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#### Abstract

We associate to a given 2-category $\mathfrak{K}$ a new 2 -category $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, whose 0 -cells are the bimonads in $\mathfrak{K}$. We show that this construction defines an endofunctor of the category 2 -CAT of all 2 -categories, which is represented by a certain 2-category $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$.
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## Introduction

Bimonads and Hopf monads have been introduced and investigated in [MW, W]. In this note we are going to show that bimonads make sense in an arbitrary 2-category. Furthermore, for any 2-category $\mathfrak{K}$ we shall prove that the bimonads in $\mathfrak{K}$ define a new 2 -category $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, so that the mapping

$$
\mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})
$$

is an endofunctor of 2 -CAT, the (large) category of all 2 -categories. In the main result of the paper we shall prove that this 2 -functor is representable, in the sense that there are a 2-category $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$ and an isomorphism of 2-categories

$$
[\mathfrak{B i m o n}, \mathfrak{K}] \simeq \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K}),
$$

which is natural in $\mathfrak{K}$. Here, $[\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{L}]$ denotes the 2-category of all strict 2-functors between the 2-categories $\mathfrak{K}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$. We also prove a similar result for Hopf monads.

## 1 Bimonads in a 2-category.

Throughout this paper $\mathfrak{K}$ will denote a given 2-category. Recall that a 2-category is by definition a category enriched in the category of all categories. In other words, a 2-category is given by a class of objects ( 0 -cells), morphism between objects (that are called 1-cells) and morphism between morphisms (that are called 2-cells). The 0 -cells will be denoted by capital letters $X, Y$,
$X^{\prime}$, etc. The 1-cells will also be denoted by capital letters, e.g. $F: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$. We will say that $X$ and $Y$ are the source and the target of $F$. For 2-cells we will use the notation $\alpha: F \Longrightarrow F^{\prime}$. The 1-cells $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ will be called the source and the target of $\alpha$. To each 0 -cell corresponds an 1-cell $I d_{X}$, the identity of $X$. Similarly, for every 1-cell $F$ we can speak about the identity of $F$, which is a 2 -cell denoted by $I d_{F}$.

As in an usual category 1-cells can be composed. If there is no danger of confusion, for the composition $F \circ G$ of two 1-cells we will write $F G$. On the other hand, 2-cells can be composed horizontally and vertically with respect to the operations that will be denoted by $\circ$ and $\bullet$. To simplify the notation we shall write $F \alpha$ and $\alpha G$ instead of $I d_{F} \circ \alpha$ and $\alpha \circ I d_{G}$, respectively (of course, whenever these compositions make sense). For details on 2-categories the reader is referred to [LR, S1, S2].

We start by recalling some well-known definitions that we will need later on.
1.1 (Monads in $\mathfrak{K}$.) A monad in $\mathfrak{K}$ consists of a 0-cell $X$, an 1-cell $T: X \rightarrow X$ and a pair of 2-cells $m: T T \Rightarrow T$ and $u: I d_{X} \Rightarrow T$ (the multiplication and the unit of the monad), such that the following equalities hold

$$
\begin{gather*}
m \bullet T m=m \bullet m T,  \tag{1}\\
m \bullet T u=I d_{T}=m \bullet u T . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

A morphism between two monads $(X, T, m, u)$ and $\left(X^{\prime}, T^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ consists of a pair $(F, \sigma)$, where $F: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is an 1-cell and $\sigma: T^{\prime} F \Longrightarrow F T$ is a 2-cell such that the following identities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
F m \bullet  \tag{3}\\
\sigma T \bullet T^{\prime} \sigma=\sigma \bullet m^{\prime} F,  \tag{4}\\
\sigma \bullet u^{\prime} F=F u .
\end{gather*}
$$

1.2 (Comonads in $\mathfrak{K}$.) Comonads in a 2 -category are defined by duality. Therefore a comonad consists of a 0-cell $X$, an 1-cell $S: X \rightarrow X$ and a pair of 2-cells $\delta: S \Rightarrow S S$ and $\varepsilon: S \Rightarrow I d_{X}$ (the counit and the comultiplication of the comonad), such that the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
S \delta \bullet \delta=\delta S \bullet \delta,  \tag{5}\\
\varepsilon S \bullet \delta=I d_{S}=S \varepsilon \bullet \delta . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

A morphism of comonads from $(X, S, \delta, \varepsilon)$ to $\left(X^{\prime}, S^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ is a pair $(G, \tau)$, where $G: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is an 1-cell and $\tau: S^{\prime} G \Rightarrow G S$ is a 2-cell such that the following identities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau S \bullet S^{\prime} \tau \bullet \delta^{\prime} G=G \delta \bullet \tau,  \tag{7}\\
G \varepsilon \bullet \tau=\varepsilon^{\prime} G . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

1.3 (Bimonads in $\mathfrak{K}$.) To define a bimonad in $\mathfrak{K}$ we need a monad $(X, H, m, u)$ and a comonad $(X, H, \delta, \varepsilon)$ in $\mathfrak{K}$. Note that these structures share the same 0 -cell $X$ and the same 1cell $H$. Of course, in addition these structures has to be compatible in a certain sense. In order to state the compatibility condition we need the definition of entwining maps. Let ( $X, T, m, u$ )
and $(X, S, \delta, \varepsilon)$ be a monad and a comonad, respectively. A 2-cell $\lambda: T S \Rightarrow S T$ is an entwining structure if the following four conditions hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
S m \bullet \lambda T \bullet T \lambda & =\lambda \bullet m S,  \tag{9}\\
S \lambda \bullet \lambda S \bullet T \delta & =\delta T \bullet \lambda,  \tag{10}\\
\varepsilon T \bullet \lambda & =T \varepsilon,  \tag{11}\\
\lambda \bullet u S & =S u . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can say what a bimonad in $\mathfrak{K}$ is. Namely, the sextuple $(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bimonad if $(X, H, m, u)$ is a monad, $(X, H, \delta, \varepsilon)$ is a comonad and $\lambda: H^{2} \Rightarrow H^{2}$ is an entwining structure that satisfy the following conditions:
i) The unit $u$ is a morphism of comonads from $\left(X, I d_{X}, I d_{I d_{X}}, I d_{I d_{X}}\right)$ to $(X, H, \delta, \varepsilon)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \bullet u=u \circ u  \tag{13}\\
& \varepsilon \bullet u=I d_{I d_{X}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

