On the Derivatives of a Polynomial

by

VINAY KUMAR JAIN

Abstract

For a polynomial p(z) of degree n, having all its zeros in $|z| \le k, (k \ge 1)$, we obtain a refinement of known result [2]

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \ge \frac{n}{1+k^n} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|,$$

(by using certain coefficients of p(z)), and an inequality, similar to known result involving s^{th} derivative, $(2 \le s \le n)$, instead of the first derivative of p(z) (and better than the similar inequality, obtained by repeated applications of known result, in many cases).

Key Words: polynomial, derivatives, zeros in $|z| \le k, k \ge 1$, certain coefficients, generalization of Schwarz's lemma. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C10, Secondary 30A10.

1 Introduction and statement of results

For an arbitrary polynomial f(z) let $M(f,r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$. Further let $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ be a polynomial of degree n. Concerning the estimate of |p'(z)| on $|z| \leq 1$, we have firstly obtained

Theorem 1. Let $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ be a polynomial of degree *n*, having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$, $(k \geq 1)$. Then

$$M(p',1) \ge n \frac{|a_0| + |a_n|k^{n+1}}{|a_0|(1+k^{n+1}) + |a_n|(k^{n+1}+k^{2n})} M(p,1).$$

The result is best possible with equality for the polynomial $p(z) = z^n + k^n$.

Secondly we have obtained a result, similar to Theorem 1, involving the s^{th} derivative of p(z), $(2 \le s \le n)$, instead of the first derivative of p(z). More precisely we have proved

Theorem 2. If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in $|z| \le k$, $(k \ge 1)$ then for $2 \le s \le n$

$$M(p^{(s)},1) \geq n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-s+1)[k^{n} + \sum_{t=1}^{s-1} {s \choose t} \{(1+k^{n-t})(1+k^{n-t-1})\dots(1+k^{n-s+1})\} + {s \choose s}]^{-1}M(p,1).$$

Vinay Kumar Jain

Remark 1. Theorem 1 is a refinement of Govil's result [2]

$$M(p',1) \ge \frac{n}{1+k^n} M(p,1).$$
(1.1)

Remark 2. Theorem 2 is better than the result

$$M(p^{(s)}, 1) \ge \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-s+2)(n-s+1)}{(1+k^n)(1+k^{n-1})\dots(1+k^{n-s+2})(1+k^{n-s+1})}M(p, 1),$$
(1.2)

(obtained by repeated applications of ineq.(1.1)), for

$$k > k_0,$$

where $k_0(>1)$ is the greatest positive root of the equation

$$\{(1+k^{n-s+1})\dots(1+k^{n-1})(1+k^n)\}-[k^n+\sum_{t=1}^{s-1}\binom{s}{t}\{(1+k^{n-t})(1+k^{n-t-1})\dots(1+k^{n-s+1})\}+1]=0,$$

(it being easily observed that left hand side of the equation is negative for k = 1).

Remark 3. For the polynomial

$$p(z) = (z+1)^2(z+2),$$

having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 2$,

$$M(p', 1) \ge 4$$
, (by ineq. (1.1)),
 $M(p', 1) \ge 5.684$, (by Theorem 1),

thereby implying that we get better estimate for M(p',1) by Theorem 1 than by ineq.(1.1) and similarly

$$\begin{split} M(p'',1) &\geq 1.6, \ (by \ ineq. \ (1.2)), \\ M(p'',1) &\geq 3.789, \ (by \ Theorem \ 2), \end{split}$$

thereby implying that we get better estimate for M(p'', 1) by Theorem 2 than by ineq. (1.2).

2 Lemmas

For the proofs of the theorems we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n then

$$\max_{|z|=R} |p(z)| \le R^n (\max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|), R \ge 1,$$

with equality only for $p(z) = \lambda z^n$.

Proof of Lemma 1. It is a simple consequence of maximum modulus principle, (see [4]).

On the Derivatives of a Polynomial

Lemma 2. Let f(z) be analytic in |z| < 1, with f(0) = a and $|f(z)| \le M$, |z| < 1. Then

$$|f(z)| \le M \frac{M|z| + |a|}{|a||z| + M}, \ |z| < 1.$$

Lemma 2 is a well-known generalization of Schwarz's lemma, (see [5, p.212]).

Lemma 3. Let f(z) be analytic in $|z| \le 1$, with f(0) = a and $|f(z)| \le M$, $|z| \le 1$. Then $|f(z)| \le M \frac{M|z|+|a|}{|a||z|+M}$, $|z| \le 1$.

