Three generated, squarefree, monomial ideals

 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{by} \\ \text{Dorin Popescu}^{(1)} \text{ and Andrei Zarojanu}^{(2)} \end{array}$

- Dedicated to Bazil Brînzănescu in honour of his 70th birthday -

Abstract

Let $I\supsetneq J$ be two squarefree monomial ideals of a polynomial algebra over a field generated in degree $\ge d$, resp. $\ge d+1$. Suppose that I is generated by three monomials of degrees d. If the Stanley depth of I/J is $\le d+1$ then the usual depth of I/J is $\le d+1$ too.

Key Words: Monomial Ideals, Depth, Stanley depth. **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification**: Primary 13C15, Secondary 13F20, 13F55, 13P10.

1 Introduction

Let $S = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a polynomial ring over a field K. Let $I \supseteq J$ be two squarefree monomial ideals of S and $u \in I \setminus J$ a monomial in I/J. For $Z \subset \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ with $(J : u) \cap K[Z] = 0$, let uK[Z] be the linear K-subspace of I/J generated by the elements uf, $f \in K[Z]$. A presentation of I/J as a finite direct sum of such spaces $\mathcal{D} : I/J = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_i K[Z_i]$ is called a $Stanley\ decomposition$ of I/J. Set $Stanley\ decomposition$ of I/J. Set $Stanley\ decomposition$ and

sdepth $I/J := \max\{\text{sdepth } (\mathcal{D}): \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } I/J\}.$

Stanley's Conjecture says that the Stanley depth sdepth_S $I/J \ge \operatorname{depth}_S I/J$. The Stanley depth of I/J is a combinatorial invariant and does not depend on the characteristic of the field K. If J=0 then this conjecture holds for $n \le 5$ by [12], or when I is an intersection of four monomial prime ideals by [11], [13], or an intersection of three monomial primary ideals by [23], or a monomial almost complete intersection by [3]. The Stanley depth and the Stanley's Conjecture are similarly given when I, J are not squarefree. In the non squarefree monomial ideals a useful inequality is sdepth $I \le \operatorname{sdepth} \sqrt{I}$ (see [8, Theorem 2.1]).

Suppose that I is generated by squarefree monomials of degrees $\geq d$ for some positive integer d. We may assume either that J=0, or J is generated in degrees $\geq d+1$ after a multigraded isomorphism. We have $\operatorname{depth}_S I \geq d$ by [5, Proposition 3.1] and it follows $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \geq d$ (see [15, Lemma 1.1]). Depth of I/J is a homological invariant and depends on the characteristic of the field K. The Stanley decompositions of S/J corresponds bijectively to partitions into intervals of the simplicial complex whose Stanley-Reisner ring is S/J. If Stanley's Conjecture holds then the simplicial complexes are partitionable (see [4]). Using this idea an equivalent definition of Stanley's depth of I/J was given in [5].

Let $P_{I \setminus J}$ be the poset of all squarefree monomials of $I \setminus J$ with the order given by the divisibility. Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of $P_{I \setminus J}$ in intervals $[u, v] = \{w \in P_{I \setminus J} : u | w, w | v\}$, let us say $P_{I \setminus J} = \cup_i [u_i, v_i]$, the union being disjoint. Define sdepth $\mathcal{P} = \min_i \deg v_i$. Then sdepth_S $I/J = \max_{\mathcal{P}} \operatorname{sdepth} \mathcal{P}$, where \mathcal{P} runs in the set of all partitions of $P_{I \setminus J}$ (see [5], [21]). For more than thirty years the Stanley Conjecture was a dream for many people working in combinatorics and commutative algebra. Many people believe that this conjecture holds and tried to prove directly some of its consequences. For example in this way a lower bound of depth given by Lyubeznik [10] was extended by Herzog at al. [6] for sdepth.

Some numerical upper bounds of sdepth give also upper bounds of depth, which are independent of char K. More precisely, write $\rho_j(I \setminus J)$ for the number of all squarefree monomials of degrees j in $I \setminus J$.

Theorem 1.1. (Popescu [16, Theorem 1.3]) Assume that $\operatorname{depth}_S(I/J) \geq t$, where t is an integer such that $d \leq t < n$. If $\rho_{t+1}(I \setminus J) < \alpha_t := \sum_{i=0}^{t-d} (-1)^{t-d+i} \rho_{d+i}(I \setminus J)$, then $\operatorname{depth}_S(I/J) = t$ independently of the characteristic of K.

The proof uses Koszul homology and is not very short. An extension is given below.

Theorem 1.2. (Shen [20, Theorem 2.4]) Assume that $\operatorname{depth}_S(I/J) \geq t$, where t is an integer such that $d \leq t < n$. If for some k with $d+1 \leq k \leq t+1$ it holds $\rho_k(I \setminus J) < \sum_{j=d}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-j+1} {t+1-j \choose k-j} \rho_j(I \setminus J)$, then $\operatorname{depth}_S(I/J) = t$ independently of the characteristic of K.

Shen's proof is very short, based on a strong tool, namely the Hilbert depth considered by Bruns-Krattenhaler-Uliczka [2] (see also [22], [7]). Thus it is important to have the right tool.

Let r be the number of the squarefree monomials of degrees d of I and B (resp. C) be the set of the squarefree monomials of degrees d+1 (resp. d+2) of $I\setminus J$. Set $s=|B|,\ q=|C|$. If r>s then Theorem 1.1 says that $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J=d$, namely the minimum possible. This was done previously in [15] (the idea started in [14]). Moreover, Theorem 1.1 together with Hall's marriage theorem for bipartite graphs gives the following:

Theorem 1.3. (Popescu [15, Theorem 4.3]) If sdepth_S I/J = d then depth_S I/J = d, that is Stanley's Conjecture holds in this case.