ii) The counit $\varepsilon$ is a morphism of monads from $(X, H, m, u)$ to $\left(X, I d_{X}, I d_{I d_{X}}, I d_{I d_{X}}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \bullet m=\varepsilon \circ \varepsilon \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the condition expressing that $\varepsilon$ is compatible with the unit $u$ is equivalent to (14). Consequently, it was omitted.
iii) The following identity hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \bullet m=H m \bullet \lambda H \bullet H \delta \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pair $(F, \sigma)$ is a morphism of bimonads from $(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda)$ to $\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ if it is a morphism of monads and comonads, and the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \lambda \bullet \sigma H \bullet H^{\prime} \sigma=\sigma H \bullet H^{\prime} \sigma \bullet \lambda^{\prime} F \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.4 (The 2-category $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$.) Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be a 2 -category. We are going to define a new 2category, whose 0 -cells are the bimonads in $\mathfrak{K}$. By construction, the 1-cells in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ are the morphisms between arbitrary bimonads in $\mathfrak{K}$. A 2-cell $\alpha:(F, \sigma) \Longrightarrow(G, \tau)$ in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, where

$$
(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda) \underset{(G, \tau)}{\stackrel{(F, \sigma)}{\longrightarrow}}\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)
$$

is a 2-cell $\alpha: F \Longrightarrow G$ in $\mathfrak{K}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha H \bullet \sigma=\tau \bullet H^{\prime} \alpha \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify the notation, we will write (co)monads and bimonads as pairs, whose components are the corresponding 0 -cells and 1-cells (we will omit all other underlying structures). For example, if there is no danger of confusion, the monad $(X, T, m, u)$ will be denoted $(X, T)$.

By definition, the composition of two morphisms in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X, H) \xrightarrow{(F, \sigma)}\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{(G, \tau)}\left(X^{\prime \prime}, H^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(G, \tau) \circ(F, \sigma)=(G F, G \sigma \bullet \tau F) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, for every bimonad $(X, H)$, the pair $\left(I d_{X}, I d_{H}\right)$ is a morphism of bimonads, that will be called the identity of $(X, H)$. For the 2-cells $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$

we define the horizontal composition $\beta \circ \alpha: G F \Rightarrow G^{\prime} F^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \circ \alpha:=\beta F^{\prime} \bullet G \alpha=G^{\prime} \alpha \bullet \beta F \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the second equality holds as $\mathfrak{K}$ is a 2-category, so the above definition makes sense. Now, let us consider the 2-cells $\alpha:(F, \sigma) \Longrightarrow\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}:\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(F^{\prime \prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, where $(F, \sigma),\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(F^{\prime \prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are some morphisms of bimonads as in the figure below:


We define the vertical composition of $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\alpha$ in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ to be $\alpha^{\prime} \bullet \alpha$ (the vertical composition of $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\alpha$ regarded as 2 -cells in $\left.\mathfrak{K}\right)$. The identity 2 -cell of $(F, \sigma)$ is by definition $I d_{F}$.

Theorem 1.5 The above constructions define a 2 -category that we shall denote by $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$.
Proof: We must check that the above constructions are well-defined. First we prove that, for two morphisms of bimonads as in (19), the composition ( $G F, G \sigma \bullet \tau F$ ) is also a morphism of bimonads from $(X, H)$ to $\left(X^{\prime \prime}, H^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let $m, m^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime \prime}$ denote the multiplications in $(X, H),\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(X^{\prime \prime}, H^{\prime \prime}\right)$, respectively. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(G \sigma \bullet \tau F) \bullet m^{\prime \prime} G F & =G \sigma \bullet G m^{\prime} F \bullet \tau H^{\prime} F \bullet H^{\prime \prime} \tau F \\
& =G F m \bullet G \sigma H \bullet G H^{\prime} \sigma \bullet \tau H^{\prime} F \bullet H^{\prime \prime} \tau F \\
& =G F m \bullet G \sigma H \bullet \tau F H \bullet H^{\prime \prime} G \sigma \bullet H^{\prime \prime} \tau F \\
& =G F m \bullet[(G \sigma \bullet \tau F) H] \bullet\left[H^{\prime \prime}(G \sigma \bullet \tau F)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for the first and the second equalities we used the relation (3), written for $\tau$ and $\sigma$, respectively. To deduce the last two equalities we used the interchange law in $\mathfrak{K}$. The compatibility with the units $u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}$ follows by the computation below:

$$
(G \sigma \bullet \tau F) \bullet u^{\prime \prime} G F=G \sigma \bullet\left[\left(\tau \bullet u^{\prime \prime} G\right) F\right]=G \sigma \bullet G u^{\prime} F=G\left(\sigma \bullet u^{\prime} F\right)=G F u
$$

By duality one shows that $(G F, G \sigma \bullet F \tau)$ is a morphism of comonads. In order to prove that this pair is a morphism of bimonads we still have to check that the identity (17) holds. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[(G \sigma \bullet \tau F) H] \bullet\left[H^{\prime \prime}(G \sigma \bullet \tau F)\right] \bullet \lambda^{\prime \prime} G F } & \stackrel{(A)}{=} G \sigma H \bullet G H^{\prime} \sigma \bullet \tau H^{\prime} F \bullet H^{\prime \prime} \tau F \bullet \lambda^{\prime \prime} G F \\
& \stackrel{(B)}{=} G \sigma H \bullet G H^{\prime} \sigma \bullet G \lambda^{\prime} F \bullet \tau H^{\prime} F \bullet H^{\prime \prime} \tau F \\
& \stackrel{(C)}{=} G F \lambda \bullet G \sigma H \bullet G H^{\prime} \sigma \bullet \tau H^{\prime} F \bullet H^{\prime \prime} \tau F \\
& \stackrel{(D)}{=} G F \lambda \bullet G \sigma H \bullet \tau F H \bullet H^{\prime \prime} G \sigma \bullet H^{\prime \prime} \tau F \\
& =G F \lambda \bullet[(G \sigma \bullet \tau F) H] \bullet\left[H^{\prime \prime}(G \sigma \bullet \tau F)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above computations for $(A)$ and $(D)$ we used the interchange law, while $(B)$ and $(C)$ are consequences of (17), written for $\tau$ and $\sigma$, respectively.