Proof of Lemma 3. It easily follows from Lemma 2.

Lemma 4. Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n and

$$q(z) = z^n \overline{p(1/\overline{z})}.$$

Then for $1 \leq s \leq n$

$$\begin{array}{lll} q^{(s)}(z) &=& (n(n-1)\dots(n-\overline{s-1}))z^{n-s}\ \overline{p(1/\overline{z})} - \\ && ({}_{1}^{s})((n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-\overline{s-1}))z^{n-s-1}\ \overline{p'(1/\overline{z})} + \\ && ({}_{2}^{s})((n-2)(n-3)\dots(n-\overline{s-1}))z^{n-s-2}\ \overline{p''(1/\overline{z})} - \\ && ({}_{3}^{s})((n-3)(n-4)\dots(n-\overline{s-1}))z^{n-s-3}\ \overline{p'''(1/\overline{z})} + \dots + \\ && (-1)^{t} {}_{t}^{s})((n-t)\dots(n-\overline{s-1}))z^{n-s-t}\ \overline{p^{(t)}(1/\overline{z})} + \dots + \\ && (-1)^{s-1} {}_{s-1}^{s})(n-\overline{s-1})z^{n-s-\overline{s-1}}\ \overline{p^{(s-1)}(1/\overline{z})} + \\ && (-1)^{s} {}_{s}^{s}z^{n-2s}\ \overline{p^{(s)}(1/\overline{z})}. \end{array}$$

Proof of Lemma 4. It follows easily by mathematical induction.

Lemma 5. If p(z) is polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in $|z| \le k$, $(k \ge 1)$ then for $2 \le s \le n$ and $1 \le t \le s - 1$

$$\{(n-t)(n-t-1)\dots(n-\overline{s-1})\}M(p^{(t)},1) \le \{(k^{n-t}+1)(k^{n-t-1}+1)\dots(k^{n-\overline{s-1}}+1)\}M(p^{(s)},1).$$

Proof of Lemma 5. It follows by repeated applications of ineq.(1.1).

Lemma 6. Let T(z) be a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ and let R(z) be a polynomial with its degree $\leq n$. If

$$|R(z)| \le |T(z)|, \ |z| = 1 \tag{2.1}$$

then for $0 \leq s \leq n$

$$|R^{(s)}(z)| \le |T^{(s)}(z)|, |z| \ge 1, (R^{(0)}(z) = R(z), T^{(0)}(z) = T(z)).$$

Proof of Lemma 6. Using (2.1) we can say that the zero z'_j , (with $|z'_j| = 1$ and multiplicity t_j), of T(z) will also be a zero, (with multiplicity $(\geq t_j)$), of R(z), thereby helping us to write

$$T(z) = \phi_0(z)T_1(z), \tag{2.2}$$

$$R(z) = \phi_0(z)R_1(z), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\phi_0(z) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^m (z - z'_j)^{t_j}; |z'_j| = 1 \ \forall j \text{ with } \sum_{j=1}^m t_j = t, T(z) \text{ has certain zeros on } |z| = 1, \\ 1, T(z) \neq 0 \text{ on } |z| = 1, \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

$$T_1(z) \neq 0, |z| = 1,$$
 (2.5)

with

$$|R_1(z)| \le |T_1(z)|, |z| = 1, (by (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4)).$$
 (2.6)

Firstly let T(z) have certain zeros on |z| = 1. Then by (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5) we can say that $T_1(z)$ is a polynomial of degree (n - t), having all its zeros in |z| < 1. Now by (2.6) we have for λ with $|\lambda| > 1$

$$|R_1(z)| < |\lambda T_1(z)|, |z| = 1.$$

Therefore by Rouché's theorem, the polynomial $\lambda T_1(z) - R_1(z)$ will have (n-t) zeros in |z| < 1and accordingly the polynomial $\lambda T(z) - R(z)$ will have all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$. Further by using Gauss-Lucas' theorem we can say that for $0 \leq s \leq n$, the polynomial $\lambda T^{(s)}(z) - R^{(s)}(z)$ will have all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ and therefore $|R^{(s)}(z)| \leq |T^{(s)}(z)|$, |z| > 1. On using continuity Lemma 6 follows for the possibility under consideration. Finally let $T(z) \neq 0$ on |z| = 1. Then $T_1(z) = T(z)$, (a polynomial of degree n), (by (2.2) and (2.4)), $R_1(z) = R(z)$, (by (2.3) and (2.4)). Now Lemma 6 for the present possibility will follow similar to previous possibility. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.

