

On 2-absorbing z -filters

by

ZEHRA BİLGİN⁽¹⁾, ELİF DEMİR⁽²⁾, KÜRŞAT HAKAN ORAL⁽³⁾

Abstract

In this work, 2-absorbing z -filters on a topological space X are defined and their general properties are examined. Moreover, the convergence of 2-absorbing z -filters is studied. A correspondence between 2-absorbing z -filters on X and 2-absorbing z -ideals of the ring $C(X)$ of all real-valued continuous functions on X is given.

Key Words: Ring of continuous functions, z -filters, 2-absorbing z -filters.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54C35. Secondary 54C99.

1 Introduction

Let X be a topological space. We denote the ring of all continuous real-valued functions on X as $C(X)$. The ring $C(X)$ is a commutative ring with identity $1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined as $1(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$. Topological and algebraic properties of $C(X)$ have got a considerable attention in the last fifty years. For a detailed information on the ring $C(X)$ the reader may consult [4] and [8]. If X is a completely regular space, a wide range of properties of $C(X)$ can be characterized. Since for every topological space X , there exists a completely regular space Y such that $C(X)$ is isomorphic to $C(Y)$, as shown in [4, 3.9], the space X can be assumed to be completely regular.

An important concept related to $C(X)$ is z -filters. For an element f in $C(X)$, the zero-set $Z(f)$ of f is defined as $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$. The collection of all zero-sets in X is denoted as $\mathcal{Z}(X)$. A nonempty subfamily \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ is called a z -filter on X provided that (i) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$, (ii) if $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, (iii) if $Z \in \mathcal{F}$, $Z' \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$ and $Z \subseteq Z'$ then $Z' \in \mathcal{F}$. The analogous concept of z -filters is set theoretic filters. In a discrete space every set is a zero set, so z -filters and filters are the same in discrete spaces. A *prime z -filter* is a z -filter \mathcal{F} with the following property: whenever the union of two zero-sets belongs to \mathcal{F} at least one of them belongs to \mathcal{F} . By [4, 2E], a z -filter \mathcal{F} is prime if and only if whenever the union of two zero-sets is all of X , at least one of them belongs to \mathcal{F} . Equivalently, a z -filter is prime if and only if it contains a prime z -filter. Prime z -filters are useful for studying prime ideal structure of the ring of continuous functions. Some of these researches can be found in [5], [6], and [7].

In this paper, we define 2-absorbing z -filters as a generalization of prime z -filters. A z -filter \mathcal{F} is called a *2-absorbing z -filter* if whenever $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ for $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$, one of the containments $Z_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, $Z_1 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ or $Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ holds.

Minimal prime z -filters are proved to be useful in the study of z -filters. A prime z -filter \mathcal{P} is *minimal* over a z -filter \mathcal{F} if it is a minimal element of the set of all prime z -filters

containing \mathcal{F} . In Section 2, we examine some properties of minimal prime z -filters and obtain a characterization of them, Theorem 3. Further, we prove that every z -filter \mathcal{F} is the intersection of all minimal prime z -filters containing \mathcal{F} , Proposition 1.

In Section 3, we define 2-absorbing z -filters and investigate their general properties. We show that a 2-absorbing z -filter has at most two minimal prime z -filters and acquire a characterization of 2-absorbing z -filters, Theorem 4. Let X and Y be two completely regular spaces and $\tau : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous map. For any zero set $Z_Y(g) \in \mathcal{Z}(Y)$, denote the zero set $Z_X(g \circ \tau)$ in $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ as $\tau^\leftarrow[Z_Y(g)]$. Then, by [4, 4.12], for a z -filter \mathcal{F} on X , the set $\tau^\#\mathcal{F} = \{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Y) : \tau^\leftarrow[Z] \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a z -filter on Y . We show that if \mathcal{F} is a 2-absorbing z -filter so is $\tau^\#\mathcal{F}$, Proposition 3. Moreover, we deal with the convergence of 2-absorbing z -filters. Let X be a completely regular space. Following [4, 3.16], a point $p \in X$ is said to be a *cluster point* of a z -filter \mathcal{F} if every neighbourhood of p meets every member of \mathcal{F} . A z -filter is said to *converge* to the *limit* p if every neighbourhood of p contains a member of \mathcal{F} . We prove that a 2-absorbing z -filter \mathcal{F} converges to a point p if and only if all of the minimal prime z -filters over \mathcal{F} converge to p , and a point p is a cluster point of a 2-absorbing z -filter \mathcal{F} iff p is a cluster point of one of the minimal prime z -filters over \mathcal{F} , Theorem 3.