The purpose of our paper is to study the next step in proving Stanley's Conjecture namely the following weaker conjecture.

Conjecture 1.4. Suppose that $I \subset S$ is minimally generated by some squarefree monomials f_1, \ldots, f_k of degrees d, and a set H of squarefree monomials of degrees $d \in A$. Assume that sdepth $d \in A$. Then depth $d \in A$ is $d \in A$.

The following theorem is a partial answer.

Theorem 1.5. The above conjecture holds in each of the following two cases:

```
1. k = 1,
```

2.
$$1 < k < 3, H = \emptyset$$
.

When k = 1 and $s \neq q + 1$ the result was stated in [17] and [18]. The theorem follows from Proposition 3.1 and Theorems 2.3, 3.4.

We owe thanks to the Referee, who noticed some mistakes in a previous version of this paper, especially in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

2 Cases r=1 and d=1

Let $I \supseteq J$ be two squarefree monomial ideals of S. We assume that I is generated by squarefree monomials of degrees $\ge d$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. We may suppose that either J = 0, or is generated by some squarefree monomials of degrees $\ge d+1$. As above B (resp. C) denotes the set of the squarefree monomials of degrees d+1 (resp. d+2) of $I \setminus J$.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $I \subset S$ is minimally generated by some square free monomials $\{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$ of degrees d, and a set E of square free monomials of degrees $g \in d+1$. Assume that $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I/J \subseteq d+1$ and the above Conjecture 1.4 holds for k < r and for k = r, |H| < |E| if $E \neq \emptyset$. If either $C \not\subset (f_2, \ldots, f_r, E)$, or $C \not\subset (f_1, \ldots, f_r, E \setminus \{a\})$ for some $a \in E$ then $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$.

Proof: Let $c \in (C \setminus (f_2, \dots, f_r, E))$. Then $c \in (f_1)$, let us say $c = f_1 x_t x_p$. Set $I' = (f_2, \dots, f_r, E, B \setminus \{f_1 x_t, f_1 x_p\})$, $J' = I' \cap J$. In the following exact sequence

$$0 \to I'/J' \to I/J \to I/(J+I') \to 0$$

the last term is isomorphic with $(f_1)/(J+I')\cap (f_1)$ and has depth and sdepth $\geq d+2$ because $c \notin (J+I')$ (here it is enough that depth $\geq d+1$, which is easier to see). By [19, Lemma 2.2] we get sdepth_S $I'/J' \leq d+1$. It follows that depth_S $I'/J' \leq d+1$ by hypothesis and so the Depth Lemma gives depth_S $I/J \leq d+1$.

Now, let $I'' = (f_1, \ldots, f_r, E \setminus \{a\})$ for some $a \in E$ and $c \in C \setminus I''$. In the following exact sequence

$$0 \to I''/I'' \cap J \to I/J \to I/(J+I'') \to 0$$

the last term is isomorphic with $(a)/(a) \cap (J+I'')$ and has depth and sdepth $\geq d+2$ because $c \notin J+I''$ and as above we get depth_S $I/J \leq d+1$.

The following lemma could be seen somehow as a consequence of [17, Theorem 1.10], but we give here an easy direct proof.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that r = 1, let us say I = (f) and $E = \emptyset$. If sdepth_S I/J = d+1, $d = \deg f$ then $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \le d+1$.

Proof: First assume that d > 0. Note that $I/J \cong S/(J:f)$. We have $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I/J = \operatorname{sdepth}_S S/(J:f)$ and $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J = \operatorname{depth}_S S/(J:f)$. It is enough to treat the case d=1. We may assume that $x_1|f$ and using [5, Lemma 3.6] after skipping the variables of f/x_1 we may reduce our problem to the case d=1.

Therefore we may assume that d = 1. If $C = \emptyset$ then $x_1 x_t x_k \in J$ for all $1 < t < k \le n$ and so $(J : x_1)$ contains all squarefree monomials of degree two in $x_t, t > 1$, that is the annihilator of the element induced by x_1 in I/J has dimension ≤ 2 . It follows that depth_S $I/J \le 2$.

If let us say $c = x_1 x_2 x_3 \in C$ then in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (B \setminus \{x_1x_2, x_1x_3\})/J \cap (B \setminus \{x_1x_2, x_1x_3\}) \rightarrow I/J \rightarrow I/J + (B \setminus \{x_1x_2, x_1x_3\}) \rightarrow 0$$

the last term is isomorphic with $(x_1)/(J, (B \setminus \{x_1x_2, x_1x_3\}))$ and it has depth ≥ 2 and sdepth 3 because it has just the interval $[x_1, c]$. The first term is not zero since otherwise sdepth_S I/J = 3, which is false. Then the first term has sdepth ≤ 2 by [19, Lemma 2.2] and so it has depth ≤ 2 by [15, Theorem 4.3]. Now it is enough to apply the Depth Lemma.

Now assume that d=0, that is I=S. Set $S'=S[x_{n+1}]$, $I'=(x_{n+1})$, $J'=x_{n+1}J$. We have $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}I'/J'=\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}S'/JS'=1+\operatorname{sdepth}_{S}S/J=2$ using [5, Proposition 3.6]. From above we get $\operatorname{depth}_{S'}I'/J'\leq 2$ and it follows $\operatorname{depth}_{S}I/J\leq 1$.

The following theorem extends the above lemma and [18], its proof is given in the last section.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $I \subset S$ is minimally generated by a squarefree monomial $\{f\}$, of degree d and a set $E \neq \emptyset$ of monomials of degrees d+1. Assume that $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I/J \leq d+1$. Then $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $I = (x_1, x_2)$, $E = \emptyset$. If sdepth_S I/J = 2 then depth_S $I/J \le 2$.