Remark 4. Lemma 6 is a generalization of Bernstein's result([1], [3, Theorem C]).

Lemma 7. If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in $|z| \le k$, $(k \ge 1)$ and

$$q(z) = z^n p(1/\overline{z}) \tag{2.7}$$

then for $0 \leq s \leq n$

$$M(q^{(s)}, 1) \le k^n M(p^{(s)}, 1).$$

Proof of Lemma 7. We observe that

$$P(z) = p(kz) \tag{2.8}$$

is a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ and

$$Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$= k^n (\frac{z}{k})^n \overline{p(k/\overline{z})}, (by (2.8)),$$

$$= k^n q(z/k) (by (2.7)) \qquad (2.10)$$

$$-\kappa q(z,\kappa), (\text{by } (z,r))$$
(2.10)
degree $\leq n$ with the characteristic $|Q(z)| = |P(z)| |z| = 1$. Therefore by

is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$, with the characteristic |Q(z)| = |P(z)|, |z| = 1. Therefore by Lemma 6 we can say that for $0 \leq s \leq n$

$$|Q^{(s)}(z)| \le |P^{(s)}(z)|, |z| \ge 1,$$
(2.11)

i.e.

$$k^{n-2s}|q^{(s)}(\frac{z}{k})| \le |p^{(s)}(kz)|, |z| \ge 1, (by (2.8) and (2.10)).$$
 (2.12)

On taking $z = ke^{i\theta}$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$, in (2.12) we get for $0 \le s \le n$ $k^{n-2s}|q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \le |p^{(s)}(k^2e^{i\theta})|, \ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$,

which implies

$$k^{n-2s}M(q^{(s)}, 1) \leq M(p^{(s)}, k^2),$$

 $\leq (k^2)^{n-s}M(p^{(s)}, 1), \text{ (by Lemma 1)}$

and Lemma 7 follows.

342

3 Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. By symbols used in Proof of Lemma 7 and inequality (2.11) we can say that

$$|Q'(z)| \le |P'(z)|, \ |z| = 1.$$
(3.1)

Using (3.1) we can say that a zero z_j , (with $|z_j| = 1$ and multiplicity m_j), of P'(z) will also be a zero, with multiplicity $(\geq m_j)$, of Q'(z), thereby helping us to write

$$P'(z) = \phi(z)P_1(z),$$
 (3.2)

$$Q'(z) = \phi(z)Q_1(z),$$
 (3.3)

where

$$\phi(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & P'(z) \neq 0 \text{ on } |z| = 1, \\ \Pi_{j=1}^{p} (z - z_j)^{m_j}; |z_j| = 1 \forall j & P'(z) \text{ has certain zeros on } |z| = 1, \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

$$P_1(z) \neq 0, \ |z| = 1$$
 (3.5)

and

$$|Q_1(z)| \le |P_1(z)|, \ |z| = 1, (by (3.1), (3.2) \text{ and } (3.3)).$$
 (3.6)

Now as P(z) has all its zeros in $|z| \le 1$, we can say by Gauss-Lucas' theorem that P'(z) will also have all its zeros in $|z| \le 1$. Therefore by (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5), we can say that

$$\psi(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{P_1(z)} \tag{3.7}$$

is analytic in |z| > r', (for certain r', with (0 < r' < 1)), including ∞ and accordingly

$$f(z) = \psi(1/z), \tag{3.8}$$

with

$$f(0) = \psi(\infty) = lt_{z \to \infty} \psi(z),$$

= $lt_{z \to \infty} \frac{Q'(z)}{P'(z)}$, (by (3.7), (3.2) and (3.3)),
= $\frac{\overline{a_0}}{a_n k^n}$, (by (2.8) and (2.9)) (3.9)

is analytic in $|z| < \frac{1}{r'}, (\frac{1}{r'} > 1)$. Further $|\psi(z)| \le 1, |z| = 1$, (by (3.6)) and therefore

 $|f(z)| \le 1, |z| = 1, (by (3.8)),$ (3.10)

which, by (3.9) and Lemma 3, helps us to write

$$|f(z)| \le \frac{|z| + |\frac{a_0}{a_n k^n}|}{|\frac{a_0}{a_n k^n}||z| + 1}, \ |z| \le 1,$$

i.e.

