

On sums of distinct odd squares arising from a class of totally symmetric plane partitions

by

A. BLECHER, A. KNOPFMACHER, F. LUCA AND S. WAGNER

Abstract

We prove some results about the coefficients r_n of $\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 + q^{3i^2+3i+1})$. These coefficients count the number of a special type of partitions of n , namely totally symmetric plane partitions with self conjugate main diagonal. In particular, we prove the conjecture that $n = 860$ is the largest n such that $r_n = 0$.

Key Words: Partitions, representations as sums of squares.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05A15, Secondary 05A16.

1 Introduction

For $n \geq 0$, let r_n be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \geq 0} (1 + q^{3i^2+3i+1}) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} r_n q^n \\ &= 1 + q + q^7 + q^8 + q^{19} + q^{20} + q^{26} + q^{27} + q^{37} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient r_n counts the number of a special type of partitions of n , namely *totally symmetric plane partitions with self conjugate main diagonal* (see [3] for the definition of such partitions and some of their properties). Although it appears that there are a lot of gaps in the above expansion at the beginning, we noticed computationally that $n = 860$ is the largest $n \leq 10000$ such that $r_n = 0$. So, we set ourselves the task to prove that this is indeed so without the computational restriction $n \leq 10000$. This is our first result.

Theorem 1. *If $r_n = 0$, then $n \leq 860$.*

Since r_n counts also the number of partitions of n in distinct parts of the form $3i^2 + 3i + 1$ each, it follows that r_n cannot exceed the total number $q(n)$ of partitions of n into distinct parts, whose asymptotic behaviour is well known:

$$q(n) \sim \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3^{1/4} n^{3/4}} \exp\left(\pi \sqrt{n/3}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.1)$$

(see [1]). Our next theorem addresses the growth rate of r_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2. *The asymptotic formula*

$$r_n \sim c_1 \cdot n^{-5/6} \cdot \exp(c_0 n^{1/3}) \quad (1.2)$$

holds as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$c_0 = \left(\frac{9\pi}{16} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \zeta(3/2)^2 \right)^{1/3}$$

and

$$c_1 = \left(\frac{(1 - 1/\sqrt{2})\zeta(3/2)}{36\pi} \right)^{1/3}.$$

Our proof of Theorem 1 is elementary. The deepest tool we use is the characterization of those positive integers n which admit a representation as a sum of three squares, and this is well known. Theorem 2 is obtained as a corollary to a more general result on partitions into distinct elements from a given set.

2 An auxiliary result

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *If m is a positive integer with $m \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ but $25 \nmid m$, then $m = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$, where $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$ are odd positive integers.*

Proof: It is well known that if m is a positive integer not of the form $4^e(8k+7)$, then m can be written as a sum of three squares. So, we certainly have that $m = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$. Since m is odd, either all three numbers x_1, x_2, x_3 are odd, or one is odd and two are even. If one is odd and two are even, then $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{8}$, which is not the case for us. Thus, x_1, x_2, x_3 are all odd. If they are all equal, then $m = 3x_1^2$, but this is impossible since $5 \mid m$ but $25 \nmid m$. If only two of them are equal, then we get a representation of m of the form $m = 2x^2 + y^2$ with integers x and y . Since $5 \mid m$ but $25 \nmid m$, it follows that x and y are coprime to 5 and reducing the above relation modulo 5, we get $2x^2 \equiv -y^2 \pmod{5}$, or $(yx^{-1})^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$, which is not possible because 3 is not a quadratic residue modulo 5. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$. For each $n \geq 10000$ let $L \in \mathcal{L}$ be such that $n \equiv L \pmod{6}$. We search for a representation of n of the form

$$n = \sum_{j=1}^L (3i_j^2 + 3i_j + 1) \quad \text{where} \quad i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_L. \quad (3.1)$$

Clearly the existence of such a representation for n will imply that $r_n \neq 0$. The above expression (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{4n - L}{3} = x_1^2 + \dots + x_L^2, \quad \text{where} \quad x_j = 2i_j + 1 \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, L.$$

To make things simpler, we put $X = (4n - L)/3$. Here is how we choose the odd numbers $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_L$. First, we let

$$x_j \in \left\{ \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{X}{L-3}} \right\rfloor - (2j-2), \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{X}{L-3}} \right\rfloor - (2j-1) \right\} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, L-5.$$

