq v_n$  and  $f_n(u_n) = f_n(v_n)$  for all  $n \in C$ . We have  $u_F \neq v_F$ , an  $f^*(u_F) = f^*(v_F)$ , contradiction. So,  $A \in F$  and  $f_n$  is bijective for any  $n \in A$ . It follows that  $\xi = f^*$  is bijective, contradiction. Hence the map  $\rho : E \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Ens}}(B_F)$ , given by  $\rho(f) = f^*$ , is not surjective.

**Example 1.3.** For  $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$  we choose an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V_n$  over a field  $K_n$  with a  $K_n$ -basis  $B_n = (x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{nn})$ .

If  $F$  is a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\mathbf{N}^*$ ,  $V = \prod_{n \geq 1} V_n$  and  $K = \prod_{n \geq 1} K_n$ , then  $V_F$  is a vector space over the field  $K_F$ . As in Example 1.2., we consider the subset  $S = \{x_F^{(1)}, x_F^{(2)}, \dots, x_F^{(1)}, \dots\}$  of  $V_F$ . The elements of  $S$  are linearly independent vectors over  $K_F$ . Indeed, suppose that

$$a_F^{(1)} x_F^{(1)} + a_F^{(2)} x_F^{(2)} + \dots + a_F^{(q)} x_F^{(q)} = 0,$$

with  $q \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ,  $a_F^{(k)} \in K_F$ ,  $a^{(k)} = (a_n^{(k)})$ ,  $a_n^k \in K_n$ .

Because the ultrafilter  $F$  on  $\mathbf{N}^*$  contains the co-finite subsets of  $\mathbf{N}^*$  the set

$$A = \left\{ n \mid \sum_{k=1}^q a_n^{(k)} x_{nk} = 0 \right\} \cap \{q, q+1, \dots\}$$

belongs to  $F$ . Since the elements of  $B_n$  are linearly independent vectors over  $K_n$  we have  $a_n^{(k)} = 0$  for any  $n \in A$  and  $1 \leq k \leq q$ . It follows that  $a_F^{(k)} = 0$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq q$ , so the elements of  $S$  are linearly independent vectors over  $K_F$ . Let  $B$  be a  $K_F$ -basis of  $V_F$  such that  $S \subseteq B$ . There exists  $\xi \in \text{End}_{K_F}(V_F)$  such that  $\xi(x_F^{(k)}) = x_F^{(2k)}$  for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$  and  $\xi(x_F) = x_F$  for any  $x_F \in B \setminus S$ .

Clearly  $\xi$  is an injective but not surjective map.

If  $f = (f_n) \in \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{End}_{K_n}(V_n)$  is such that  $f^* = \xi$ , then the set  $C = \{n \mid f_n \text{ is injective}\}$  belongs to  $F$ . Because  $\dim_{K_n} V_n < \infty$ ,  $f_n$  is a bijective map for  $n \in C$ , so  $\xi = f^*$  is bijective, contradiction. Hence the map  $\rho : \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{End}_{K_n}(V_n) \rightarrow \text{End}_{K_n}(V_n)$ ,  $\rho(f) = f^*$  is not surjective.

## 2 Ultraproducts of transitive rings of linear transformations

If  $D$  is a division ring and  $V$  a right vector space over  $D$ , then a subring  $R$  of  $\text{End}(V_D)$  is called a **ring of linear transformations** on  $V_D$ . In this case, we can view  $V$  as a left  $R$ -module via  $rv = r(v) \in V$  for any  $r \in R$  and  $v \in V$ . Because  $(rv)d = r(vd)$  for any  $r \in R$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $d \in D$ ,  $V$  has a bimodule structure. If  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ,  $m \leq \dim(V_D)$ , we say that  $R$  is  **$m$ -transitive** on  $V$  if for any set of  $n \leq m$  linearly independent vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  and any other set of  $n$  vectors  $v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_n$  in  $V$ , there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $r(v_i) = v'_i$  for all  $i$ . Clearly if  $R$  acts 1-transitive on  $V$ , then  ${}_R V$  is simple and hence  $\text{ann}_R V = 0$ ,  $R$  is a (left) primitive ring.