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \le \frac{|a_n|k^n r + |a_0|}{|a_0|r + |a_n|k^n}, \ r \le 1 \text{ and } 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi,$$
(3.11)

i.e.

$$|\psi(\frac{1}{r}e^{-i\theta})| \le \frac{|a_n|k^n r + |a_0|}{|a_0|r + |a_n|k^n}, \ 0 < r \le 1 \text{ and } 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi, \text{ (by (3.8))},$$

i.e.

$$|\psi(Re^{-i\theta})| \leq \frac{|a_n|k^n + |a_0|R}{|a_0| + |a_n|k^nR}, \ R \geq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi,$$

i.e.

$$|Q_1(Re^{-i\theta})| \le \frac{|a_n|k^n + |a_0|R}{|a_0| + |a_n|k^nR} |P_1(Re^{-i\theta})|, \ R \ge 1, \ (by \ (3.7)),$$

i.e.

$$|Q'(Re^{-i\theta})| \le \frac{|a_n|k^n + |a_0|R}{|a_0| + |a_n|k^nR} |P'(Re^{-i\theta})|, \ R \ge 1, (by \ (3.2) \text{ and } (3.3)),$$

i.e.

$$|Q'(z)| \le \frac{|a_n|k^n + |a_0||z|}{|a_0| + |a_n|k^n|z|} |P'(z)|, |z| \ge 1,$$

i.e.

$$k^{n-2}|q'(z/k)| \le \frac{|a_n|k^n + |a_0||z|}{|a_0| + |a_n|k^n|z|} |p'(kz)|, |z| \ge 1, (by (2.8) \text{ and } (2.10)).$$
(3.12)

By taking $z = ke^{i\theta}$ in (3.12) we get

$$k^{n-3}|q'(e^{i\theta})| \le \frac{|a_n|k^{n-1} + |a_0|}{|a_0| + |a_n|k^{n+1}} |p'(k^2 e^{i\theta})|, \ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi,$$

which implies

$$k^{n-3}M(q',1) \le \frac{|a_n|k^{n-1} + |a_0|}{|a_0| + |a_n|k^{n+1}}M(p',k^2)$$

and therefore

$$M(q',1) \le \frac{|a_n|k^{n-1} + |a_0|}{|a_0| + |a_n|k^{n+1}}k^{n+1}M(p',1), \text{ (by Lemma 1)}.$$
(3.13)

Now by (2.7), we get

$$|q'(e^{i\theta})| + |p'(e^{i\theta})| \ge n|p(e^{i\theta})|, \ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi,$$

which implies

$$M(q', 1) + M(p', 1) \ge nM(p, 1)$$

and on using (3.13) we get

$$M(p',1) \ge n \frac{|a_0| + |a_n|k^{n+1}}{|a_0|(1+k^{n+1}) + |a_n|(k^{n+1}+k^{2n})} M(p,1).$$
(3.14)

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

344

On the Derivatives of a Polynomial

Remark 5. If instead of, the polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$, (of degree n), having all its zeros in

 $|z| \leq k$, $(k \geq 1)$ we consider the polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{j=m}^{n} a_j z^j$, $(0 \leq m < n)$, (of degree n), having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$, $(k \geq 1)$, then, by thinking of, the function

$$\chi(z) = \frac{Q'(z)}{P'(z)}, \begin{pmatrix} (P(z) \text{ and } Q(z), \text{ as in } (2.8) \text{ and } (2.9)), \\ analytic \text{ in } 1 < |z| < \infty, \text{ as well as in } r' < |z| < 1, \\ (for \ certain \ r', \ with \ (0 < r' < 1)) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.15)$$
$$= \frac{(n-m)\overline{a_m}k^m z^{n-m-1} + \ldots + \overline{a_{n-1}}k^{n-1}}{na_nk^n z^{n-1} + \ldots + a_mk^m mz^{m-1}}, \begin{pmatrix} analytic \ in \ 1 < |z| < \infty, \\ as \ well \ as \ in \ r' < |z| < 1 \end{pmatrix}, (3.16)$$

along with

$$f(z) = \psi(1/z), \ (as \ in \ (3.8)),$$
 (3.17)

the relation

$$f(z) = \chi(1/z), \ (0 < |z| < 1, 1 < |z| < 1/r'),$$

= $z^m \frac{(n-m)\overline{a_m}k^m + \ldots + \overline{a_{n-1}}k^{n-1}z^{n-m-1}}{na_nk^n + \ldots + a_mk^mmz^{n-m}}, \ (0 < |z| < 1, 1 < |z| < 1/r'),$
(by (3.16)), (3.18)

$$= z^m T(z), \text{ (say)}, (0 < |z| < 1, 1 < |z| < 1/r'),$$
(3.19)

with

$$T(0) = \frac{(n-m)\overline{a_m}k^m}{na_nk^n}, \text{ (by (3.19))},$$

= d, (say), (3.20)

$$T(z) = f(z)/z^m, (0 < |z| < 1, 1 < |z| < 1/r'),$$
(3.21)