If I is an ideal in $C(X)$, then the family $Z[I] = \{Z(f) : f \in I\}$ is a z -filter on X , by [4, 2.3]. Analogously, if \mathcal{F} is a z -filter on X , then the set $Z^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}] = \{f : Z(f) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is an ideal in $C(X)$. An ideal I in $C(X)$ is called a z -ideal if $Z(f) \in Z[I]$ implies $f \in I$, that is, if $I = Z^\leftarrow[Z[I]]$. In [2], 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings with identity are defined. A nonzero proper ideal I of a commutative ring with identity R is called a 2-absorbing ideal whenever $abc \in I$ for $a, b, c \in R$, either $ab \in I$, or $ac \in I$, or $bc \in I$. A more general concept than 2-absorbing ideals is the concept of n -absorbing ideals for any $n \geq 1$, see [1]. For 2-absorbing commutative semigroups and their applications to rings, we recommend [3]. In Section 4, we prove that a z -ideal of $C(X)$ is a 2-absorbing ideal if and only if it contains a 2-absorbing ideal, Proposition 4. Moreover, we obtain a one-to-one correspondence between the set of 2-absorbing z -ideals and the set of 2-absorbing z -filters, Theorem 7.

2 Prime z -filters

In this section, we examine some properties of prime z -filters.

Definition 1. A subset S of $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ is called a *union closed subset* if $\emptyset \in S$ and whenever two elements Z and Z' in S their union is also in S .

The following theorem guarantees that every z -filter is contained in a prime z -filter.

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{F} be a z -filter and $S \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(X)$ a union closed set such that $\mathcal{F} \cap S = \emptyset$. Then the set

$$\Psi = \{\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(X) : \mathcal{G} \text{ is a } z\text{-filter, } \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \mathcal{G} \cap S = \emptyset\}$$

has at least one maximal element and such a maximal element is a prime z -filter.

Proof: Since $\mathcal{F} \cap S = \emptyset$, the set Ψ is nonempty. Let $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a chain in Ψ . The set $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}_i$ is a z -filter containing \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{G} \cap S = \emptyset$ and, so \mathcal{G} is an upper bound for the

chain $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i \in I}$ in Ψ . Then, by Zorn's Lemma, the set Ψ has a maximal element. Let \mathcal{P} be such a maximal element of Ψ . Assume that $Z_1, Z_2 \notin \mathcal{P}$. The sets $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P} \cup \{Z_1 \cup Z : Z \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P} \cup \{Z_2 \cup Z : Z \in \mathcal{P}\}$ are z -filters containing \mathcal{F} . By the maximality of \mathcal{P} , they should both meet S . Then there exist $Z, Z' \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $Z_1 \cup Z, Z_2 \cup Z' \in S$. Then we obtain $(Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cup Z \cup Z' \in S$. Thus, we must have $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \notin \mathcal{P}$. \square

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a z -filter. Then the set*

$$\Phi = \{\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(X) : \mathcal{G} \text{ is a prime } z\text{-filter, } \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}\}$$

has at least one minimal member with respect to inclusion.