Proof: By [17, Proposition 1.3] we may suppose that $C \not\subset (x_2)$. Then apply Lemma 2.1, its hypothesis is given by Theorem 2.3.

We need the following lemma, its proof is given in Section 4.

Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $I \subset S$ is minimally generated by some squarefree monomials $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ of degree d and that sdepth I/J = d+1. If there exists $c \in C \cap ((f_3) \setminus (f_1, f_2))$ then $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$.

Proposition 2.6. Suppose that $I = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, $E = \emptyset$. If sdepth_S I/J = 2 then depth_S $I/J \le 2$.

Proof: By [17, Proposition 1.3] we may suppose that $C \not\subset (x_1, x_2)$. Then we may apply Lemma 2.5.

Remark 2.7. When J=0 the above proposition follows quickly from [1] (see also [5]).

3 Case r, d > 1

Proposition 3.1. Suppose that $I \subset S$ is generated by two squarefree monomials $\{f_1, f_2\}$ of degrees d. Assume that $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I/J \leq d+1$. Then $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$.

Proof: We may suppose that I is minimally generated by f_1, f_2 because otherwise apply the Theorem 2.3. Let w be the least common multiple of f_1, f_2 . First suppose that $C \not\subset (w)$. This is the case when $w \in J$, or $\deg w > d+2$, or $w \in C$ and q>1. Then it is enough to apply Lemma 2.1, the case r=1 being done in the Theorem 2.3. If q=1 then r>q and by [20, Corollary 2.6] (see also Theorem 1.2) we get $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$. Assume that $w \in B$. After renumbering the variables x_i we may suppose that $C=\{wx_i: 1\leq i\leq q\}$ and so in B we have at least the elements of the form $w, f_1x_i, f_2x_i, 1\leq i\leq q$. Thus $s\geq 2q+1>q+2$ when q>1 and by [16, Theorem 1.3] (see Theorem 1.1) we are done.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $I \subset S$ is generated by three squarefree monomials $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ of degrees d, sdepth_S I/J = d + 1 and let w_{ij} be the least common multiple of f_i, f_j , $1 \le i < j \le 3$. If $w_{12}, w_{13}, w_{23} \in B$ and are different then depth_S $I/J \le d + 1$.

Proof: After renumbering the variables x_i we may assume that $f_1 = x_1 \cdots x_d$ and $f_2 = x_1 \cdots x_{d-1} x_{d+1}$. We see that f_3 must have d-1 variables in common with f_1 and also with f_2 . If $f_3 \notin (x_1...x_{d-1})$ then we may suppose that $f_3 = x_2...x_d x_{d+1}$ and $w_{12} = w_{13}$, which is false. It remains that $f_3 \in (x_1 \cdots x_{d-1})$ so $f_3 = x_1 \cdots x_{d-1} x_{d+2}$. But this case may be reduced to d=1 which is done in Proposition 2.6.

Lemma 3.3. If $C \subset (w_{12}, w_{13}, w_{23})$ and sdepth_S $I/J \leq d+1$ then depth_S $I/J \leq d+1$.

Proof: Note that if q < r = 3 then $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \le d + 1$ by [20, Corollary 2.6] (see here Theorem 1.2). Suppose that q > 2.

Now assume that all $w_{ij} \in B$. Set $C_{ij} = C \cap (w_{ij})$, $q_{ij} = |C_{ij}|$ and B_{ij} the set of all $b \in B$ which divide some $c \in C_{ij}$. If all w_{ij} are equal, let us say $w_{ij} = w$, then after renumbering the variables x_i the monomials of C have the form wx_t , $1 \le t \le q$. Thus B contains w and f_jx_t for $j \in [3]$ and $t \in [q]$. It follows that $s \ge 3q + 1 > q + 3$ for q > 1 and so depth_S $I/J \le d + 1$ by [16, Theorem 1.3]. Then we may suppose that all w_{ij} are different and we may apply Lemma 3.2.

Next assume that $w_{12}, w_{13} \in B$ and $w_{23} \in C$. As above we can assume that $f_2 = x_1 \cdots x_d$, $f_3 = x_3 \cdots x_{d+2}$ and $f_1 = x_2 \cdots x_{d+1}$. We have $C \subset C_{12} \cap C_{13}$, and $q = q_{12} + q_{13} - 1$ because $w_{23} \in C_{12} \cap C_{13}$. As in the case of r = 2 we have $|B_{12}| = 2q_{12} + 1$ and $|B_{13} \setminus B_{12}| \ge 2q_{13} - \min\{q_{12}, q_{13}\}$. It follows that $s \ge 2q + 4 - \min\{q_{12}, q_{13}\} > q + 3$, which implies depth_S $I/J \le d + 1$ by [16, Theorem 1.3]. Note that if $w_{23} \in J$, or deg $w_{23} > d + 2$ then $q = q_{12} + q_{13}$ and we get in the same way that

 $s \ge 2q + 2 - \min\{q_{12}, q_{13}\} \ge q + 3$. Thus depth_S $I/J \le d + 1$ unless $q_{12} = q_{13} = 1$. The last case is false because q > 2.