If  $d \in D$  and  $\theta_d : V \rightarrow V$ ,  $\theta_d(v) = vd$ , then  $\theta_d \in \text{End}({}_R V)$ . The map  $D \rightarrow \text{End}({}_R V)$ ,  $d \mapsto \theta_d$  is an injective ring morphism, so  $D \subseteq \text{End}({}_R V)$ .

This inclusion may be proper. Indeed, if  $D = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $V = \mathbf{R}^2$  and  $f \in \text{End}(V_R)$  is such that  $f(e_1) = e_2$ ,  $f(e_2) = -e_1$  where  $(e_1, e_2)$  is the standard basis, then for the subring  $R$  of  $\text{End}({}_R V)$  generated by  $f$  we have  $\text{End}({}_R V) \simeq \mathbf{C} \supset \mathbf{R}$ .

We have the following well-known result:

**Lemma 2.1.** ([1]) *For a ring  $R$  of linear transformations on  $V_D$ ,  $\dim(V_D) \geq 2$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is 2-transitive.
- (2)  ${}_R V$  is a simple faithful module and  $\text{End}({}_R V) \simeq D$
- (3)  $R$  is a  $n$ -transitive for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ,  $n \leq \dim(V_D)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that  $\{D_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of division rings. For any  $i \in I$  let  $R_i$  be a 2-transitive ring of linear transformations of a right vector space*

$V_{D_i}$ ,  $\dim(V_{D_i}) \geq 2$ . If  $V = \prod_{i \in I} V_i$ ,  $R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$  and  $D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$ , then for every nonprincipal ultrafilter  $F$  in  $I$ ,  $R_F$  is a 2-transitive ring of linear transformations of the right vector space  $V_F$  over the division ring  $D_F$ .

**Proof:** We have  $\dim_{D_F}(V_F) \geq 2$ . Indeed, for any  $i \in I$  let  $W_i$  be a 2-dimensional subspace of  $V_i$  and put  $W = \prod_{i \in I} W_i$ . Then  $W_F$  is a  $D_F$ -subspace of  $V_F$  and  $\dim_{D_F}(W_F) = 2$  ([2]). It follows, that  $\dim_{D_F}(D_F) \geq 2$ .

Assume that  $u_F, v_F, u'_F, v'_F$  are vectors in  $V_F$  such that  $\text{ind}_{D_F}(u_F, v_F)$  which means, that the vectors  $u_F$  and  $v_F$  are linearly independent over  $D_F$ . It suffice to show that there exists an element  $a_F \in R_F$  such that  $a_F u_F = u'_F$  and  $a_F v_F = v'_F$ . We may assume that  $u_i \neq 0$  and  $v_i \neq 0$  for any  $i \in I$ . Consider the set

$$A = \{i \in I \mid \text{ind}_{D_i}(u_i, v_i)\}.$$

If  $A \notin F$ , then  $B = I \setminus A \in F$ . For any  $i \in B$  there exists  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in D_i \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\alpha_i u_i + \beta_i v_i = 0$ , so  $v_i = \gamma_i u_i$ , with  $\gamma_i \in D_i$ . Since  $B \in F$ , we have  $v_F = \gamma_F u_F$  with  $\gamma_F \in D_F$ , where  $\gamma = (\gamma_i)$  with  $\gamma_i$  arbitrary in  $D_i$  if  $i \in A$ , contradiction.

So  $A \in F$ . For any  $i \in A$  there exists  $a_i \in D_i$  such that  $a_i u_i = u'_i$  and  $a_i v_i = v'_i$ . Put  $a = (a_i) \in R$  with  $a_i$  arbitrarily taken in  $R_i$  if  $i \notin A$ . Then  $a_F u_F = u'_F$  and  $a_F v_F = v'_F$ . It follows that  $R_F$  is a 2-transitive ring of linear transformations on  $V_F$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $(R_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of unitary rings. If for any  $i \in I$ ,  $M_i$  is a left  $R_i$ -module, then*

$$\text{ann}_{R_F}(M_F) = \left( \prod_{i \in I} \text{ann}_{R_i}(M_i) \right)_F$$

for any nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $I$ .