Proof: Applying Theorem 1 with $S = \{\emptyset\}$, we obtain a prime z -filter containing \mathcal{F} . Hence Φ is nonempty. The set Φ is partially ordered by the relation

$$\mathcal{G} \preceq \mathcal{H} \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}, \text{ where } \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \in \Phi.$$

Let $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a chain in Φ . The set $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}_i$ is a prime z -filter containing \mathcal{F} . By Zorn's Lemma, we conclude that Φ has a minimal element. \square

Definition 2. *A prime z -filter \mathcal{P} is minimal over a z -filter \mathcal{F} if it is a minimal element of the set of all prime z -filters containing \mathcal{F} .*

The following theorem gives a characterization of elements of minimal prime z -filter over a z -filter.

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{P} be a prime z -filter containing \mathcal{F} . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{P} is minimal over \mathcal{F} .
- (ii) $\mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ is a union closed set that is maximal with respect to missing \mathcal{F} .
- (iii) For each $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists a $Z' \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ such that $Z \cup Z' \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Clearly, $\mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ is a union closed set. Let S be a union closed set containing $\mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{F} \cap S = \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{Q} be a z -filter containing \mathcal{F} that is maximal with respect to being disjoint from S . Then, by Theorem 1, the z -filter \mathcal{Q} is prime. Since $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$, we get $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. Since \mathcal{P} is minimal over \mathcal{F} , we have $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}$. Thus $S = \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): If $Z = X$ the statement trivially holds. Let $Z \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{X\}$. Set

$$S = \{Z \cup Z' : Z' \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}\} \cup \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}.$$

Then S is a union closed set and properly contains $\mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathcal{F} \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Hence there exists a $Z' \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ such that $Z \cup Z' \in \mathcal{F}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ where \mathcal{Q} is a prime z -filter. If there exists $Z \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$, then there is a $Z' \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ such that $Z \cup Z' \in \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$. Since $Z \notin \mathcal{Q}$, we have the contradiction $Z' \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

Proposition 1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a z -filter. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the intersection of all minimal prime z -filters containing \mathcal{F} .*

Proof: Clearly $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is contained in the intersection of all minimal prime z -filters over $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. For the reverse inclusion, assume that there exists an element Z contained in the intersection of all minimal prime z -filters over $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ but not contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. The set $S = \{Z' \in \mathcal{Z}(X) : Z' \subseteq Z\}$ is a union closed set disjoint from $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. Then, by Theorem 1, there exists a prime z -filter \mathcal{P} containing $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ and disjoint from S . However, since $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, we have the contradiction $Z \in \mathcal{P} \cap S$. \square

3 2-absorbing z -filters

Inspiring from prime z -filters we can define 2-absorbing z -filters as follows:

Definition 3. *A z -filter \mathcal{F} is called as a 2-absorbing z -filter if whenever $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ for $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$, one of the containments $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, $Z_1 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ or $Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ holds.*

We note that the set of 2-absorbing z -filters properly contains the set of prime z -filters.

Example 1. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}) : \{0, 1\} \subseteq Z\}$. It is easy to show that \mathcal{F} is a 2-absorbing z -filter. However, it is not a prime z -filter since $Z(\sin x) \cup Z(\sin(x-1)) \in \mathcal{F}$ and neither $Z(\sin x)$ nor $Z(\sin(x-1))$ is in \mathcal{F} .*

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a 2-absorbing z -filter. Then there are at most two minimal prime z -filters over \mathcal{F} .*

Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3$ be distinct minimal prime z -filters over \mathcal{F} . Then there exist $Z_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2$ and $Z_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1$. Since \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 are minimal, by Theorem 3, there exist elements $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}_1$ and $Z' \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \mathcal{P}_2$ such that $Z_1 \cup Z, Z_2 \cup Z' \in \mathcal{F}$. We have

$$(Z_1 \cap Z_2) \cup (Z_1 \cap Z') \cup (Z \cap Z_2) \cup (Z \cap Z') = (Z_1 \cup Z) \cap (Z_2 \cup Z') \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then we obtain $Z_1 \cap Z' \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and $Z_2 \cap Z \in \mathcal{P}_2$, and hence $Z' \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and $Z \in \mathcal{P}_2$. As