Suppose that all w_{ij} are different, $w_{12} \in B$ and $w_{23}, w_{13} \in C$. We may assume that $f_2 = x_1 \cdots x_d$, $f_3 = x_3 \cdots x_{d+2}$ and $f_1 = x_2 \cdots x_d \cdot x_{d+3}$. We have $q = q_{12} + 2$, $B_{12} \cap B_{13} \subset \{x_{d+1}f_1, x_{d+2}f_1\}$ and so $|B_{13} \setminus B_{12}| \ge 2$. Also note that $B_{23} \cap (B_{12} \cup B_{13}) \subset \{x_{d+1}f_2, x_{d+2}f_2, x_2f_3\}$ and so $|B_{23} \setminus (B_{12} \cup B_{13})| \ge 1$. It follows that $s \ge 2q_{12} + 1 + 2 + 1 = 2q$. If q > 3 we get s > q + 3 and so depth_S $I/J \le d + 1$ by [16]. If q = 3 then $q_{12} = 1$ and so $B_{12} = \{w_{12}, x_tf_1, x_tf_2\}$ for some $x_t \not|f_1, x_t \not|f_2$. If t = d + 1 or t = d + 2 then we see that $|B_{13} \setminus B_{12}| \ge 3$ and so s > 6 = r + q, which is enough. If t > d + 3 then s is even bigger than 7. If let us say $w_{23} \in J$, or $\deg w_{23} > d + 2$ then $q = q_{12} + 1$ and as above $s \ge 2q_{12} + 1 + 2 = 2q + 1 > q + 3$ because $q \ge 3$, which is again enough. If also $w_{13} \in J$, or $\deg w_{13} > d + 2$ then $q = q_{12}$ and as above $s \ge 2q_{12} + 1 = 2q + 1 > q + 3$ because $q \ge 3$.

Suppose that $w_{12} \in B$ and $w_{23} = w_{13} \in C$. We may assume that $f_2 = x_1 \cdots x_d$, $f_3 = x_3 \cdots x_{d+2}$ and $f_1 = x_1 \cdots x_{d-1} \cdot x_{d+2}$. We have $q = q_{12}$ and $B_{12} \supset B_{13}$. Thus $s \ge 2q_{12} + 1 = 2q + 1 > q + 3$ and so again depth_S $I/J \le d + 1$.

Finally if all w_{ij} are in C (they must be different, otherwise $q \leq 2$ which is false) then q = 3, $q_{ij} = 1$ and we get $s \geq 12 > q + 3$ which is again enough.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that $I \subset S$ is generated by three squarefree monomials $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ of degrees d, and sdepth_S I/J = d + 1. Then depth_S $I/J \leq d + 1$.

Proof: We may suppose that I is minimally generated by f_1, f_2, f_3 because otherwise apply Proposition 3.1. If $C \not\subset (w_{12}, w_{13}, w_{23})$ then apply Lemma 2.5. Thus we may suppose that $C \subset (w_{12}, w_{13}, w_{23})$ and we may apply Lemma 3.3.

4 Proof of Lemma 2.5

Let $c = f_3 x_{i_3} x_{j_3}$ and set $I' = (f_1, f_2, B \setminus \{f_3 x_{i_3}, f_3 x_{j_3}\}), J' = I' \cap J$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \to I'/J' \to I/J \to I/(I'+J) \to 0.$$

The last term has sdepth =d+2 so by [19, Lemma 2.2] we get that the first term has sdepth $\leq d+1$. If depth $I'/J' \leq d+1$ then by Depth Lemma we are done. It is **enough to show that** sdepth_S I'/J' = d+1 **implies** depth_S $I'/J' \leq d+1$, or directly depth_S $I/J \leq d+1$. Note that if sdepth_S I'/J' = d then depth_S I'/J' = d by Theorem 1.3. Let B', C', E' be similar to B, C, E in the case of I'/J'.

We see that $E' \subset (f_3)$. We may suppose that $C' \subset ((f_1) \cap (f_2)) \cup (E')$ and $E' \neq \emptyset$, otherwise apply Lemma 2.1 with the help of Theorem 2.3.

Set $I'_E = (f_1, f_2), J'_E = I'_E \cap J'$ and for all $i \in [n] \setminus \text{supp } f_1$ such that $f_1 x_i \in B' \setminus (f_2)$ set $I'_i = (f_2, B \setminus \{f_1 x_i\}), J'_i = I'_i \cap J'$. We may suppose that sdepth_S $I'_E / J'_E \ge d + 2$ and sdepth_S $I'_i / J'_i \ge d + 2$. Indeed, otherwise one of the left terms from the following exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow I_E'/J_E' \rightarrow I'/J' \rightarrow I'/I_E' + J' \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \to I_i'/J_i' \to I'/J' \to I'/I_i' + J' \to 0,$$

have depth $\leq d+1$ by Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 2.3. With the Depth Lemma we get $\operatorname{depth}_S I'/J' \leq d+1$ since the right terms above have depth $\geq d+1$. Let \mathcal{P}_E , \mathcal{P}_i be partitions of I'_E/J'_E , I'_i/J'_i with sdepth d+2. We may choose \mathcal{P}_E and \mathcal{P}_i such that each interval starting with a squarefree monomial of degree $\leq d+1$ ends with a monomial from C'.

Our goal is mainly to reduce our problem to the case when $w_{13}, w_{12} \in B' \cup C'$.

Case 1 $C' \not\subset (f_1, f_3) \cap (f_2, f_3)$

Let for example $c = f_1 x_u x_v \in C' \setminus (f_2, f_3)$, set $I'' = (f_2, B' \setminus \{f_1 x_u, f_1 x_v\}), J'' = I'' \cap J'$ and consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \to I''/J'' \to I'/J' \to I'/(I'' + J') \to 0.$$

The last term has sdepth d+2 so by [19, Lemma 2.2] we see that the first term has sdepth $\leq d+1$. Using Theorem 2.3 we have depth_S $I''/J'' \leq d+1$ and then by the Depth lemma we get depth_S $I'/J' \leq d+1$ ending Case 1.