We now take $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to be 2-cells in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ as in (21). We claim that $\beta \circ \alpha$ is a 2 cell between $(G F, G \sigma \bullet \tau F)$ and $\left(G^{\prime} F^{\prime}, G^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} \bullet \tau^{\prime} F^{\prime}\right)$, that is $G^{\prime} \alpha \bullet \beta F=\beta F^{\prime} \bullet G \alpha$ satisfies the condition (18). Indeed, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\beta \circ \alpha) H \bullet(G \sigma \bullet \tau H) & \stackrel{(A)}{=} G^{\prime} \alpha H \bullet \beta F H \bullet G \sigma \bullet \tau F \\
& \stackrel{(B)}{=} G^{\prime} \alpha H \bullet G^{\prime} \sigma \bullet \beta H^{\prime} F \bullet \tau F \\
& \stackrel{(C)}{=} G^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} \bullet G^{\prime} H^{\prime} \alpha \bullet \tau^{\prime} F \bullet H^{\prime \prime} \beta F \\
& \stackrel{(D)}{=} G^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} \bullet \tau^{\prime} F^{\prime} \bullet H^{\prime \prime} G^{\prime} \alpha \bullet H^{\prime \prime} \beta F \\
& =\left(G^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} \bullet \tau^{\prime} F^{\prime}\right) \bullet H^{\prime \prime}(\beta \circ \alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above computation we used the definition of the horizontal composition in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ to deduce $(A)$ and $(D)$. In $(B)$ and $(D)$ we also used the interchange law. Finally, we got $(C)$ by applying the relation (18) twice.

Let $\alpha:(F, \sigma) \Rightarrow\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}:\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(F^{\prime \prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be 2-cells in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, where $(F, \sigma)$, $\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(F^{\prime \prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are morphisms of bimonads with the same source and the same target. Our aim now is to check that $\alpha^{\prime} \bullet \alpha$ is a 2 -cell in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, i.e.

$$
\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime} \bullet \alpha\right) H\right) \bullet \sigma=\sigma^{\prime \prime} \bullet\left(H^{\prime \prime}(\beta \bullet \alpha)\right)
$$

Since $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\alpha$ are 2-cells in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, we get:

$$
\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime} \bullet \alpha\right) H\right) \bullet \sigma=\alpha^{\prime} H \bullet \alpha H \bullet \sigma=\alpha^{\prime} H \bullet \sigma^{\prime} \bullet H^{\prime} \alpha=\sigma^{\prime \prime} \bullet H^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \bullet H^{\prime} \alpha=\sigma^{\prime \prime} \bullet\left(H^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \bullet \alpha\right)\right)
$$

Obviously, $\left(I d_{X}, I d_{H}\right)$ satisfies the axioms of the identity 1-cell in a 2-category, for any bimonad $(X, H)$. Moreover, it is easy to see that and $I d_{F}$ is the identity 2-cell of $(F, \sigma)$, for every bimonad morphism $(F, \sigma)$.

In order to show that the composition of 1-cells in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ is associative we take three composable morphisms $(F, \sigma),(G, \tau)$ and $(H, \delta)$. By applying the relation (20) four times we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(H, \delta) \circ[(G, \tau) \circ(F, \sigma)] & =(H, \delta) \circ(G F, G \sigma \bullet \tau F)=(H G F, H G \sigma \bullet H \tau F \bullet \delta G F) \\
& =(H G, H \tau \bullet \delta G) \circ(F, \sigma)=[(H, \delta) \circ(G, \tau)] \circ(F, \sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

The horizontal composition in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ is also associative. To check that we take the 2-cells $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as in (21). If $\gamma:(H, \delta) \Longrightarrow\left(H^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ is another 2-cell such that $\gamma \circ(\beta \circ a)$ exists, then
$(\gamma \circ \beta) \circ \alpha=\left(\gamma G^{\prime} \bullet H \beta\right) F^{\prime} \bullet H G \alpha=\gamma G^{\prime} F^{\prime} \bullet H \beta F^{\prime} \bullet H G \alpha=\gamma G^{\prime} F^{\prime} \bullet H\left(\beta F^{\prime} \bullet G \alpha\right)=\gamma \circ(\beta \circ \alpha)$.
The vertical composition in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ is associative, as it coincides with that one in $\mathfrak{K}$. It remains to show that interchange law holds in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$. We take the 2-cells $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as in (21). We assume that $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are other 2-cells in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ such that $\beta^{\prime} \circ \alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime} \bullet \alpha$ and $\beta^{\prime} \bullet \beta$ make sence. Therefore, the source of $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are $F^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}$, respectively. Let $F^{\prime \prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ be their targets. Since $\mathfrak{K}$ is a 2-category, the interchange law holds, so we have

$$
G^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \bullet \beta F^{\prime}=\beta \circ \alpha^{\prime}=\beta F^{\prime \prime} \bullet G \alpha^{\prime}
$$

Now we can prove that the interchange law holds in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ too. Indeed, by the definition of the horizontal composition in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, and the fact the vertical composition in this 2 category coincides to that one $\mathfrak{K}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\beta^{\prime} \bullet \beta\right) \circ\left(\alpha^{\prime} \bullet \alpha\right) & =\left[\left(\beta^{\prime} \bullet \beta\right) F^{\prime \prime}\right] \bullet\left[G\left(\alpha^{\prime} \bullet \alpha\right)\right]=\beta^{\prime} F^{\prime \prime} \bullet \beta F^{\prime \prime} \bullet G \alpha^{\prime} \bullet G \alpha \\
& =\beta^{\prime} F^{\prime \prime} \bullet G^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \bullet \beta F^{\prime} \bullet G \alpha=\left(\beta^{\prime} \circ \alpha^{\prime}\right) \bullet(\beta \circ \alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion we have just proved that $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ is a 2 -category.
1.6 (The 2-functor $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})$.) Our goal now is to show that the construction of $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ is functorial in $\mathfrak{K}$. More precisely, if 2-CAT denotes the (large) category of 2-categories with strict 2-functors as morphisms, then the mapping

$$
\mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})
$$

defines an endofunctor of 2-CAT. We have already defined $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(-)$ on the objects of 2-CAT. It remains to construct $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})$, for every strict 2 -functor $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$.