$$(Z \cap Z') \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2 = (Z \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cap (Z' \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2) \in \mathcal{F}$$

and \mathcal{F} is a 2-absorbing z -filter, we get $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. Now, since $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3$ are all distinct z -filters, there exist elements $Y_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{P}_2 \cup \mathcal{P}_3)$ and $Y_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_3)$. Then, by the above argument we have $Y_1 \cup Y_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. As $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2 \cap \mathcal{P}_3$, we get one of the contradicting arguments $Y_1 \in \mathcal{P}_3$ or $Y_2 \in \mathcal{P}_3$. Hence, there are at most two minimal prime z -filters over \mathcal{F} . \square

Corollary 1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a 2-absorbing z -filter. Then \mathcal{F} is a prime z -filter or $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2$ where \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 are the only minimal prime z -filters over \mathcal{F} .*

Now, we are to give a characterization of 2-absorbing z -filters. First, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Intersection of two prime z -filters is a 2-absorbing z -filter.*

Proof: Let \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 be two prime z -filters and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2$. assume that $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ where $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$. Since \mathcal{P}_1 is prime, either Z_1 or $Z_2 \cup Z_3$ is in \mathcal{P}_1 . Similarly, either Z_1 or $Z_2 \cup Z_3$ is in \mathcal{P}_2 . If $Z_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and $Z_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2$, then $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{F}$. If $Z_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and $Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{P}_2$, then since Z_2 or Z_3 is in \mathcal{P}_2 , we have $Z_1 \cup Z_2$ or $Z_1 \cup Z_3$ is in $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{F}$. The exactly same argument is applied for the other cases. \square

Theorem 5. *A z -filter \mathcal{F} is 2-absorbing if and only if it contains a 2-absorbing z -filter.*

Proof: The necessity part is clear.

For the sufficiency, let \mathcal{G} be a 2-absorbing z -filter contained in \mathcal{F} . Then $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2$ where $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$ are minimal prime z -filters over \mathcal{G} . In the former case, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Since \mathcal{F} contains a prime z -filter, it is a prime, and hence a 2-absorbing z -filter. Now assume that $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2$. Then $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. We can write $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ where \mathcal{A} is the intersection of prime z -filters over \mathcal{F} containing \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{B} is the intersection of prime z -filters over \mathcal{F} containing \mathcal{P}_2 . As \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are intersection of z -filters, they are z -filters, too. Besides, since they contain prime z -filters \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 , respectively, they are prime z -filters. Thus, we conclude that, being the intersection of two prime z -filters, the z -filter \mathcal{F} is 2-absorbing. \square

Theorem 6. *A z -filter \mathcal{F} is 2-absorbing if and only if whenever $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 = X$ with $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$, either $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ or $Z_1 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ or $Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof: Since $X \in \mathcal{F}$, the necessity part is clear. For the sufficiency part, let \mathcal{G} be the set of all $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ with $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \{X\}$ and such that there exists a $Z_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \setminus \{X\}$ satisfying $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 = X$. Since \mathcal{G} is contained in \mathcal{F} , it does not contain the empty set. Let $Z_1 \cup Z_2, Y_1 \cup Y_2 \in \mathcal{G}$. Then there exists $Z_3, Y_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$ such that $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 = X$ and $Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_3 = X$. Then, we have

$$[(Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cap (Y_1 \cup Y_2)] \cup [((Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cap Y_3) \cup (Z_3 \cap (Y_1 \cup Y_2)) \cup (Z_3 \cap Y_3)] = X.$$

Since

$$(Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cap (Y_1 \cup Y_2) = (Z_1 \cap (Y_1 \cup Y_2)) \cup (Z_2 \cap (Y_1 \cup Y_2)),$$