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= lt_{\zeta \to z} T(\zeta), \ |z| = 1, (\text{by using (3.21) and (3.17)}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.22} \\ |T(z)| &\leq 1, \ |z| \leq 1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \text{as } T(z) \text{ is analytic in } |z| < 1, \text{ by (3.15), (3.19), (3.18)} \\ \text{and (3.20), and } T(z) \text{ is continuous in } |z| \leq 1, \text{ by (3.21),} \\ (3.22) \text{ and the fact that } T(z) \text{ is analytic in } |z| < 1 \\ \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and on applying Lemma 2 to T(z) we get

$$|T(z)| \leq \frac{|z| + |d|}{1 + |z||d|}, \ |z| < 1,$$

which, by (3.21), implies that

$$|f(z)| \le |z|^m \frac{|z| + |d|}{1 + |z||d|}, \ 0 < |z| < 1$$

and therefore

$$|f(z)| \le |z|^m \frac{|z| + |d|}{1 + |z||d|}, \ |z| < 1,$$

as well as

$$|f(z)| \le |z|^m \frac{|z| + |d|}{1 + |z||d|}, \ |z| \le 1, \ (by \ (3.10)),$$

i.e.

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{n|a_n|k^n r^{m+1} + (n-m)|a_m|k^m r^m}{n|a_n|k^n + (n-m)|a_m|k^m r}, \ r \leq 1,$$

thereby giving, (on repeating steps from (3.11) to (3.14), (of, Proof of Theorem 1))

$$M(p',1) \ge n \frac{n|a_n|k^{n+1} + (n-m)|a_m|k^m}{n|a_n|(k^{2n-m} + k^{n+1}) + (n-m)|a_m|(k^{n+1} + k^m)} M(p,1),$$
(3.23)

a generalization of Theorem 1. (Please note that (3.23) is trivially true for m = n also, thereby suggesting that (3.23) is true for the polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{j=m}^{n} a_j z^j$, $(0 \le m \le n)$, (of degree n), having all its zeros in $|z| \le k$, $(k \ge 1)$).

Proof of Theorem 2. Using Lemma 4 we get for $2 \le s \le n$

$$|q^{(s)}(z)| + \sum_{t=1}^{s-1} {s \choose t} ((n-t)(n-t-1)\dots(n-s+1)) |p^{(t)}(z)| + {s \choose s} |p^{(s)}(z)|$$

$$\geq (n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)) |p(z)|, \quad |z| = 1,$$

which implies

$$M(q^{(s)},1) + \sum_{t=1}^{s-1} {s \choose t} ((n-t)(n-t-1)\dots(n-s+1)) M(p^{(t)},1) + {s \choose s} M(p^{(s)},1)$$

$$\geq (n(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)) M(p,1).$$
(3.24)

Now by combining (3.24) with Lemma 5 and Lemma 7 we get for $2 \le s \le n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} k^n & + & \sum_{t=1}^{s-1} {s \choose t} \{ (1+k^{n-t})(1+k^{n-t-1}) \dots (1+k^{n-s+1}) \} + {s \choose s} \end{bmatrix} M(p^{(s)}, 1) \\ \geq & (n(n-1) \dots (n-s+1)) M(p, 1)$$

and Theorem 2 follows.

References

- S. N. BERNSTEIN, Sur la limitation des derivees des polynômes, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 190 (1930), 338–340.
- [2] N. K. GOVIL, On the derivative of a polynomial, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1973), 543-546.
- [3] M. A. MALIK, M. C. VONG, Inequalities concerning the derivative of polynomials, *Rendi. Cir. Mat. Palermo Serie II* 34 (1985), 422–426.

- [4] G. POLYA, G. SZEGÖ, Problems and Theorems in analysis, Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1972.
- [5] E. C. TITCHMARSH, *The theory of functions*, The English Language Book Society and Oxford University Press, London, 1962.

Received: 14.09.2013 Revised: 21.06.2014 Accepted: 25.07.2014

> Mathematics Department, I.I.T., Kharagpur - 721302, India E-mail: vinayjain.kgp@gmail.com