Let $f_1 = x_1...x_d$, in \mathcal{P}_E we have the intervals $[f_1, c_1], [f_2, c_2]$ and so at least one of c_1, c_2 , let us say $c_1 = f_1x_ix_j$, is not a multiple of w_{12} . In \mathcal{P}_i we have the interval $[b, c_1]$ for some $b \in E'$, otherwise replacing the interval $[f_1x_j, c_1]$ or the interval $[c_1, c_1]$ with the interval $[f_1, c_1]$ we get a partition \mathcal{P} for I'/J' with sdepth = d + 2.

Case 2 There exists $t \in [n]$, $t \notin \text{supp } f_1 \cup \{i\}$ such that \mathcal{P}_i contains the interval $[f_1x_t, f_1x_tx_i]$, or $[f_1x_tx_i, f_1x_tx_i]$.

In this case changing in \mathcal{P}_i the hinted interval with $[f_1, f_1x_tx_i]$ we get a partition of I'/J' with sdepth $\geq d+2$ which is false.

As we have seen above we may suppose that in \mathcal{P}_i there exists an interval $[b, c_1]$ with $c_1 \in (f_1) \cap (E') \subset (w_{13})$. It follows that $w_{13} \in B' \cup C'$. We may assume that if $w_{13} \in B'$ then $x_i \not| w_{13}$, otherwise change i by j. Thus $c_1 = x_i w_{13}$ or $c_1 = w_{13}$. If $C' \cap (f_1 x_i) = \{c_1\}$ then in \mathcal{P}_j we have the interval $[f_1 x_i, c_1]$, that is we are in Case 2. Then there exists another monomial $c' \in C' \cap (f_1 x_i)$. We may suppose that [c', c'] is not in \mathcal{P}_i , because otherwise we are in Case 2. If we have [u, c'] in \mathcal{P}_i for some $u \in E'$ then $c' \in (w_{13})$ and so $c' = c_1$ if $w_{13} \in C'$, otherwise $c' = x_i w_{13} = c_1$ because $x_i \not| w_{13}$. Contradiction! Then in \mathcal{P}_i we have the interval $[f_2, c']$ or the interval $[f_2 x_k, c']$ for some k. Thus $c' \in (w_{12})$ and so $w_{12} \in B' \cup C'$. Note that $w_{12} \neq w_{13}$ because $c_1 \in (w_{13}) \setminus (f_2)$.

Case 3 $w_{12}, w_{13} \in C'$.

In this case $c_1 = w_{13}$, $c' = w_{12}$ and so $f_2, f_3 \in (x_i)$. Then in \mathcal{P}_i we have the interval $[f_1x_j, f_1x_jx_u], u \neq i$ and $f_1x_jx_u \notin (f_2, f_3)$ because $f_1x_jx_u \notin (x_i)$, that is we are in Case 1.

Case 4 $w_{12} \in B', w_{13} \in C'$.

Thus $c_1 = w_{13}$. We may assume that $w_{12} = x_1...x_{d+1}$, $f_2 = x_2...x_{d+1}$, $i \neq d+1 \neq j$ and $c' = x_1...x_{d+1}x_i$. We also see that $f_3 \in (x_ix_j)$ because $c_1 = w_{13}$. In \mathcal{P}_i we have the interval $[f_1x_j, f_1x_jx_u]$, $u \neq i$. If $u \neq d+1$ then $f_1x_jx_u \notin (f_2, f_3)$, that is we are in Case 1. Otherwise u = d+1, and so $x_jw_{12} \in C'$, in particular $f_2x_j \in B'$. We see that in \mathcal{P}_i we can have either $w_{12} \in [f_2, c']$ or there exists an interval $[w_{12}, w_{12}x_k]$. If k = j then $w_{12}x_k$ is the end of the interval starting with f_1x_j , which is false. If k = i then we are in Case 2. Thus $i \neq k \neq j$.

When in \mathcal{P}_i there exists the interval $[w_{12}, w_{12}x_k]$ then there exists also the interval $[f_1x_k, f_1x_kx_t]$. If $f_1x_kx_t \in (f_2)$ then t = d+1 and so $f_1x_kx_t = x_kw_{12}$ which is not possible because x_kw_{12} is in $[w_{12}, x_kw_{12}]$. If $f_1x_kx_t \in (f_3)$ then $\{k, t\} = \{i, j\}$ which is not possible since $k \notin \{i, j\}$. Then $f_1x_kx_t \notin (f_2, f_3)$, that is we are in Case 1. It remains the case when w_{12} is in the interval $[f_2, c']$. In \mathcal{P}_i we have an interval $[f_2x_j, f_2x_lx_j]$ for some l. If $f_2x_jx_l \in (f_1)$ then l = 1 and so $f_2x_jx_l = x_jw_{12}$ which is already the end of the interval starting with f_1x_j . Contradiction! Thus $f_2x_lx_j \in (f_3)$, otherwise we are in Case 1. We get l = i and changing $[f_2, c'], [f_2x_j, f_2x_ix_j]$ with $[f_2, f_2x_ix_j], [w_{12}, c']$ we arrive in Case 2.

Case 5 $w_{12} \in C', w_{13} \in B'$.

Thus we may assume that $w_{12}=c'=x_1...x_{d+1}x_i, f_2=x_3...x_{d+1}x_i$. As $c_1 \in (w_{13})$ we have $w_{13} \in \{f_1x_i, f_1x_j\}$. If $w_{13}=f_1x_i$ then in \mathcal{P}_i we have an interval $[f_1x_j, f_1x_jx_u]$. If $f_1x_jx_u \in (f_2)$ then u=i. Also if $f_1x_jx_u \in (f_3)$ we get $f_1x_jx_u \in (w_{13})$ and we get again u=i, that is we are in Case 2. Thus $f_1x_jx_u \notin (f_2, f_3)$ and we arrive in Case 1.