$(Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cap (Y_1 \cup Y_2)$ is an element of \mathcal{G} . For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$ with $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \subseteq Z$, we have $Z \cup (Z_1 \cup Z_2) \cup Z_3 = X$. Hence $Z \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, \mathcal{G} is a z -filter. Let $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{G}$. assume first that one of the unions $Z_1 \cup Z_2$, $Z_1 \cup Z_3$ and $Z_2 \cup Z_3$ is equal to X , then it is trivially in \mathcal{G} . Now, suppose none of them is equal to X . Since there exists $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$ satisfying $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z = X$, by assumption, either $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ or $Z_1 \cup Z_3 \cup Z \in \mathcal{F}$ or $Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, we have $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ or $Z_1 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{G}$ or $Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus, \mathcal{G} is a 2-absorbing z -filter. By Theorem 5, we conclude that \mathcal{F} is a 2-absorbing z -filter. \square

Let X and Y be two completely regular spaces and $\tau : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous mapping. For any zero set $Z_Y(g) \in \mathcal{Z}(Y)$, denote the zero set $Z_X(g \circ \tau)$ in $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ as $\tau^\leftarrow[Z_Y(g)]$. Then, by [4, 4.12], for a z -filter \mathcal{F} on X , the set

$$\tau^\# \mathcal{F} = \{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Y) : \tau^\leftarrow[Z] \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

is a z -filter on Y . Moreover, if \mathcal{F} is prime, so is $\tau^\# \mathcal{F}$. We have a further result:

Proposition 2. *With the above notation, if \mathcal{F} is a 2-absorbing z -filter, then $\tau^\# \mathcal{F}$ is a 2-absorbing z -filter.*

Proof: Let $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \in \tau^\# \mathcal{F}$ where $Z_1 = Z_Y(g_1)$, $Z_2 = Z_Y(g_2)$, $Z_3 = Z_Y(g_3)$ for some $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in C(Y)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_X[g_1 \circ \tau] \cup Z_X[g_2 \circ \tau] \cup Z_X[g_3 \circ \tau] &= Z_X[(g_1 \circ \tau)(g_2 \circ \tau)(g_3 \circ \tau)] \\ &= Z_X[(g_1 g_2 g_3) \circ \tau] \\ &= \tau^\leftarrow[Z_Y(g_1 g_2 g_3)] \\ &= \tau^\leftarrow[Z_Y(g_1) \cup Z_Y(g_2) \cup Z_Y(g_3)] \\ &= \tau^\leftarrow[Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3] \\ &\in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a 2-absorbing z -filter, for some $i \neq j$, we have

$$Z_X[g_i \circ \tau] \cup Z_X[g_j \circ \tau] \in \mathcal{F}.$$

This implies

$$\tau^\leftarrow[Z_Y(g_i) \cup Z_Y(g_j)] = \tau^\leftarrow[Z_Y(g_i g_j)] = Z_X[g_i g_j \circ \tau] \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$Z_i \cup Z_j = Z_Y(g_i) \cup Z_Y(g_j) \in \tau^\# \mathcal{F}.$$

Hence, we conclude that $\tau^\# \mathcal{F}$ is a 2-absorbing z -filter. \square

Let X be a completely regular space. Following [4, 3.16], a point $p \in X$ is said to be a cluster point of a z -filter \mathcal{F} if every neighbourhood of p meets every member of \mathcal{F} . A z -filter is said to converge to the limit p if every neighbourhood of p contains a member of \mathcal{F} . Note that every limit point is a cluster point. We are to investigate convergence of 2-absorbing z -filters.

Proposition 3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a 2-absorbing z -filter on a completely regular space X with minimal prime z -filters \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 . Let $p \in X$.*

- (i) \mathcal{F} converges to p if and only if \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 both converge to p .
- (ii) p is a cluster point of \mathcal{F} if and only if p is a cluster point of \mathcal{P}_1 or \mathcal{P}_2 .