We choose them in such a way that x_j is odd. They are uniquely determined and mutually distinct for $j = 1, \dots, L-5$. For x_{L-4} and x_{L-3} , we choose them in the following way. Let $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be such that

$$r \equiv X - \sum_{i=1}^{L-5} x_i^2 \pmod{5}.$$

We choose x_{L-4} and x_{L-3} to be odd distinct numbers in the interval

$$\mathcal{I} = \left[\left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{X}{L-3}} \right\rfloor - (2L-1), \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{X}{L-3}} \right\rfloor - (2L-10) \right], \tag{3.2}$$

in such a way that $x_{L-4}^2 + x_{L-3}^2 \equiv r \pmod{5}$. To see why this can be done, note that every residue class modulo 5 admits a representation as a sum of two squares $a^2 + b^2 \pmod{5}$, where not both a and b are zero modulo 5 (that is, for the class 0 just take the representation $1^2 + 2^2 \pmod{5}$). So, represent $r \equiv a^2 + b^2 \pmod{5}$, where $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. Next choose some odd x_{L-4} in the interval \mathcal{I} shown at (3.2) such that $x_{L-4} \equiv a \pmod{5}$. There exists one such choice for x_{L-4} since \mathcal{I} contains 10 consecutive integers. So, pick such an x_{L-4} . Next choose x_{L-3} in \mathcal{I} which is odd and such that $x_{L-3} \equiv \pm b \pmod{5}$. There are two such possibilities for x_{L-3} , and at least one of them will be different from the already chosen x_{L-4} . Put $m = X - \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} x_i^2$. Observe that $m \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. It might be the case that $m \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$. In this case, we replace x_{L-3} by $x_{L-3} - 10$. Since $x_{L-3} \equiv \pm b \pmod{5} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, then x_{L-3}^2 and $(x_{L-3} - 10)^2$ are congruent modulo 8 and 5 but not modulo 25. Thus our new m has indeed the property that $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{25}$. Furthermore, since $x_j \leq \lfloor \sqrt{X/(L-3)} \rfloor$ holds for all $j = 1, \dots, L-3$, it follows that $m \geq 0$. Hence, m is positive (since it is congruent to 3 modulo 8).

Lemma 1 tells us that m is a sum of squares of three odd distinct positive integers, let us call them $x_{L-2} > x_{L-1} > x_L$. It remains to show that $x_{L-3} > x_{L-2}$. For this, let us estimate m from above. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &> \sqrt{\frac{X}{L-3}} - 2j \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, L-5; \\ x_{L-4} &> \sqrt{\frac{X}{L-3}} - (2L-2); \\ x_{L-3} &> \sqrt{\frac{X}{L-3}} - 2L-10. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} x_i^2 &> X - 4\sqrt{\frac{X}{L-3}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{L-5} j + (L-1) + (L+5) \right) \\ &= X - \frac{2(L^2 - 5L + 28)}{\sqrt{L-3}} \sqrt{X} \\ &\geq X - 59\sqrt{X}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $L \in \mathcal{L}$ (so, $L \leq 10$). Hence, $m \leq 59\sqrt{X}$, which implies that $x_{L-2} < 59^{1/2}X^{1/4}$. Since

$$x_{L-3} > \sqrt{\frac{X}{L-3}} - 2L - 10 \geq \sqrt{\frac{X}{7}} - 30,$$

it follows that it suffices that

$$\sqrt{\frac{X}{7}} - 30 \geq 59^{1/2}X^{1/4},$$

which is fulfilled for $X \geq 314167$. Since $(4n-L)/3 = X$, we get that $n = (3X+L)/4$, so $r_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 235627$.

It remains to check the values of r_n for $n < 250000$ and this can be done computationally using Mathematica, for example.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

A general asymptotic scheme due to Meinardus ([5], see also [1]) provides a formula for the coefficients of a generating function of the form

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{\Lambda_j})^{-1},$$

which enumerates partitions into elements from a given increasing sequence $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots$ of positive integers under certain technical conditions. A similar result can be obtained for generating functions of the form

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{\Lambda_j}),$$

which enumerates partitions into distinct elements of the sequence. In our case, we have $\Lambda_j = 3j^2 + 3j + 1$ for $j \geq 0$. Hwang [4] studies, in more generality, the bivariate generating function

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + ux^{\Lambda_j}),$$

in which the variable u marks the *length* (number of parts) of the associated partitions. In the case of totally symmetric plane partitions with self-conjugate main diagonal, this corresponds to

the number of *layers* in the decomposition described in [3]. Hwang proves a central limit theorem for this quantity, which implies that we also have a central limit theorem for the number of layers in TSPPs with self-conjugate main diagonal. Let us first state Hwang’s results explicitly:

Theorem 3 (Hwang [4]). *Suppose that the sequence Λ satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *The associated Dirichlet series $D(s) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \Lambda_k^{-s}$ converges in the half-plane $\Re(s) > \alpha > 0$, and can be analytically continued into the half-plane $\Re(s) \geq -\alpha_0$ for some $\alpha_0 > 0$. Within this half-plane, $D(s)$ is analytic except for a simple pole at $s = \alpha$ with residue A .*
2. *There exists an absolute constant C_1 such that $D(s) \ll |t|^{C_1}$ uniformly for $\Re(s) \geq -\alpha_0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.*
3. *Define $g(\tau) = \sum_{k \geq 0} e^{-\Lambda_k \tau}$, where $\tau = r + iy$ with $r > 0$ and $|y| \leq \pi$. There exists a positive constant C_2 such that $g(r) - \Re(g(\tau)) \geq C_2(\log(1/r))^{2+4/\alpha^2}$ uniformly for $\pi/2 \leq |y| \leq \pi$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$.*

Then the asymptotic formula

$$r_n = [x^n] \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{\Lambda_j}) \sim An^\lambda \exp\left(Bn^{\alpha/(\alpha+1)}\right)$$

holds with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2^{D(0)} (2\pi(1 + \alpha))^{-1/2} (A\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\zeta(\alpha + 1)(1 - 2^{-\alpha}))^{1/(2\alpha+2)}, \\ B &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) (A\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\zeta(\alpha + 1)(1 - 2^{-\alpha}))^{1/(\alpha+1)}, \\ \lambda &= -\frac{1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}}{1 + \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if ω_n denotes the length of a random partition of n into distinct elements of the sequence Λ , then the distribution of ω_n is asymptotically Gaussian, with mean

$$\mu_n \sim (\kappa\alpha)^{1/(\alpha+1)} \cdot \frac{(1 - 2^{1-\alpha})\zeta(\alpha)}{\alpha(1 - 2^{-\alpha})\zeta(\alpha + 1)} \cdot n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}$$

and variance

$$\sigma_n^2 \sim (\kappa\alpha)^{1/(\alpha+1)} \left(\frac{(1 - 2^{2-\alpha})\zeta(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha(1 - 2^{-\alpha})\zeta(\alpha + 1)} - \frac{(1 - 2^{1-\alpha})^2 \zeta(\alpha)^2}{(\alpha + 1)(1 - 2^{-\alpha})^2 \zeta(\alpha + 1)^2} \right) n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}$$

with $\kappa = A\Gamma(\alpha)(1 - 2^{-\alpha})\zeta(\alpha + 1)$.

In our specific case, the Dirichlet series $D(s)$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(s) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (3\ell^2 + 3\ell + 1)^{-s} = 3^{-s} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{12} \right)^{-s} \\
 &= 3^{-s} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-2s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-s}{k} \left(12 \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right)^{-k} \\
 &= 3^{-s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+k-1}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{12} \right)^k \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-2s-2k} \\
 &= 3^{-s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+k-1}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{12} \right)^k \zeta \left(2s + 2k, \frac{1}{2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The sum converges for arbitrary s and thus provides an analytic continuation with poles at $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ (with residue $A = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$) and all negative half-integers. This shows that the first condition of Hwang's theorem is satisfied. The second condition immediately follows from growth properties of the Hurwitz zeta function. Note also that the binomial coefficient $\binom{s+k-1}{k}$ vanishes at $s = 0$ for all $k > 0$, so that we obtain

$$D(0) = \zeta \left(0, \frac{1}{2} \right) = 0.$$

Finally, the third condition can be checked by well-known exponential sum techniques: we have $g(\tau) = \sum_{j \geq 0} e^{-(3j^2 + 3j + 1)\tau}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(r) - \Re(g(\tau)) &= \sum_{j \geq 0} e^{-(3j^2 + 3j + 1)r} (1 - \cos((3j^2 + 3j + 1)t)) \\
 &\gg \sum_{0 \leq j < r^{-1/2}} (1 - \cos((3j^2 + 3j + 1)t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we study the sum

$$\sum_{0 \leq j < x} \cos((3j^2 + 3j + 1)t) = \Re \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < x} e^{i(3j^2 + 3j + 1)\alpha} \right),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{t}{2\pi}$ satisfies $1/4 \leq |\alpha| \leq 1/2$, and $e(x) = \exp(2\pi ix)$ as usual. We distinguish two

cases: if $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$ for coprime integers a, q with $1 \leq q \leq x^{1/4}$ and $|\beta| \leq x^{-3/2}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < x} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)\alpha) \right) &\leq x - \sqrt{x} + \Re \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < \sqrt{x}} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)\alpha) \right) \\ &= x - \sqrt{x} + \Re \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < \sqrt{x}} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)a/q) + O(\beta j^2) \right) \\ &= x - \sqrt{x} + \Re \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{q} \sum_{0 \leq j < q} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)a/q) \right) + O(q + \beta x^{3/2}) \\ &= x - \sqrt{x} + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{q} \Re \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < q} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)a/q) \right) + O(x^{1/4}). \end{aligned}$$