Then, we may suppose that $w_{13} = f_1x_j$. Since $f_1x_{d+1}|c'$ we see that $f_1x_{d+1} \in B'$. In \mathcal{P}_i we can have the interval $[f_1x_{d+1}, f_1x_{d+1}x_m]$. If $f_2x_{d+1}x_m \in (f_2)$ then m = i, that is we are in Case 2. Then $f_2x_{d+1}x_m \in (f_3)$ because otherwise we are in Case 1. It follows that m = j and we have $[f_1x_{d+1}, f_1x_{d+1}x_j]$ in \mathcal{P}_i . Then the interval $[f_1x_j, f_1x_jx_p]$ existing in \mathcal{P}_i has $p \neq j$ and also $p \neq i$

because otherwise we are in Case 2. Thus we must also have an interval $[f_1x_p, f_1x_px_k]$ with $k \neq j$ and also $k \neq i$, otherwise we are in Case 2. Then $f_1x_px_k \notin (f_2, f_3)$, that is we are in Case 1.

Case 6 $w_{12}, w_{13} \in B'$.

We may assume that $w_{12}=x_1...x_{d+1}, f_2=x_2...x_{d+1}$ and $c'=x_1...x_{d+1}x_i$. If $w_{23}\in B'$ then all w_{ij} are different and by Lemma 3.2 we get $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$. Thus we may suppose that $w_{23}\in C'$. We may choose $f_3=x_1x_3...x_dx_i$ or $f_3=x_1x_3...x_dx_j$. If $f_3=x_1x_3...x_dx_i$ then in \mathcal{P}_i we have as above the interval $[f_1x_j,f_1x_{d+1}x_j]$. Indeed, if we have $[f_1x_j,f_1x_mx_j]$ then $f_1x_mx_j\not\in (f_3)$ and so $f_1x_mx_j\in (f_2)$, otherwise we are in Case 1. It follows m=d+1. As $f_2x_j|x_jw_{12}=f_1x_{d+1}x_j$ we get $f_2x_j\in B'$. Let $[f_2,f_2x_jx_k]$ or $[f_2x_j,f_2x_jx_k]$ be the existing interval of \mathcal{P}_i containing f_2x_j . Note that $f_2x_jx_k\not\in (f_3)$ and if $f_2x_jx_k\in (f_1)$ then $f_2x_jx_k=x_jw_{12}$ which appeared already in the previous interval. Thus $f_2x_jx_k\notin (f_1,f_3)$, that is we are in Case 1.

It remains that $f_3 = x_1x_3...x_dx_j$ and, as before, we have in \mathcal{P}_i the interval $[f_1x_j, f_1x_{d+1}x_j]$. We see then $f_2x_j \in B'$ and we must have also an interval $[f_2, f_2x_jx_k]$ or $[f_2x_j, f_2x_jx_k]$. If $f_2x_jx_k \in (f_1) \cup (f_3)$ then we get k = 1 and so $f_2x_jx_k = x_jw_{12}$ which appeared in the previous interval. It follows that $f_2x_jx_k \notin (f_1, f_3)$, that is we are in Case 1.

5 Proof of Theorem 2.3

Suppose that $E \neq \emptyset$ and $s \leq q+1$. We may assume that $|B \setminus E| \geq 2$ because otherwise $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$ since the element induced by f in I/J is annihilated by all variables but one and those from $\operatorname{supp} f$. For $b = fx_i \in B$ set $I_b = (B \setminus \{b\})$, $J_b = J \cap I_b$. If $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I_b/J_b \geq d+2$ then let \mathcal{P}_b be a partition on I_b/J_b with sdepth d+2. We may choose \mathcal{P}_b such that each interval starting with a squarefree monomial of degree d, d+1 ends with a monomial of C. In \mathcal{P}_b we have some intervals for all $b' \in B \setminus \{b\}$ an interval $[b', c_{b'}]$. We define $h: B \setminus \{b\} \to C$ by $b' \to c_{b'}$. Then h is an injection and $|\operatorname{Im} h| = s - 1 \leq q$ (if s = 1 + q then h is a bijection). We may suppose that all intervals of \mathcal{P}_b starting with a monomial v of degree $\geq d+2$ have the form [v, v].

Lemma 5.1. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- 1. $s \leq q + 1$,
- 2. sdepth_S $I_b/J_b \ge d+2$, for $a \ b \in B \cap (f)$,
- 3. $C \subset ((f) \cap (E)) \cup (\cup_{a,a' \in E, a \neq a'} (a) \cap (a'))$.

Then either sdepth_S $I/J \ge d+2$, or there exists a nonzero ideal $I' \subsetneq I$ generated by a subset of $\{f\} \cup B$ such that sdepth_S $I'/J' \le d+1$ for $J' = J \cap I'$ and depth_S $I/(J,I') \ge d+1$.

Proof: Consider h as above for a partition \mathcal{P}_b with sdepth d+2 of I_b/J_b which exists by (2). A sequence a_1,\ldots,a_k is called a path from a_1 to a_k if $a_i \in B \setminus \{b\}$, $i \in [k]$, $a_i \neq a_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, $a_{i+1}|h(a_i)$ for $1 \leq i < k$, and $h(a_i) \notin (b)$ for $1 \leq i < k$. This path is bad if $h(a_k) \in (b)$ and it is maximal if all divisors from B of $h(a_k)$ are in $\{b, a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$. If $a = a_1$ we say that the above path starts with a. Since $|B \setminus E| \geq 2$ there exists $a_1 \in B \setminus \{b\}$. Set $c_1 = h(a_1)$. If $c_1 \in (b)$ then the path $\{a_1\}$ is maximal and bad. By recurrence choose if possible a_{p+1} to be a divisor from B of c_p which is not in $\{b, a_1, \ldots, a_p\}$ and set $c_p = h(a_p)$, $p \geq 1$. This construction ends at step p = e if all divisors from B of c_{e-1} are in $\{b, a_1, \ldots, a_{e-1}\}$. If $c_i \notin (b)$ for $1 \leq i < e-1$ then $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{e-1}\}$ is a maximal path. If $c_{e-1} \in (b)$ then this path is also bad. We have two cases:

- 1) there exist no maximal bad path starting with a_1 ,
- 2) there exists a maximal bad path starting with a_1 .