Proof: (i) Assume that \mathcal{F} converges to p . Let \mathcal{G} be a z -filter containing \mathcal{F} . Every neighborhood of p contains an element of \mathcal{F} , and hence an element of \mathcal{G} . Then \mathcal{G} converges to p . This fact apply to minimal prime z -filters of \mathcal{F} , as well.

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 both converge to p . Let \mathcal{N} be a neighborhood of p . Then there exist $Z_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and $Z_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1$, both contained in \mathcal{N} . Since $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{F}$ and $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, we conclude that \mathcal{F} converges to p .

(ii) Suppose that p is not a cluster point of both \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 . Then, there exist neighborhoods \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 of p and elements $Z_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and $Z_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2$ such that $\mathcal{N}_1 \cap Z_1 = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{N}_2 \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$. We have $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{N}_1 \cap \mathcal{N}_2$ a neighborhood of p . Moreover, we observe that $(\mathcal{N}_1 \cap \mathcal{N}_2) \cap (Z_1 \cup Z_2) = \emptyset$. Therefore p is not a cluster point of \mathcal{F} .

Conversely, assume that p is a cluster point of some z -filter \mathcal{G} containing \mathcal{F} . Let \mathcal{N} be a neighborhood of p . For any $z \in \mathcal{F}$, since $Z \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $\mathcal{N} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Therefore p is a cluster point if \mathcal{F} . \square

Note that, a prime z -filter on a completely regular space has at most one cluster point, [4, 3.17]. We conclude, by Proposition 3, that a 2-absorbing z -filter on a completely regular space can have at most two cluster points.

4 2-absorbing z -ideals

In this section, we give a correspondence between 2-absorbing z -filters on a topological space X and 2-absorbing z -ideals of $C(X)$. If I is an ideal in $C(X)$, then the family $Z[I] = \{Z(f) : f \in I\}$ is a z -filter on X . Analogously, if \mathcal{F} is a z -filter on X , then the family $Z^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}] = \{f : Z(f) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is an ideal in $C(X)$. An ideal I in $C(X)$ is called a z -ideal if $Z(f) \in Z[I]$ implies $f \in I$, that is, if $I = Z^\leftarrow[Z[I]]$. If \mathcal{F} is a z -filter then the ideal $Z^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}]$ is a z -ideal. Hence, if J is an ideal in $C(X)$, then $I = Z^\leftarrow[Z[J]]$ is the smallest z -ideal containing J . Note that, every maximal ideal is z -ideal, and the intersection of an arbitrary family of z -ideals is a z -ideal. Moreover, it is proved in [4, 14.7] that every minimal prime ideal over an ideal I is a z -ideal.

The following proposition is the z -ideal counterpart of Theorem 5.

Proposition 4. *A z -ideal I of $C(X)$ is a 2-absorbing ideal if and only if it contains a 2-absorbing ideal.*

Proof: The necessity part is clear.

Let I be a z -ideal of $C(X)$ containing a 2-absorbing ideal J . Note that, since I is a z -ideal, by [4, 2.8], it is a radical ideal. Then $\text{rad}J \subseteq I$. As J is a 2-absorbing ideal it has at most two minimal prime ideals, that is $\text{rad}J = P$ or $\text{rad}J = P_1 \cap P_2$ where P, P_1, P_2 are minimal prime ideals of J . In the former case, since $P \subseteq I$, by [4, 2.9], we have I as a prime, and hence a 2-absorbing ideal. Now, assume that $\text{rad}J = P_1 \cap P_2$. Since $P_1 \cap P_2 \subseteq I$ and I is a radical ideal, it can be written as $I = A \cap B$ where A is the intersection of minimal prime ideals of I containing P_1 and B is the intersection of minimal prime ideals of I containing P_2 . Since every minimal prime ideal is a z -ideal, being the intersection of any arbitrary nonempty family of z -ideals, both A and B are z -ideals. Besides, containing

prime ideals P_1 and P_2 respectively, they are prime ideals. Therefore, we conclude that $I = A \cap B$, being an intersection of two prime ideals, is a 2-absorbing ideal. \square

The following theorem gives a correspondence between 2-absorbing ideals of $C(X)$ and 2-absorbing z -filters.