**Proof:** If  $a_F \notin \left( \prod_{i \in I} \text{ann}_{R_i}(M_i) \right)_F$ , then  $\{i \mid a_i \in \text{ann}_{R_i}(M_i)\} \in F$ . We have  $a_F x_F = (ax)_F = 0$  for any  $x_F \in M_F$ , hence  $a_F \in \text{ann}_{R_F}(M_F)$ .

If  $a_F \notin \text{ann}_{R_F}(M_F)$  there exists  $x_F^0 \in M_F$ ,  $x^0 = (x_i^0)$  such that  $a_F x_F^0 \neq 0$ . Then  $\{i \mid a_i x_i^0 \neq 0\} \in F$ , hence  $a_F \notin \left( \prod_{i \in I} \text{ann}_{R_i}(M_i) \right)_F$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $(R_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of unitary rings. If for any  $i \in I$ ,  $M_i$  is a left faithful module, then  $M_i$  is a left faithful  $R_F$ -module.*

With the aid of Lemma 2.1., theorem 2.2. and the Jacobson-Chevalley density theorem we can prove:

**Corollary 2.5.** ([1]) *Let  $(R_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of left primitive rings. For any  $i \in I$  let  $M_i$  be a left simple faithful left  $R$ -module. Then for any nonprincipal ultrafilter  $F$  on  $I$  we have:*

(1)  $R_F$  is left primitive and  $M_F$  is a simple faithful  $R_F$ -module.

(2)  $End_{R_F}(M_F) \simeq \left( \prod_{i \in I} End_{R_i}(M_i) \right)_F$ .

We say that a primitive ring  $R$  is **closed** if there exists a simple faithful left  $R$ -module such that  $End_R(M) \simeq Z(R)$ , where  $Z(R)$  is the center of  $R$ .

If  $M$  is a left simple faithful module and  $K$  is a maximal subfield of the division ring  $D = End_R(M)$ , then  $R \subseteq End_K(M)$ ,  $K \subseteq End_K(M)$ ,  $M$  is a simple faithful  $RK$ -module, and  $Z(RK) = K \simeq End_{RK}(M)$ . It follows that  $RK$  is closed primitive ring and  $RK \supset R$ . ([4])

**Theorem 2.6.** *An ultraproduct of closed primitive rings is a closed primitive ring.*

**Proof:** Let  $(R_i)_{i \in I}$  a family of closed primitive rings. For each  $i \in I$  let  $M_i$  be a simple faithful  $R_i$ -module such that  $End_{R_i}(M_i) \simeq Z(R_i)$ .

If  $F$  is a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $I$ , then  $M_F$  is a simple faithful  $R_F$ -module and

$$End_{R_F} \simeq \left( \prod_{i \in I} End_{R_i}(M_i) \right)_F \simeq \left( \prod_{i \in I} Z(R_i) \right)_F \simeq Z(R_F).$$

□

## References

- [1] I. N. HERSTEIN, *Noncommutative Rings*, Wiley New York, 1968.
- [2] I. D. ION AND C. NIȚĂ, Graded Ultraproducts, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures. Appl. 35 (1990), 1, 41-48.
- [3] I. D. ION ET C. NIȚĂ, Ultraproduits gradués. Applications, Publications Mathématiques, Vol. 36 (1992), 663-671.
- [4] L. H. ROWEN, *Polynomial Identities in Ring Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

Received: 15.12.2005

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bucharest,  
Str. Academiei 14, Bucharest,  
RO-010014, Romania  
E-mail: idi@al.math.unibuc.ro  
E-mail: cnita@al.math.unibuc.ro