In the first case, set $T_1 = \{b' \in B : \text{there exists a path } a_1, \ldots, a_k \text{ with } a_k = b'\}$, $G_1 = B \setminus T_1$ and $I'_1 = (f, G_1), I''_1 = (G_1), J'_1 = I'_1 \cap J, J''_1 = I''_1 \cap J$. Note that $I''_1 \neq 0$ because $b \in I''_1$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \to I_1'/J_1' \to I/J \to I/(J, I_1') \to 0.$$

If $T_1 \cap (f) = \emptyset$ then the last term has depth $\geq d+1$ and sdepth $\geq d+2$ using the restriction of \mathcal{P}_b since $h(b') \notin I'_1$, for all $b' \in T_1$. If the first term has sdepth $\geq d+2$ then by [19, Lemma 2.2] the middle term has sdepth $\geq d+2$. Otherwise, the first term has sdepth $\leq d+1$ and we may take $I' = I'_1$.

If let us say $a \in (f)$ for some $a \in T_1$ then in the following exact sequence

$$0 \to I_1''/J_1'' \to I/J \to I/(J, I_1'') \to 0$$

the last term has sdepth $\geq d+2$ and depth $\geq d+1$ since $h(a) \notin I_1''$ and we may substitute the interval [a,h(a)] from the restriction of \mathcal{P}_b to (T_1) by [f,h(a)], the second monomial from $[f,h(a)]\cap B$ being also in T_1 . As above we get either sdepth_S I/J=d+2, or sdepth_S $I_1''/J_1''\leq d+1$, depth_S $I/(J,I_1'')\geq d+1$.

In the second case, let a_1,\ldots,a_{t_1} be a maximal bad path starting with a_1 . Set $c_j=h(a_j),$ $j\in[t_1]$. Then $c_{t_1}=bx_{u_1}$ for some u_1 and let us say $b=fx_i$. If $a_{t_1}\in(f)$ then changing in \mathcal{P}_b the interval $[a_{t_1},c_{t_1}]$ by $[f,c_{t_1}]$ we get a partition on I/J with sdepth d+2. Thus we may assume that $a_{t_1}\in E$. If $fx_{u_1}\in\{a_1,\ldots,a_{t_1-1}\}$, let us say $fx_{u_1}=a_v,$ $1\leq v< t_1$ then we may replace in \mathcal{P}_b the intervals $[a_p,c_p],$ $v\leq p\leq t_1$ with the intervals $[a_v,c_{t_1}],$ $[a_{p+1},c_p],$ $v\leq p< t_1$. Now we see that we have in \mathcal{P}_b the interval $[fx_{u_1},fx_ix_{u_1}]$ and switching it with the interval $[f,fx_ix_{u_1}]$ we get a partition with sdepth beta=0 for beta=0 fo

- 1') there exist no maximal bad path starting with a_{t_1+1} ,
- 2') there exists a maximal bad path starting with a_{t_1+1} .

In 1') set $T_2 = \{b' \in B : \text{there exists a path } a_{t_1+1}, \ldots, a_k \text{ with } a_k = b'\}$, $G_2 = B \setminus T_2$ and $I'_2 = (f, G_2), I''_2 = (G_2), J'_2 = I'_2 \cap J$, $J''_2 = I''_2 \cap J$. As above, we see that if $T_2 \cap (f) = \emptyset$ then we may take $I' = I'_2$ and if $T_2 \cap (f) \neq \emptyset$ then $I' = I''_2$ works.

In the second case, let a_{t_1+1},\ldots,a_{t_2} be a maximal bad path starting with a_{t_1+1} and set $c_j=h(a_j)$ for $j>t_1$. As we saw the whole set $\{a_1,\ldots,a_{t_2}\}$ has different monomials. As above $c_{t_2}=bx_{u_2}$ and we may reduce to the case when $fx_{u_2}\not\in\{a_1,\ldots,a_{t_1}\}$. Set $a_{t_2+1}=fx_{u_2}$ and again we consider two subcases, which we treat as above. Anyway after several such steps we must arrive in the case $p=t_m$ when $b|c_{t_m}$ and again a certain fx_{u_m} is not among $\{a_1,\ldots,a_{t_m}\}$ and taking $a_{t_m+1}=fx_{u_m}$ there exist no maximal bad path starting with a_{t_m+1} . This follows since we may reduce to the case when the set $\{a_1,\ldots,a_{t_m}\}$ has different monomials and so the procedures should stop for some m. Finally, using $T_m=\{b'\in B:$ there exists a path a_{t_m+1},\ldots,a_k with $a_k=b'\}$ as T_1 above we are done.

Now Theorem 2.3 follows from the next proposition, the case s > q + 1 being a consequence of [16] (see here Theorem 1.1).

Proposition 5.2. Suppose that $I \subset S$ is minimally generated by a squarefree monomial f of degree d, and a set E of squarefree monomials of degrees $\geq d+1$. Assume that $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I/J = d+1$ and $s \leq q+1$. Then $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$.