Theorem 7. *Let I be a 2-absorbing ideal in $C(X)$. Then $Z[I]$ is a 2-absorbing z -filter. Conversely, if \mathcal{F} is a 2-absorbing z -filter, the ideal $Z^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}]$ is a 2-absorbing z -ideal. Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of 2-absorbing ideals of $C(X)$ and the set of 2-absorbing z -filters.*

Proof: Let I be a 2-absorbing ideal in $C(X)$ and $J = Z^\leftarrow[Z[I]]$. Then we have $I \subseteq Z^\leftarrow[Z[I]] = J$. As a z -ideal containing a 2-absorbing ideal, by Proposition 4, the ideal J is a 2-absorbing ideal. Assume that $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \cup Z(h) \in Z[I]$ where $f, g, h \in C(X)$. Then we have $Z(fgh) \in Z[I] = Z[J]$. Since J is a z -ideal, we obtain $fgh \in J$. As J is a 2-absorbing ideal, either $fg \in J$ or $fh \in J$ or $gh \in J$. Thus we get $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = Z(fg) \in Z[J] = Z[I]$ or $Z(f) \cup Z(h) = Z(fh) \in Z[J] = Z[I]$ or $Z(g) \cup Z(h) = Z(gh) \in Z[J] = Z[I]$. Therefore $Z[I]$ is a 2-absorbing z -filter.

Conversely, let \mathcal{F} be a 2-absorbing z -filter. Note that $Z^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}]$ is a z -ideal. Let $fgh \in Z^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}]$. Then

$$Z(f) \cup Z(g) \cup Z(h) = Z(fgh) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a 2-absorbing z -filter, either $Z(fg) = Z(f) \cup Z(g) \in \mathcal{F}$ or $Z(fh) = Z(f) \cup Z(h) \in \mathcal{F}$ or $Z(gh) = Z(g) \cup Z(h) \in \mathcal{F}$. This implies $fg \in Z^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}]$ or $fh \in Z^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}]$ or $gh \in Z^\leftarrow[\mathcal{F}]$. \square

Acknowledgement. We thank the anonymous referee for his comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] D. F. ANDERSON AND A. BADAWI, On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Comm. Algebra* **39** (5), 1646-1672, (2011).
- [2] A. BADAWI, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **75**(3), 417-429, (2007).
- [3] A. Y. DARANI AND E. R. PUCZYLOWSKI, On 2-absorbing commutative semigroups and their applications to rings, *Semigroup Forum* **86** (1), 83-91, (2013).
- [4] L. GILLMAN AND M. JERISON, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., (1960).
- [5] C. W. KOHLS, Prime ideals in rings of continuous functions, *Illinois J. Math.* **2** (4A), 505-536, (1958).
- [6] M. MANDELKER, Prime ideal structure of rings of bounded continuous functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (6), 1432-1438, (1968).

- [7] M. MANDELKER, Prime z -ideal structure of $C(R)$, *Fund. Math.* **63** (2), 145-166, (1968).
- [8] W. W. MCGOVERN, *Algebraic and topological properties of $C(X)$ and the \mathfrak{F} topology* (unpublished doctoral dissertation), University of Florida, (1998).

Received: 30.10.2016

Revised: 20.03.2017

Accepted: 10.04.2017

⁽¹⁾ Department of Philosophy, Istanbul Medeniyet University
Istanbul, TURKEY
E-mail: zehrabilgin.zb@gmail.com

⁽²⁾ Department of Mathematics,
Yildiz Technical University
Istanbul, TURKEY
E-mail: edbilek@yildiz.edu.tr

⁽³⁾ Istanbul, TURKEY
E-mail: khora1993@gmail.com