Recall that a 2 -functor $\mathfrak{F}$ as above is given by a map $\mathfrak{F}_{0}: \mathfrak{K}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{0}$ and a family of functors $\left(\mathfrak{F}_{X, Y}\right)_{X, Y \in \mathfrak{K}_{0}}$ where, for all 0-cells $X$ and $Y$,

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{X, Y}: \mathfrak{K}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}\left(\mathfrak{F}_{0}(X), \mathfrak{F}_{0}(Y)\right) .
$$

The family $\left(\mathfrak{F}_{X, Y}\right)_{X, Y \in \mathfrak{K}_{0}}$ is assumed to be compatible with the composition of 1-cells and with the identity 1-cells. Therefore, to each 1-cell $f: X \rightarrow Y$ corresponds a unique 1-cell $F_{X, Y}(f)$, whose source and target are $\mathfrak{F}_{0}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{0}(Y)$, respectively. It will be denoted by $\mathfrak{F}_{1}(f)$. Analogously, if $\alpha: f \Longrightarrow g$ is a 2-cell such that $f$ and $g$ have the same source and the same target, then we denote the 2-cell $F_{X, Y}(\alpha): \mathfrak{F}_{1}(f) \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{1}(g)$ by $\mathfrak{F}_{2}(\alpha)$.

We are going to associate to $\mathfrak{F}$ a 2 -functor

$$
\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F}): \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{L})
$$

We first define $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}:=\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})$ on 0 -cells. Let $(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda)$ be a bimonad. We set

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{0}(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda):=\left(\mathfrak{F}_{0}(X), \mathfrak{F}_{1}(H), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(m), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(u), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\delta), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\varepsilon), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\lambda)\right) .
$$

It is not difficult to see that this is a bimonad in $\mathfrak{L}$. For a morphism of bimonads in $\mathfrak{K}$, or equivalently an 1-cell $(F, \sigma):(X, H) \rightarrow\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, we define:

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{1}(F, \sigma):=\left(\mathfrak{F}_{1}(F), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\sigma)\right)
$$

Hence $\mathfrak{F}_{1}(F): \mathfrak{F}_{0}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{2}(\sigma): \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \circ \mathfrak{F}_{1}(F) \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{1}(F) \circ \mathfrak{F}_{1}(H)$. One shows easily that $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}(F, \sigma)$ is a morphism of bimonads in $\mathfrak{L}$ from $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}(X, H)$ to $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$.

For a 2-cell $\alpha:(F, \sigma) \Longrightarrow(G, \tau)$ in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$, we put:

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{2}(\alpha)=\mathfrak{F}_{2}(\alpha) .
$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{F}_{2}(\alpha): \mathfrak{F}_{1}(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{1}(G)$ is a 2 -cell in $\mathfrak{L}$. In fact by an easy computation one checks that $\mathfrak{F}_{2}(\alpha)$ is a 2 -cell in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{L})$ from $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}(X, H)$ to $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 1.7 The above data define a 2-functor

$$
\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F}): \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{L})
$$

Proof: We have to show that

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{(X, H),\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)}: \mathfrak{B i m o n}\left((X, H),\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B i m o n}\left(\left(\mathfrak{F}_{0}(X), \mathfrak{F}_{1}(H)\right),\left(\mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X^{\prime}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

is a functor, and that the family of these functors is compatible with the composition of 1-cells. In other words $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ is compatible with the compositions of 1-cells, and with horizontal and vertical compositions of 2-cells. Let $(F, \sigma)$ and $(G, \tau)$ be 1-cells as in (19). Their composition is defined by the formula (20), so $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ maps $(G, \tau) \circ(F, \sigma)$ to the morphism $\left(\mathfrak{F}_{1}(G F), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(G \sigma \bullet \tau F)\right)$. Since $\mathfrak{F}$ is a 2 -functor from $\mathfrak{K}$ to $\mathfrak{L}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathfrak{F}_{1}(G F), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(G \sigma \bullet \tau F)\right) & =\left(\mathfrak{F}_{1}(G) \circ \mathfrak{F}_{1}(F), \mathfrak{F}_{1}(G) \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\sigma) \bullet \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\tau) \mathfrak{F}_{1}(F)\right) \\
& =\left(\mathfrak{F}_{1}(G), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\tau)\right) \circ\left(\mathfrak{F}_{1}(F), \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\sigma)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ is compatible with the composition of 1-cells. In order to prove the compatibility of $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ with the horizontal composition of 2 -cells we take $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to be 2 -cells as in (21). In view of (22) and taking into account that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a 2 -functor, we have

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{2}(\beta \circ \alpha)=\mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\beta F^{\prime} \bullet G \alpha\right)=\mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\beta F^{\prime}\right) \bullet \mathfrak{F}_{2}(G \alpha)=\mathfrak{F}_{2}(\beta) \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \bullet \mathfrak{F}_{1}(G) \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\alpha)=\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{2}(\beta) \circ \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{2}(\alpha)
$$

Suppose that $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ are 2 -cells that can be composed vertically. Then, since $\mathfrak{F}$ is a 2 -functor,

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{2}\left(\alpha \bullet \alpha^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\alpha \bullet \alpha^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{F}_{2}(\alpha) \bullet \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)
$$

By construction $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ maps identity cells to identity cells, so $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a strict 2 -functor indeed. To conclude the proof of the theorem we have to show that

$$
\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F} \circ \mathfrak{G})=\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F}) \circ \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F}) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{B i m o n}\left(I d_{\mathfrak{K}}\right)=I d_{\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})}
$$

Both relations are immediate consequences of the definitions.

## 2 The main result.

In this section we prove our main result, stating that the 2-functor $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(-)$ is representable. We will also establish a similar result for Hopf monads in a 2-category.
2.1 (The 2-category $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$.) An useful method to produce new examples of 2-categories is explained in [S2]. We will follow the terminology from loc. cit. To every 2 -category $\mathfrak{K}$ one associates in a canonical way a computad $\mathbb{U} \mathfrak{K}$ (the underlying computad of $\mathfrak{K}$, cf. [S2, p. 538]). We obtain a functor $\mathbb{U}$ from 2-CAT to the category of computads. This functor has a left adjoint $\mathbb{F}$, which maps a computad $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ the free 2-category $\mathbb{F} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ of $\Gamma$, see $[S 2$, p. 538]. Therefore, for every computad $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ and every 2 -category $\mathfrak{K}$ there is an one-to-one correspondence between the morphisms of computads $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{U} \mathfrak{K}$ and the strict 2 -functors $\mathbb{F} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$.

Furthermore, an arbitrary 2-category $\mathfrak{K}$ can by quotient out modulo a congruence relation $R$. One obtains a new 2 -category $\mathfrak{K} / R$ such that the 0 -cells and 1 -cells in $\mathfrak{K}$ and $\mathfrak{K} / R$ are identical, but the 2-cells in the latter 2-category are the equivalence classes of those in the former one. By construction, for every 2-category $\mathfrak{L}$, there is an one-to-one correspondence between the 2-functors $\mathfrak{K} / R \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ and the functors $\mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ that maps equivalent 2 -cells in $\mathfrak{K}$ to the same 2 -cell in $\mathfrak{L}$.