The Gauss sum

$$\sum_{0 \leq j < q} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)a/q)$$

is well known to be $O(\sqrt{q})$ (see [2]). Moreover, it can only be equal to q if q divides all the numbers $3j^2 + 3j + 1$, $j \geq 0$; this is clearly only the case for $q = 1$, but this is excluded by the condition $1/4 \leq |\alpha| \leq 1/2$. Therefore, there must be an absolute constant $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\Re \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < q} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)a/q) \right) \leq (1 - \eta)q$$

for all $q > 1$. But this finally yields

$$\Re \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < x} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)\alpha) \right) \leq x - \eta\sqrt{x} + O(x^{1/4}).$$

If, on the other hand, α does not have a rational approximation as above, then by Dirichlet's approximation theorem, there must be coprime integers a, q such that $1 \leq q \leq x^{3/2}$

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{qx^{3/2}} \leq \min \left(\frac{1}{q^2}, \frac{1}{x^{3/2}} \right),$$

and it follows that $q \geq x^{1/4}$ (otherwise, one could write $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$ with $|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{x^{3/2}}$). Hence by Weyl's inequality [6], one has

$$\sum_{0 \leq j < x} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)\alpha) \ll x^{1+\epsilon} (x^{-1} + q^{-1} + x^{-2}q)^{1/2} \ll x^{7/8+\epsilon}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. But then it also follows that

$$\Re \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < x} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)\alpha) \right) \ll x^{7/8+\epsilon}.$$

In either case, we have shown that

$$x - \Re \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < x} e((3j^2 + 3j + 1)\alpha) \right) \geq \eta\sqrt{x} + O(x^{1/4})$$

for sufficiently large x and thus finally

$$g(r) - \Re(g(\tau)) \gg r^{-1/4},$$

which completes the proof of the third condition.

Plugging everything into Theorem 3 yields the desired result, as well as asymptotic normality of the number of layers in TSPPs with self-conjugate main diagonal, with mean and variance given by

$$\mu_n \sim A_1 n^{1/3}$$

and

$$\sigma_n^2 \sim A_2 n^{1/3}.$$

Numerically, the two constants are $A_1 = 0.533049$ and $A_2 = 0.194486$ respectively.

Acknowledgement *This work was done during a visit of F. L. and S. W. at the School of Mathematics of the University of the Witwatersrand. They thank the people of this institution for their hospitality. During the preparation of this paper, F. L. was also supported in part by Grant SEP-CONACyT 79685 and PAPIIT 100508. S. W. was supported by the National Research Foundation of South Africa, grant number 70560.*

References

- [1] ANDREWS, GEORGE E., *The theory of partitions*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Reprint of the 1976 original, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] BERNDT, BRUCE C. AND EVANS, RONALD J. AND WILLIAMS, KENNETH S., *Gauss and Jacobi sums*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1998.
- [3] BLECHER, AUBREY, A generating function for *TSPP* with self conjugate main diagonal, *Utilitas Math.*, to appear.
- [4] HWANG, HSIEN-KUEI, Limit theorems for the number of summands in integer partitions, *J. COMBIN. THEORY SER. A*, **96** (2001), 89–126.
- [5] MEINARDUS, GÜNTER, Asymptotische Aussagen über Partitionen, *Math. Z.*, **59** (1954), 388–398.

- [6] VAUGHAN, R. C., *The Hardy-Littlewood method*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **125**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

Received: 25.01.2012,

Accepted: 22.06.2012.

School of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand, P.O. Wits 2050, South Africa

E-mail: Aubrey.Blecher@wits.ac.za

The John Knopfmacher Centre for Applicable Analysis and Number Theory, University of the
Witwatersrand, P.O. Wits 2050, South Africa

E-mail: Arnold.Knopfmacher@wits.ac.za

Fundación Marcos Moshinsky, Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares UNAM, Circuito Exterior, C.U., Apdo.
Postal 70-543, México D.F. 04510, México

E-mail: fluca@matmor.unam.mx

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Matieland 7602,
South Africa

E-mail: swagner@sun.ac.za