Proof: Apply induction on |E|, the case $E = \emptyset$ follows from Lemma 2.2. Suppose that |E| > 0. We may assume that E contains just monomials of degrees d+1 by [17, Lemma 1.6]. Using Theorem 1.3 and induction on |E| apply Lemma 2.1. Thus we may suppose that $C \subset ((f) \cap (E)) \cup (\bigcup_{a,a' \in E, a \neq a'} (a) \cap (a'))$.

Let $b \in (B \cap (f))$ and $I'_b = (B \setminus \{b\})$. Set $J'_b = I'_b \cap J$. Clearly $b \notin I'_b$. As in Case 1 from the previous section we see that if sdepth_S $I'_b/J'_b \leq d+1$ then depth_S $I'_b/J'_b \leq d+1$ by Theorem 1.3 and

so $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$ by the Depth Lemma. Thus we may suppose that $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I_b'/J_b' \geq d+2$. Applying Lemma 5.1 we get either $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I/J \geq d+2$ contradicting our assumption, or there exists a nonzero ideal $I' \subseteq I$ generated by a subset of $\{f\} \cup B$ such that $\operatorname{sdepth}_S I'/J' \leq d+1$ for $J' = J \cap I'$ and $\operatorname{depth}_S I/(J,I') \geq d+1$. In the last case we see that $\operatorname{depth}_S I'/J' \leq d+1$ by induction hypothesis on |E| and so $\operatorname{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$ by the Depth Lemma applied to the following exact sequence

$$0 \to I'/J' \to I/J \to I/(J, I') \to 0.$$

Acknowledgement. Research partially supported by grant ID-PCE-2011-1023 of Romanian Ministry of Education, Research and Innovation.

Andrei Zarojanu was supported by the strategic grant POSDRU/159/1.5/S/137750, Project Doctoral and Postdoctoral programs support for increased competitiveness in Exact Sciences research cofinanced by the European Social Found within the Sectorial Operational Program Human Resources Development 2007 - 2013.

References

- [1] C. Biro, D.M. Howard, M.T. Keller, W.T. Trotter, S.J. Young, Interval partitions and Stanley depth, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 117 (2010), 475-482.
- [2] W. Bruns, C. Krattenthaler, J. Uliczka, Stanley decompositions and Hilbert depth in the Koszul complex, J. Commutative Alg., 2 (2010), 327-357.
- [3] M. CIMPOEAS, The Stanley conjecture on monomial almost complete intersection ideals, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, **55(103)**, (2012), 35-39.
- [4] J. Herzog, A. Soleyman Jahann, S. Yassemi, Stanley decompositions and partitionable simplicial complexes, J. Algebr. Comb., 27, (2008), 113-125.
- [5] J. Herzog, M. Vladoiu, X. Zheng, How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal, J. Algebra, **322** (2009), 3151-3169.
- [6] J. Herzog, D. Popescu, M. Vladoiu, Stanley depth and size of a monomial ideal, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **140** (2012), 493-504.
- [7] B. ICHIM, J. J. MOYANO-FERNANDEZ, How to compute the multigraded Hilbert depth of a module, Math. Nachr. 287, No. 11-12, (2014), 1274-1287.
- [8] M. ISHAQ, Upper bounds for the Stanley depth, Comm. Algebra, 40(2012), 87-97.
- [9] M. ISHAQ, Values and bounds of the Stanley depth, Carpathian J. Math. 27, (2011), 217-224, arXiv:AC/1010.4692.
- [10] G. LYUBEZNIK, On the Arithmetical Rank of Monomial ideals, J. Algebra 112, 86-89 (1988).
- [11] A. POPESCU, Special Stanley Decompositions, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, 53(101), no 4 (2010), arXiv:AC/1008.3680.
- [12] D. POPESCU, An inequality between depth and Stanley depth, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 52(100), (2009), 377-382,
- [13] D. Popescu, Stanley conjecture on intersections of four monomial prime ideals, Communications in Alg., 41 (2013), 1-12, arXiv:AC/1009.5646.
- [14] D. POPESCU, Depth and minimal number of generators of square free monomial ideals, An. St. Univ. Ovidius, Constanta, 19 (2), (2011), 187-194.

- [15] D. Popescu, Depth of factors of square free monomial ideals, Proceedings of AMS 142 (2014), 1965-1972, arXiv:AC/1110.1963.
- [16] D. POPESCU, Upper bounds of depth of monomial ideals, J. Commutative Algebra, 5, 2013, 323-327, arXiv:AC/1206.3977.
- [17] D. POPESCU, A. ZAROJANU, Depth of some square free monomial ideals, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, **56(104)**, 2013,117-124.
- [18] D. POPESCU, A. ZAROJANU, Depth of some special monomial ideals, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, **56(104)**, 2013, 365-368, arXiv:AC/1301.5171v1.
- [19] A. RAUF, Depth and Stanley depth of multigraded modules, Comm. Algebra, 38 (2010),773-784.
- [20] Y.H. Shen, Lexsegment ideals of Hilbert depth 1, (2012), arXiv:AC/1208.1822v1.
- [21] R. P. Stanley, Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology, Invent. Math. **68** (1982) 175-193.
- [22] J. ULICZKA, Remarks on Hilbert series of graded modules over polynomial rings, Manuscripta Math., 132 (2010), 159-168.
- [23] A. ZAROJANU, Stanley Conjecture on three monomial primary ideals, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, **55(103)**,(2012), 335-338.

Received: 27.04.2014 Accepted: 01.08.2014

(1) Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of Romanian Academy, Research unit 5, P.O.Box 1-764,
Bucharest 014700, Romania
E-mail:dorin.popescu @imar.ro

(2) Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei 14, Bucharest, Romania, and

Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of Romanian Academy, Research group of the project ID-PCE-2011-1023, P.O.Box 1-764, Bucharest 014700, Romania E-mail:andrei_zarojanu @yahoo.com