We apply this strategy to construct the 2-category $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$. For, we start with the computad $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ that has an unique 0-cell $X_{0}$ and an unique 1-cell $H_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$. The 2-cells of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ are:
$m_{0}: H_{0}^{2} \Longrightarrow H_{0}, \quad u_{0}: I d_{X_{0}} \Longrightarrow H_{0}, \quad \delta_{0}: H_{0} \Longrightarrow H_{0}^{2}, \quad \varepsilon_{0}: H_{0} \Longrightarrow I d_{X_{0}}, \quad \lambda_{0}: H_{0}^{2} \Longrightarrow H_{0}^{2}$.
On the free 2-category $\mathbb{F} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ we impose the relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{0} \bullet\left(H_{0} m_{0}\right) & \equiv m_{0} \bullet\left(m_{0} H_{0}\right), \\
\left(H_{0} \delta_{0}\right) \bullet \delta_{0} & \equiv\left(\delta_{0} H_{0}\right) \bullet \delta_{0}, \\
\lambda_{0} \bullet\left(m_{0} H_{0}\right) & \equiv\left(H_{0} m_{0}\right) \bullet\left(\lambda_{0} H_{0}\right) \bullet\left(H_{0} \lambda_{0}\right), \\
\left(\delta_{0} H_{0}\right) \bullet \lambda_{0} & \equiv\left(H_{0} \lambda_{0}\right) \bullet\left(\lambda_{0} H_{0}\right) \bullet\left(H_{0} \delta_{0}\right), \\
\delta_{0} \bullet m_{0} \equiv\left(H_{0} m_{0}\right) & \bullet\left(\lambda_{0} H_{0}\right) \bullet\left(H_{0} \delta_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
m_{0} \bullet\left(H_{0} u_{0}\right) \equiv \mathbf{1}_{H_{0}} \equiv m_{0} \bullet\left(u_{0} H_{0}\right)
$$

$$
\left(H_{0} \varepsilon_{0}\right) \bullet \delta_{0} \equiv \mathbf{1}_{H_{0}} \equiv\left(\varepsilon_{0} H_{0}\right) \bullet \delta_{0}
$$

$$
\lambda_{0} \bullet\left(u_{0} H_{0}\right) \equiv H_{0} u_{0}
$$

$$
\lambda_{0} \bullet\left(H_{0} \varepsilon_{0}\right) \equiv \varepsilon_{0} H_{0}
$$

We define $R$ to be the congruence generated by the above nine relations, and we set $\mathfrak{B i m o n}:=$ $\mathbb{F} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} / \boldsymbol{R}$. The equivalence classes of the 2 -cells $m_{0}, u_{0}$, etc. will be denoted by $\widehat{m}_{0}, \widehat{u}_{0}$, etc.
2.2 (The 2-category $[\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{L}]$.) It is well known that, for every 2-categories $\mathfrak{K}$ and $\mathfrak{L}$, there is a 2 -category $[\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{L}]$ whose 0 -cells are the strict 2 -functors $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$. The 1 -cells of $[\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{L}]$ are called transformations. By definition, a transformation $\mathfrak{T}: \mathfrak{F} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ is a pair $\mathfrak{T}=\left(\left\{T_{X}\right\}_{X \in \mathfrak{K}_{0}},\left\{\tau_{F}\right\}_{F \in \mathfrak{R}_{1}}\right)$ such that, for any $X$ in $\mathfrak{K}_{0}$ and any $F: X \rightarrow Y$,

$$
T_{X}: \mathfrak{F}_{0}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{0}(X) \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{F}: \mathfrak{G}_{1}(F) \circ T_{X} \Longrightarrow T_{Y} \circ \mathfrak{F}_{1}(F)
$$

are an 1 -cell and a 2-cells in $\mathfrak{L}$, respectively. The 2-cells $\tau_{F}$ are natural in $F$, i.e. for $\alpha: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ we have the following three relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{Y} \mathfrak{F}_{2}(\alpha) \bullet \tau_{F} & =\tau_{F^{\prime}} \bullet \mathfrak{G}_{2}(\alpha) T_{X}  \tag{24}\\
\tau_{F^{\prime} F} & =\tau_{F^{\prime}} \mathfrak{F}_{1}(F) \bullet \mathfrak{G}_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \tau_{F},  \tag{25}\\
\tau_{I d_{X}} & =I d_{T_{X}} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us assume that $\mathfrak{T}=\left(\left\{T_{X}\right\}_{X},\left\{\tau_{F}\right\}_{F}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime}=\left(\left\{T_{X}^{\prime}\right\}_{X},\left\{\tau_{F}^{\prime}\right\}_{F}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{T}: \mathfrak{F} \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{T}^{\prime}: \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{T}$ is the transformation whose first component is the family of 1-cells $\left(T_{X}^{\prime} T_{X}\right)_{X \in \mathfrak{K}_{0}}$. The second component of $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{T}$ is the family $\left\{\gamma_{F}\right\}_{F \in \mathfrak{K}_{1}}$, where $\gamma_{F}$ is the 2-cell

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{F}=\left(T_{Y}^{\prime} \tau_{F}\right) \bullet\left(\tau_{F}^{\prime} T_{X}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 2-cells in $[\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{L}]$ are called modifications. If $\mathfrak{T}=\left(\left\{T_{X}\right\}_{X},\left\{\tau_{F}\right\}_{F}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{S}=\left(\left\{S_{X}\right\}_{X},\left\{\sigma_{F}\right\}_{F}\right)$ are two transformations between the 2-functors $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{G}$ then a modification $\Gamma: \mathfrak{T} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ is a family of 2-cells $\left\{\Gamma_{X}\right\}_{X \in \mathfrak{K}_{0}}$, where $\Gamma_{X}: T_{X} \Rightarrow S_{X}$. The 2-cells $\Gamma_{X}$ are assumed to satisfy the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{Y} \mathfrak{F}_{1}(F) \bullet \tau_{F}=\sigma_{F} \bullet \mathfrak{G}_{1}(F) \Gamma_{X} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Horizontal composition of two modifications is defined using the horizontal pointwise composition in $\mathfrak{K}$. componentwise. Therefore, if we take two modifications

then $\Gamma^{\prime} \circ \Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{X}^{\prime} \circ \Gamma_{X}\right\}_{X \in \mathfrak{K}_{0}}$. Similarly, for the transformations $\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{T}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime \prime}$, with the same source and the same target, and the modifications

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}^{\prime} \text { and } \Sigma: \mathfrak{T}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}^{\prime \prime} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

one defines the vertical composition by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma \bullet \Sigma=\left\{\Gamma_{X} \bullet \Sigma_{X}\right\}_{X \in \mathfrak{F}_{0}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity 1-cell of a 2-functor $\mathfrak{F}$ is the pair $\left(\left\{I d_{\mathfrak{F}(X)}\right\}_{X \in \mathfrak{K}_{0}},\left\{I d_{\mathfrak{F}(F)}\right\}_{F \in \mathfrak{K}_{1}}\right)$. The identity of a transformation $\mathfrak{T}=\left(\left\{T_{X}\right\}_{X},\left\{\tau_{F}\right\}_{F}\right)$ is the family $\left\{I d_{T_{X}}\right\}_{X \in \mathfrak{K}_{0}}$.
2.3 (The 2-functor $\Theta(\mathfrak{K}):[\mathfrak{B i m o n}, \mathfrak{K}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$.) On 0-cells (i.e. 2-functors) the 2-functor $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})$ is defined by

$$
\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(\mathfrak{F})=\left(\mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{u}_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{\delta}_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{\varepsilon}_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

Since $\left(X_{0}, H_{0}, \widehat{m}_{0}, \widehat{u}_{0}, \widehat{\delta}_{0}, \widehat{\varepsilon}_{0}, \widehat{\lambda}_{0}\right)$ is a bimonad in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ is a strict 2 -functor it follows that $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(\mathfrak{F})$ is a bimonad on $\mathfrak{K}$.Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a transformation between $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{S}$. Since $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$ has a unique 0 -cell $X_{0}$, the first component of $\mathfrak{T}$ is a family with one element, namely the 1-cell $T_{X_{0}}$. The second component of $\mathfrak{T}$ is a family indexed by the 1 -cells of $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$, which are $T_{0}^{n}$ $=T_{0} \ldots T_{0}$ ( $n$-factors). Hence $\mathfrak{T}=\left(T_{X_{0}},\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$. We define

$$
\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(\mathfrak{T})=\left(T_{X_{0}}, \tau_{T_{0}}\right) .
$$

Let us show that $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(\mathfrak{T})$ is a morphism of bimonads between $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(\mathfrak{F})$ and $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(\mathfrak{S})$. Since the family $\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}$ is natural, in view of (24), we get:

$$
T_{X_{0}} \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right) \bullet \tau_{T_{0}^{2}}=\tau_{T_{0}} \bullet \mathfrak{G}_{2}\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right) T_{X_{0}}
$$

On the other hand, by (25),

$$
\tau_{T_{0}^{2}}=\left(\tau_{T_{0}} \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(T_{0}\right)\right) \bullet\left(\mathfrak{S}_{1}\left(T_{0}\right) \tau_{T_{0}}\right)
$$

Since the multiplications of $\left(\mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\mathfrak{G}_{0}\left(X_{0}\right), \mathfrak{G}_{1}\left(H_{0}\right)\right)$ are $\mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{2}\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right)$, it follows that $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(\mathfrak{T})$ satisfies the condition (3) for $\alpha=\widehat{u}_{0}$, one proves that:

$$
\tau_{T_{0}} \bullet \mathfrak{G}_{2}\left(\widehat{u}_{0}\right) T_{X_{0}}=T_{X_{0}} \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{u}_{0}\right) \bullet \tau_{I d_{X_{0}}}
$$

Since $\tau_{I d_{X_{0}}}=I d_{T_{X_{0}}}$, cf. (26), and $\mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{u}_{0}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{2}\left(\widehat{\delta}_{0}\right)$ are the units of $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(\mathfrak{F})$ and $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(\mathfrak{S})$ we deduce that $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(\mathfrak{T})$ is compatible with the units of these bimonads. In conclusion, $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(\mathfrak{T})$ is a morphism of monads. One proves $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(\mathfrak{T})$ is a morphism of comonads that satisfies the relation (17). Thus $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(\mathfrak{T})$ is a morphism of bimonads. We take now $\Gamma: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ to be a modification, where $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{S}$ have the same target $\mathfrak{F}$ and source $\mathfrak{G}$. In $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$ there is only one 0-cell $X_{0}$. Hence $\Gamma$ is a family with one element $\Gamma_{X_{0}}: T_{X_{0}} \rightarrow S_{X_{0}}$, where $T_{X_{0}}$ and $S_{X_{0}}$ are the 2-cells in $\mathfrak{K}$ that define $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{S}$, respectively. We now set:

$$
\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}(\Gamma)=\Gamma_{X_{0}} .
$$

Clearly the condition (29) written for the modification $\Gamma$ and the condition (18) written for $\alpha=\Gamma_{X_{0}}$ are equivalent. Therefore, $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}(\Gamma)$ is a 2 -cell in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$. We claim that $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}$ is compatible with the composition of transformations. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime}$ be two transformations such that $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{T}$ exists. If $\mathfrak{T}=\left(T_{X_{0}},\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime}=\left(T_{X_{0}}^{\prime},\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$, then $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{T}$ is the pair whose first component is $T_{X_{0}}^{\prime} T_{X_{0}}$ and second component is the family $\left\{T_{X_{0}}^{\prime} \tau_{T_{0}^{n}} \bullet \tau_{T_{0}^{n}}^{\prime} T\right\}_{n}$. We deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\left(\mathfrak{T}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{T}\right) & =\left(T_{X_{0}}^{\prime} T_{X_{0}},\left(T_{X_{0}}^{\prime} \tau_{T_{0}}\right) \bullet\left(\tau_{T_{0}}^{\prime} T_{X_{0}}\right)\right)=\left(T_{X_{0}}^{\prime}, \tau_{T_{0}}^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(T_{X_{0}}, \tau_{T_{0}}\right) \\
& =\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\left(\mathfrak{T}^{\prime}\right) \circ \Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(\mathfrak{T})
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us prove that $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$ is compatible with the horizontal and vertical composition. If $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$ are two modifications defined by $\Gamma_{X_{0}}$ and $\Sigma_{X_{0}}$, respectively, then:

$$
\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}(\Gamma \circ \Sigma)=\Gamma_{X_{0}} \circ \Sigma_{X_{0}}=\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}(\Gamma) \circ \Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}(\Sigma) .
$$

The compatibility with the vertical composition is proven similarly. Clearly, $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})$ maps identity cell to an identity cell, so we have just proven that $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})$ is a strict 2-functor.
2.4 (The 2-functor $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K}): \mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K}) \rightarrow[\mathfrak{B i m o n}, \mathfrak{K}]$.) Our goal now is to construct an inverse of $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})$. On objects $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})$ is defined as follows. If $(X, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda)$ is a bimonad in $\mathfrak{K}$, then

$$
X_{0} \mapsto X, \quad H_{0} \mapsto H, \quad m_{0} \mapsto m, \quad u_{0} \mapsto u, \quad \delta_{0} \mapsto \delta, \quad \varepsilon_{0} \mapsto \varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{0} \mapsto \lambda
$$

define a morphism of computads from $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{0}$ to $\mathbb{U} \mathfrak{K}$. By the universal property of the free 2-category, there is a unique 2-functor $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}: \mathbb{F} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ that lifts the above morphism of computads. Since $(X, H)$ is a bimonad, $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ factors through a 2 -functor $\mathfrak{F}$ from $\mathfrak{B i m o n}:=\mathbb{F} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{0} / R$ to $\mathfrak{K}$, cf. the definition of $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$. Hence $\mathfrak{F}$ is uniquely defined by the relations:
$\mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)=X, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H_{0}\right)=H, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(m_{0}\right)=m, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{u}_{0}\right)=u, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{\delta}_{0}\right)=\delta, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{\varepsilon}_{0}\right)=\varepsilon, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{0}\right)=\lambda$.
We set $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(X, H)=\mathfrak{F}$. For a morphism of bimonads $(F, \sigma):(X, H) \rightarrow\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$ we define:

$$
\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(F, \sigma): \Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(X, H) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)
$$

as follows. Let $\mathfrak{F}:=\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(X, H)$ and $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}:=\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$. We need a transformation from $\mathfrak{F}$ to $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$. Since $X_{0}$ is the unique 0 -cell of $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$ and the 1 -cell of this 2-category are $H_{0}^{n}$, a transformation from $\mathfrak{F}$ to $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ is a pair $\left(T,\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$. We take $T:=F$, and we set $\tau_{I d_{x_{0}}}=I d_{T}$ and $\tau_{T_{0}}=\sigma$. Then, for $n \geq 2$, we define inductively $\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}$ by using the relation (25). It is routine to check that $\left(T,\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$ is a transformation, indeed. Thus, we define:

$$
\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(F, \sigma):=\left(F,\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right)
$$

It is remains to construct $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$. Let $\alpha:(F, \sigma) \Rightarrow\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ be a 2 -cell in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$. We are looking for a transformation from $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(F, \sigma)$ to $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, which has to be a 2-cell in $\mathfrak{K}$ with source $F$ and target $F^{\prime}$. Obviously, we take

$$
\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{2}(\alpha)=\alpha
$$

By definitions it is clear that $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{2}(\alpha)$ is a 2 -cell in $[\mathfrak{B i m o n}, \mathfrak{K}]$. If $(F, \sigma)$ and $\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are two morphism of bimonads that can be composed, then

$$
\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\left(\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \circ(F, \sigma)\right)=\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\left(F^{\prime} F, F^{\prime} \sigma \bullet \sigma^{\prime} F\right)=\left(F^{\prime} F,\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}\right)
$$

where $\tau_{T_{0}}=F^{\prime} \sigma \bullet \sigma^{\prime} F$. On the other hand

$$
\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}(F, \sigma)=\left(F,\left\{\gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\left(F^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(F^{\prime},\left\{\gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}^{\prime}\right\}_{n}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{T_{0}}^{\prime}=\sigma^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{T_{0}}=\sigma$. Note that all $\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}, \gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}$ and $\gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}^{\prime}$ are uniquely determined by $\tau_{T_{0}}, \gamma_{T_{0}}$ and $\gamma_{T_{0}}^{\prime}$, cf. (25). As

$$
\left(F^{\prime},\left\{\gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}^{\prime}\right\}_{n}\right) \circ\left(F,\left\{\gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}\right)=\left(F^{\prime} F,\left\{\left(F^{\prime} \gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}\right) \bullet\left(\gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}^{\prime} F\right)\right\}_{n}\right)
$$

in view of the foregoing remarks, if follows that $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}$ is compatible with the compositions of morphism of bimonads. Since $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{2}(\alpha)=\alpha$, it is clear that $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$ is compatible with the horizontal and vertical compositions. The compatibility with the identity cells is also obvious, so $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})$ is a strict 2 -functor.

Theorem 2.5 The 2-functors $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})$ and $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})$ are inverses each other, and they are natural in $\mathfrak{K}$. In particular, the 2 -category $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$ represents the functor

$$
\mathfrak{B i m o n}(-): 2 \text {-CAT } \rightarrow 2 \text {-CAT. }
$$

Proof: Let us show that $\Theta(\mathfrak{K}) \circ \Lambda(\mathfrak{K})$ is the identity functor of $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$. If $(X, H)$ is a bimonad on $\mathfrak{K}$, we have:

$$
\left[\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0} \circ \Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}\right](X, H)=\Theta(\mathfrak{K})(\mathfrak{F})=\left(\mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H_{0}\right)\right),
$$

where $\mathfrak{F}=\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(X, H)$. But $\mathfrak{F}$ is the unique 2 -functor with source $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$ and target $\mathfrak{K}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)=X$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H_{0}\right)=H$. If $m$ is the multiplication of $(X, H)$ then $\mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right)=m$, by the definition of $\mathfrak{F}=\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(X, H)$. Similar relation hold for the unit, comultiplication, counit and entwining structure. This shows that

$$
\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0} \circ \Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}=I d_{\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})_{0}}
$$

We now take a morphism $(F, \sigma)$ of bimonads.

$$
\left[\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1} \circ \Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\right](F, \sigma)=\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\left(F,\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}\right)=\left(F, \tau_{T_{0}}\right),
$$

where $\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}$ is uniquely defined such that $\tau_{T_{0}}=\sigma$. Hence $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}$ is a left inverse of $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}$. The identity

$$
\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2} \circ \Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{2}=I d_{\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{K})_{2}}
$$

is trivial, as both $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$ and $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$ map a 2-cell to itself. On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{B i m o n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ is a 2 -functor then:

$$
\left[\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0} \circ \Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}\right](\mathfrak{F})=\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda)
$$

where the bimonad $X=\mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X_{0}\right), H=\mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H_{0}\right)$, etc. Hence $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}(X, H)$ is the unique 2-functor that maps $X_{0} \rightarrow X, H_{0} \rightarrow H, \widehat{m}_{0} \rightarrow m$, etc. Since $\mathfrak{F}$ has this properties we deduce $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}$ is a right inverse of $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}$, so $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}$ and $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{0}$ are inverses each other. Let $\mathfrak{T}: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ be a transformation, where $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{S}: \mathfrak{B i m o n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$. If $\mathfrak{T}=\left(T,\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\left[\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1} \circ \Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\right](\mathfrak{T})=\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\left(T, \tau_{T_{0}}\right)=\left(T,\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}\right) .
$$

In the above seqvence of equations, $\left\{\gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}$ are constructed inductively, using the relation (25). Since, by definition $\gamma_{T_{0}}=\tau_{T_{0}}$, and $\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}$ also satisfy (25) we deduce that $\gamma_{T_{0}^{n}}=\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}$ is a right inverse of $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{1}$. Finally, $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$ is a right inverse of $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$, as they map a 2-cell to itself. We have just concluded that $\Theta(\mathfrak{K})$ and $\Lambda(\mathfrak{K})$ are inverses each other.

It remains to prove that the diagram

is commutative, for every 2-functor $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$. By definition $[\mathfrak{B i m o n}, \mathfrak{F}]_{0}\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{F}$, for every 2-functor $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}: \mathfrak{B i m o n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$. Hence,

$$
\left(\Theta(\mathfrak{L})_{0} \circ[\mathfrak{B i m o n}, \mathfrak{F}]_{0}\right)\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}\right)=\Theta(\mathfrak{L})_{0}\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{F}\right)=(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda),
$$

where $X=\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{F}\right)_{0}\left(X_{0}\right), H=\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{F}\right)_{1}\left(H_{0}\right), m=\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{F}\right)\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right)$, etc. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})_{0} \circ \Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}\right] } & \left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})_{0}\left(\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{0}\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})\left(\mathfrak{F}_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{1}^{\prime}\left(H_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{u}_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\delta}_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\varepsilon}_{0}\right), \mathfrak{F}_{2}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\lambda}_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for the last identity we used the definition of the functor $\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})$ and the relations that define the bimonad $(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda)$.
If $\mathfrak{T}: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ is a transformation, with $\mathfrak{T}=\left(T,\left\{\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right\}_{n}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})_{1} \circ \Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{1}\right)(\mathfrak{T}) & =\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})_{1}\left(T, \tau_{T_{0}}\right)=\left(\mathfrak{F}_{1}(T), \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\tau_{T_{0}}\right)\right) \\
& =\Theta(\mathfrak{L})_{1}\left(\mathfrak{F}_{1}(T),\left\{\mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\tau_{T_{0}^{n}}\right)\right\}_{n}\right)=\left(\Theta(\mathfrak{L})_{1} \circ[\mathfrak{B i m o n}, \mathfrak{F}]_{1}\right)(\mathfrak{T}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, if $\Gamma: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ is a modification, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})_{2} \circ \Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}\right)(\Gamma) & =\mathfrak{B i m o n}(\mathfrak{F})_{2}\left(\Gamma_{X_{0}}\right)=\mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{X_{0}}\right) \\
& =\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2}\left(\mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{X_{0}}\right)\right)=\left(\Theta(\mathfrak{K})_{2} \circ[\mathfrak{B i m o n}, \mathfrak{F}]\right)_{2}(\Gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the theorem is proven.

Corollary 2.6 If $(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda)$ is a bimonad in $\mathfrak{K}$, the there is a unique 2-functor $\mathfrak{F}$ : $\mathfrak{B i m o n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)=X, \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H_{0}\right)=H, \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right)=m$, etc.

Proof: See the proof of the preceding theorem.
2.7 (Hopf monads in $\mathfrak{K}$.) A bimonad $(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda)$ in a 2-category $\mathfrak{K}$ is a Hopf monad if there is a 2-cell $\pi: H^{2} \rightarrow H^{2}$ such that

$$
m \bullet(\pi H) \bullet \delta=u \bullet \varepsilon=m \bullet(H \pi) \bullet \delta
$$

The 2-cell $\pi$ is called the antipode of the Hopf monad $(X, H)$.
Hopf bimonads in $\mathfrak{K}$ may be regarded as 0 -cells in a 2 -category $\mathfrak{H o p f m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$. The 1-cells in this 2-category are the morphisms of Hopf monads. Let $(X, H)$ and $\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$ be Hopf monads with the antipodes $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$, respectively. A morphism of Hopf monads from $(X, H)$ to $\left(X^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)$ is a morphism $(F, \sigma)$ between the underlying is bimonads that commutes with the antipodes, in the sense that

$$
\sigma \bullet \pi^{\prime} F=F \pi \bullet \sigma
$$

The composition of two morphisms in $\mathfrak{H o p f m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ is defined as in the 2-category of bimonads in $\mathfrak{K}$. A 2-cell in $\mathfrak{H o p f m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ between two morphisms of Hopf monads is a 2 -cell between the underlying bimonad morphisms. The vertical and horizontal composition in $\mathfrak{H o p f m o n}(\mathfrak{K})$ are defined as in $\mathfrak{B i m o n}$.

Proceeding as in the case of bimonads, one defines a new 2-category $\mathfrak{H o p f m o n}$ as the quotient 2-category $\mathbb{F} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{1} / R^{\prime}$, where $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{1}$ is the computad obtained from $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ by adding the 2-cell $\pi_{0}: H_{0}^{2} \rightarrow$ $H_{0}^{2}$, and $R^{\prime}$ is the congruence generated by $\equiv$ and the extra relations:

$$
m_{0} \bullet\left(\sigma_{0} H_{0}\right) \bullet \delta_{0} \equiv u_{0} \bullet \varepsilon_{0} \equiv m_{0} \bullet\left(H_{0} \sigma_{0}\right) \bullet \delta_{0}
$$

Theorem 2.8 The 2-category $\mathfrak{H o p f m o n}$ represents the functor

$$
\mathfrak{H o p f m o n}(-): 2 \text {-CAT } \rightarrow 2 \text {-CAT. }
$$

Proof: One argues as in the proof of Theorem 2.5. Details are omitted.

Corollary 2.9 If $(X, H, m, u, \delta, \varepsilon, \lambda, \pi)$ is a Hopf monad in $\mathfrak{K}$, the there is a unique 2-functor $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{H o p f m o n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)=X, \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(H_{0}\right)=H, \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\widehat{m}_{0}\right)=m$, etc.
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